### ILLINOIS POLLUTION CONTROL BOARD

MIDWEST GENERATION, LLC	)
(Will County Station)	)
	)
Petitioner,	)
	)
-vs-	) PCB No. 21-108
	) (Variance - Land)
ILLINOIS ENVIRONMENTAL	)
PROTECTION AGENCY,	)
	)
Respondent.	)
<del>-</del>	)
	)

REPORT OF THE PROCEEDINGS held in the above entitled cause before HEARING OFFICER CAROL WEBB, called by the Illinois Pollution Control Board, taken by Pamela L. Cosentino, Certified Shorthand Reporter for the State of Illinois, at the Will County Office Building, County Board Room, 2nd floor, 302 N. Chicago Street, Joliet, Illinois, on the 27th day of July, 2021, commencing at the hour of 9:30 a.m.

Reported by: Pamela L. Cosentino, CSR

License No.: 084-003601

		Page	2
1	APPEARANCES:		
2	MS. CAROL WEBB, Hearing Officer MR. ANAND RAO, Technical Unit		
3	MS. CYNTHIA SANTOS, Board Member MR. TIMOTHY FOX, Senior Attorney		
4			
5	NIJMAN & FRANZETTI, LLP BY: MS. KRISTEN GALE		
6	MS. MOLLY SNITTJER 10 South LaSalle Street		
7	Suite 3600 Chicago, Illinois 60603		
8	Phone: (312) 262-5524, Email: kg@nijmanfranzetti.com		
9	ms@nijmanfranzetti.com		
10	Appeared on behalf of Petitioner,		
11	Midwest Generation;		
12	ILLINOIS ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY		
13	BY: MS. CHRISTINE M. ZEIVEL MR. CLAYTON ANKNEY		
14	1021 North Grand Avenue East PO Box 19276		
15	Springfield, Illinois 62794 Phone: (217) 782-5544,		
16	Email: christine.zeivel@illinois.gov clayton.ankney@illinois.gov		
17	Appeared on behalf of Respondent,		
18	Illinois Environmental Protection Agency.		
19	* * *		
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			

						Page	3	
1	INDEX							
2	OPENING STATEMENTS		PAGE					
3	By Ms. Gale5 By Ms. Zeivel9							
4	WITNESS	DV	CX	DDV	RCX			
5	MIINESS	DX	CX	KDX	RCA			
6	PETITIONER'S WITNESSES:							
	BRADLEY CASTLE							
7	By Ms. Snittjer By Ms. Zeivel	10	24					
8	(Recalled at Page 77)		21					
9	RICHARD GNAT							
10	By Ms. Gale By Ms. Zeivel	30	61	65				
11	SHARENE SHEALEY							
12	By Ms. Gale By Ms. Zeivel	66	68					
13	By MS. Zerver		00					
	RESPONDENT'S WITNESSES:							
14	DARIN LECRONE							
15	By Ms. Zeivel	78		85				
16	By Ms. Gale (Recalled at Page 116)		79		86			
17	MELINDA SHAW							
1.0	By Ms. Zeivel	88	0.5	107				
18	By Ms. Gale		95		113			
19		MARKER			3 D.4 T. T. T.			
20	PETITIONER'S EXHIBITS Exhibit R	MARKED 19			ADMITTE 74	šD		
21	Exhibit S	22			74			
22	Exhibit T Exhibit U	34 71			74 74			
23	Exhibit V	80			87			
۷۵	CLOSING ARGUMENTS		PAGE					
24	By Ms. Gale		123					

HEARING OFFICER WEBB: Let's go ahead and begin.

Good morning. My name is Carol Webb, and this is the hearing for PCB 21-108, Midwest Generation's Will County Station, seeking a variance from 35 Ill. Adm. Code Part 845. The decision deadline is September 9th.

Joining me today is board member Cynthia Santos, the board's chief scientist Anand Rao, and senior attorney Tim Fox. We also have a Pollution Control Board intern with us today, welcome. And welcome to everyone else who's here.

It is July 27th. We are beginning at 9:30 a.m. Are there any members of the public here who plan to make a public comment? I do not see anyone.

Written public comment may be filed with the board's clerk by August 3rd.

The Pollution Control Board members will make the final decision in this case. My purpose is to conduct the hearing in a neutral and orderly manner so that we have a clear record of the proceeding.

This hearing was noticed pursuant to the Act and the board's rules and will be conducted pursuant

Page 5 1 to the board's procedural rules at 35 Ill. Adm. Code 2. 101 Subpart F and 104 Subpart B. 3 At this time I'll ask the parties to please 4 make their appearances on the record. 5 MS. GALE: Kristen Gale and Molly Snittjer on 6 behalf of Midwest Generation LLC. 7 MS. ZEIVEL: Christine Zeivel and Clayton Ankney on behalf of the Illinois EPA. 8 9 HEARING OFFICER WEBB: Thank you. Are there any preliminary matters to discuss 10 11 on the record? 12 MS. GALE: Not from me. 13 MS. ZEIVEL: Not from the Agency. HEARING OFFICER WEBB: Would Petitioner like 14 15 to make an opening statement? 16 MS. GALE: Yes, I would. Thank you. 17 OPENING STATEMENT BY MS. GALE: 18 19 Good morning. Madam Hearing Officer, Madam 20 Santos, Mr. Fox, Mr. Rao. 21 As I said, my name is Kristen Gale and with me is Molly Snittjer, and we are here on behalf of 22 23 Midwest Generation, LLC. We are here on Midwest 24 Generation's petition for a variance for a brief

extension of time on certain deadlines in the recently adopted Illinois Coal Combustion Residual Rule, also known as the CCR rule.

2.

The extension is only for two of the inactive surface impoundments at the Will County Station in Romeoville, Illinois. They're called Ponds 1N and 1S.

Specifically, Midwest Generation is requesting an extension of four deadlines: An extension of the deadline to complete the groundwater sampling analysis under Section 845.650(b)(1); an extension of the deadline to submit the operating permit application for the Ponds 1N and 1S under Section 845.230; an extension of the deadline to submit the category designation of Ponds 1N and 1S closure prioritization under Section 845.700; and an extension of the deadline to submit the construction permit application under Section 845.700(h).

We are here because Ponds 1N and 1S are not federal CCR surface impoundments. Both ponds ceased receiving ash and liquid in 2010. In other words, Midwest Generation does not direct any liquid or other material to the pond, to either of the ponds.

In 2013, Midwest Generation installed a dewatering system that drained all of the water,

including any rainwater that may fall into the ponds.

Because the ponds are not federal CCR surface

3 impoundments, they do not have all of the groundwater

4 data, structural information, historical information,

5 and the other information required by the CCR rule

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

6 that the other federal CCR surface impoundments have.

It is important to note here that Midwest

Generation is not requesting a variance from any of
the work or corrective actions required under the

CCR rule. Midwest Generation is working diligently to
conduct all the work required under that rule.

Instead, we're here and only asking for a brief extension of the immediate deadlines to accurately collect all of the requisite information required by the extensive operating permit application and the even more extensive construction permit application. And by "brief," I mean less than a year.

Attached to Midwest Generation's petition
were three affidavits by Sharene Shealey,
environmental director; Mr. Bradley Castle,
Will County's former operations manager; and Richard
Gnat of KPRG & Associates, our hydrogeologist.
Mr. Castle and Mr. Gnat will be providing additional
testimony in support of our petition, and all three

are available for questions from the Agency and the Board.

2.

I described Mr. Castle as "former" because he very recently, as in a week ago, moved to a new position with a new company. But he graciously agreed to join us this morning in support of his affidavit and Midwest Generation's petition.

The Agency filed a recommendation in this proceeding, and they stated that they do not object to Midwest Generation's request for an extension of the deadlines for collecting and analyzing and statistically evaluating the eight independent samples, submitting the operating permit application, submitting the category designation for both ponds, and submitting the construction permit application should it be determined that the ponds are a Category 4.

The Agency did object to Midwest Generation's request to extend deadlines to complete two plans:

The initial emergency action plan and the Fugitive Dust Plan; and in response, Midwest Generation has withdrawn that request.

There are certain other misstatements of fact and law that were contained in the Agency's

recommendation which Midwest Generation will address in this hearing today. While we do not believe that these misstatements are material to the Board's decision to grant the requested variance, we believe it's important to correct the record.

With that said, we're here asking for the variance and we're ready to proceed.

HEARING OFFICER WEBB: Would the Agency like to make an opening statement?

MS. ZEIVEL: Yes.

2.

OPENING STATEMENT BY MS. ZEIVEL:

Just briefly, the Illinois EPA is here with their witnesses that signed affidavits in support of the written recommendation that was filed. The Agency stands by its written recommendation. As Ms. Gale pointed out, the Agency neither objects nor supports Midwest Generation's request for the four extensions that Ms. Gale referenced.

We have with us today Melinda Shaw and
Darren LeCrone as witnesses. The Agency will present
them to provide the opportunity to Midwest Generation
or the Board to ask any questions relevant to our
written recommendation. But otherwise we stand by

Page 10 1 that recommendation. 2. HEARING OFFICER WEBB: Thank you. All right. The Petitioner may call their 3 first witness. 4 5 MS. SNITTJER: Midwest Generation calls 6 Bradley Castle. 7 HEARING OFFICER WEBB: Mr. Castle, would you come sit right here by me, and would the court 8 9 reporter please swear in the witness. (Witness duly sworn.) 10 11 BRADLEY A. CASTLE, 12 having been first duly sworn, called as a witness 13 herein, was examined and testified as follows: 14 DIRECT EXAMINATION 15 BY MS. SNITTJER: 16 Q. Good morning, Mr. Castle. 17 Α. Good morning. 18 Can you please state your name for the 0. 19 record. 20 Bradley A. Castle. Α. 21 Mr. Castle, until recently, you were the Q. 22 operations manager at Midwest Generation Will County 23 Station, correct? 24 That is correct. Α.

- Q. And when did you leave Midwest Generation?
- A. My last workday was last Friday, July 16th.
- Q. When you were still with Midwest Generation, you signed an affidavit in support of Midwest Generation's petition for a variance for Ponds 1N and 1S; is that correct?
  - A. Yes.

- Q. Because of that, you took some time away from your current position to come testify today, right?
  - A. Yes.
- Q. And even though you're no longer with Midwest Generation, you're familiar with the historical and current operations of the Will County Station, correct?
  - A. Yes, I am.
- Q. Can you please briefly describe your duties as operations manager?
- A. Mainly day-to-day operations of the operating department, doing lottos, making decisions to safely make electricity; and in addition to that, being a manager level, I was involved with maintenance, engineering, and administration to make decisions for the longevity of the plant.
  - Q. How long were you in that role?

A. From 2016 until last week.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

- Q. Were you with the Will County Station prior to that?
- A. Yes. I've been employed there since the mid '80s. Previous to the operation manager position, I spent seven years as the operating planner, which was -- involved in a lot of these decisions working with contractors and stuff like that.
- Q. As we talked about earlier, you signed an affidavit that was attached to Midwest Generation's variance petition. Have you reviewed that affidavit recently?
  - A. Yes, I have.
- Q. And are the facts stated in your affidavit true today?
  - A. Yes.
  - Q. And just as a preliminary question, the address of the Will County Station is 529 East Romeo Road in Romeoville, Illinois, correct?
    - A. Correct.
- MS. SNITTJER: And that responds to the Board's Question Number 1.
- 23 By MS. SNITTJER:
  - Q. Mr. Castle, Ponds 1N and 1S are not part of

Page 13 1 the current operations at Will County Station, 2. correct? 3 Α. Yes. Correct. 4 And they were removed from service in 2010? 0. 5 Α. Yes. 6 And they don't receive any ash or liquid as Q. 7 part of the station's operations, correct? That is correct. 8 Α. So they're inactive CCR surface impoundments? 9 Q. 10 Α. Yes. 11 MS. ZEIVEL: Objection. Who's testifying? 12 feel like the facts are coming from the witness -- I'm 13 sorry -- the facts are coming from the attorney. The witness should be testifying. 14 15 MS. SNITTJER: We're just -- I mean, this is 16 mostly -- almost all of this was in the petition. 17 We're just trying to move through this pretty quickly, 18 so. 19 HEARING OFFICER WEBB: I mean, I'm okay with 20 moving this forward as long as the witness is obviously agreeing with the statements. 21 22 MS. SNITTJER: Thank you.

And Ponds 1N and 1S have a dew watering

BY MS. SNITTJER:

Q.

23

# system, correct?

1

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

16

17

18

19

20

22

23

- A. Yes.
- Q. Can you describe that dew watering system?
- A. It's a simple low-point drain basically that has rip rock to keep impurities out, and any rainwater which would fall into the pond would flow into an underground system as part of our wet well and our recycle system.
- Q. So Ponds 1N and 1S do not accumulate or store liquid, correct?
- 11 A. Just rainwater is all that would enter the pond.
- MS. SNITTJER: I'm going to hand out a variance petition, Exhibit F.
- 15 BY MS. SNITTJER:
  - Q. This is a copy of the engineering drawings prepared by Patrick Engineering in 2013 for pond 1S and 1N modifications, correct?
    - A. Yes.
    - Q. And are you familiar with these drawings?
- 21 A. Yes.
  - Q. And is the modification that these drawings are showing the dewatering system in Ponds 1N and 1S?
    - A. Yes. It's along the western edge of the

1 pond.

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Q. So turning to page C-3, what is this? What is this photo?
- A. That's just an aerial view of the ponds and it shows the U-shaped rip rock that is on the one pond that's on the southwest corner, the other one is on the northwest corner, that allows the rainwater to potentially drain into our recycle system.
- Q. Can you describe for us where the water drains out of the ponds in this photo?

You can hold it up and show if that would be helpful.

- A. It's up here on 1 North, over here on 1 South, and it goes into an underground culvert system.
  - Q. Turning to page C-4, what is this drawing?
- A. This is a construction drawing showing elevations and how the water levels would -- how it enters into the underground system and then back.
- Q. Ponds 1N and 1S are constructed in the same way, correct?
  - A. Yes.
- Q. Can you explain on this drawing, under Ponds
  1N and 1S, the outlet reconfiguration at the bottom

center of this drawing, can you explain where the water drains out on this photo?

2.

- A. On the left side under the ponds and outlet with the -- you could see the rock which would gravity-feed down into the trench system, which is awfully small. And then it would flow towards the right, which is how they make entry into the culvert system, which would flow to our active recycle system. That's how we contain, treat, maintain levels in the recycle system.
- Q. So the water drains out of the bottom of the pond, correct?
- A. Any water would, yes, gravity-feed drain out the bottom, go through the rip rock, into the culvert. Yes.
- Q. And then it drains into the wastewater treatment plant, correct?
  - A. It enters into that system, yes.
- Q. So if there's no water flowing into these ponds, why do they need a dewatering system?
- A. It was strictly put in for potential rainwater.
  - Q. Is that because the ponds are open-air ponds?
    - A. They're open to the atmosphere, yes

- Q. But neither of these ponds would hold or retain 12 inches of water, correct?
- A. No. I've never seen any water in these ponds since they've installed these systems. Operations makes rounds daily.
- Q. And it's only rainwater that enters these ponds?
  - A. Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

- Q. Does Midwest Generation measure the amount of water that -- rainwater that flows out of the pond?
  - A. We do not.
- Q. So Midwest Generation does not know how much liquid is drained out from these ponds into the wastewater treatment plant on an annual basis?
  - A. Correct. We have no idea.
  - Q. And why don't we know that?
- A. It's not required by the permit, to my knowledge.
- MS. SNITTJER: And that responds to Board's Ouestion Number 3.
  - MR. RAO: Just to clarify, in the petition it was stated that the drainage system has been installed to prevent accumulation of a liquid beyond one foot on the bottom of the ponds.

So you're saying that there's no -- you're not maintaining a one-foot head on the bottom of the pond, right?

THE WITNESS: Correct.

MR. RAO: So as far as you know, if there's any precipitation even, it just drains off, there's no accumulation of rainwater?

THE WITNESS: Correct. I personally have never seen any water sitting/standing in the ponds, no.

MR. RAO: Thank you.

BY MS. SNITTJER:

- Q. And you said that the liquid would drain into the wastewater treatment plant at the station. Is that permitted by the NPDES permit?
- A. If we're required to discharge, yes, it's under NPDES requirements, the sample.
- Q. Could this water also be recycled throughout the facility?
- A. Yes. The reason for the underground system itself is part of a closed loop so that when we use it to operate the plant on the active ponds and the one remaining unit, it all feeds into the common, it's called wet wells, and we can maintain that level. We

Page 19 1 call it treating when we discharge. That's how we maintain a consistent level. 2. 3 And Ponds 1N and 1S are lined, correct? 0. 4 Yes, with a Poz-o-Pac, basically like a Α. 5 concrete. 6 Are you aware of any cracks in the pond 1N or Q. 7 1S liners? 8 Α. I am not. 9 Does Will County Station have a Fugitive Dust Q. Plan? 10 11 Α. Yes, we do. 12 (Whereupon, Petitioner's Hearing Exhibit R was marked for 13 14 identification.) 15 BY MS. SNITTJER: 16 Q. Handing you what will be Petitioner's 17 Exhibit R, Mr. Castle, what is this document? This is our Fugitive Dust Plan that was 18 Α. 19 submitted by our previous plant manager which just 20 lays out the guidelines and expectations of controlling fugitive dust at Will County Station. 21 22 Was the Fugitive Dust Plan developed and Q. stored in the ordinary course of business? 23 24 Α. Yes.

- Q. And are you familiar with the contents of this Fugitive Dust Plan?
  - A. Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

- Q. So this Fugitive Dust Plan applies to the entire station, correct?
  - A. Correct.
- Q. So any fugitive dust at Ponds 1N and 1S would be monitored and managed according to this plan, correct?
- 10 A. Yes.
- Q. And the purpose of this plan is to ensure fugitive dust does not leave the station, right?
- 13 A. Correct.
- Q. Are you aware that there's a separate

  Fugitive Dust Plan for CCR surface impoundments at the
  station?
- 17 A. Yes.
- Q. Currently that only applies to Ponds 2S and 19 3S, correct?
- 20 A. Correct.
- Q. And it does not include Ponds 1N and 1S at this time, right?
- 23 A. Correct.
  - Q. Are you aware that the deadline in Illinois

CCR rule to incorporate Ponds 1N and 1S into this separate Fugitive Dust Plan is October 31, 2021?

A. Yes.

1

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

- Q. And Midwest Generation is no longer requesting an extension of the deadline to incorporate Ponds 1N and 1S into this separate Fugitive Dust Plan, correct?
  - A. Correct.
- Q. So by the October 31st deadline, Ponds 1N and 1S will be covered by both of these Fugitive Dust Plans, the CCR surface impoundment plan as well as the facility Fugitive Dust Plan?
- A. Yes.
  - Q. How do you manage dust at Ponds 1N and 1S under the facility Fugitive Dust Plan?
  - A. We monitor it and we apply water in areas where it's required to knock the dust down if we found some water applications with a truck.
  - Q. Is the process to manage the dust at Ponds 1N and 1S expected to change once it's incorporated into the new Fugitive Dust Plan?
- A. No.
- Q. I'm handing you what will be marked as
  Petitioner's Hearing Exhibit S, Mr. Castle what is

### this document?

1

2.

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

13

14

15

A. This is the air monitoring network open for public viewing registered with the IEPA, I believe.

(Whereupon, Petitioner's Hearing

Exhibit S was marked for

identification.)

7 BY MS. SNITTJER:

- Q. And this is a publicly available document on the Illinois EPA's website, correct?
- A. Yes.
- 11 Q. Have you reviewed this document?
- 12 A. I have.
  - Q. And according to this document, where is the closest air monitoring station to Will County Station?
    - A. Joliet, at an elementary school.
- 16 Q. Is it a PM2.5 monitor?
- 17 A. Yes.
- Q. And can Illinois EPA's air monitoring station
  in Joliet detect fugitive dust from the Will County
- 20 | Station?
- 21 A. No. Fugitive dust, we keep it from leaving
- 22 the property.
- Q. And that's the purpose of the Fugitive Dust
- 24 | Plan, right?

- 1 A. Correct.
- 2 MS. SNITTJER: And that answers Board's
- 3 Question Number 2.
- 4 BY MS. SNITTJER:

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

- Q. Just a couple final questions. For Will
  County Station, Midwest Generation intends to comply
  with all of the technical requirements of the Illinois
  CCR rule, correct?
  - A. Yes.
  - Q. And Ponds 1N and 1S are going to be closed in compliance with all of the technical requirements of the Illinois CCR rule?
- 13 A. Correct.
- MS. ZEIVEL: Objection. This witness no
  longer works for Midwest Generation and cannot attest
  to what they will do in the future.
- HEARING OFFICER WEBB: Well, sustained, I suppose.
- MS. GALE: We will have Sharene Shealey on the stand to say this.
- 21 BY MS. SNITTJER:
- Q. What is Midwest Generation asking for in this petition?
  - A. They're asking for a little bit longer to

give us time to get our plans together for corrective actions to meet the requirements.

- Q. And up until a week ago when you left Midwest Generation, did Midwest Generation intend to comply with all of the technical requirements of the Illinois CCR rule?
  - A. Yes, we did.
- Q. And did Midwest Generation intend to close
  Ponds 1N and 1S in accordance with all the technical
  requirements under the Illinois CCR rule?
- A. Yes.

1

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

15

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

12 MS. SNITTJER: Thank you. That's all.

13 HEARING OFFICER WEBB: Ms. Zeivel, do you

14 | have any questions for the witness?

MS. ZEIVEL: I do.

16 CROSS-EXAMINATION

- 17 BY MS. ZEIVEL:
  - Q. I'm looking at Petitioner's Exhibit F which has the pictures that you were referring to.
    - A. Okay.
    - Q. I think this is from, it says, the 2013
      Modification Plan. Do you know when these pictures
      that were included in that plan, do you know when
      these pictures are from?

A. I do not.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

17

18

21

22

23

24

Q. You attested that the ponds have not received sluiced water and ash since 2010. I just want to understand what I'm looking at in these pictures.

Within these ponds, at the time these pictures were taken, which we don't know when that was, can you describe what the coloring is within the pond? What do you understand to be in the ponds at the time that these pictures were taken?

- A. I would be speculating, but I would say vegetation.
- MR. RAO: Ms. Zeivel, you're referencing to C-3?
- MS. ZEIVEL: C-3, the figure he was referencing earlier, yes.
- 16 BY MS. ZEIVEL:
  - Q. So, to your knowledge, there is vegetation within these ponds, these impoundments?
- 19 A. Yes, there's some grass that has grown in 20 there over the years.
  - Q. So can you see the bottom of the impoundments visually?
  - A. The bottom where --
    - Q. I mean, if there's no CCR and there's no

- liquid, what do you see in the ponds when you look at them?
  - A. Just basically dirt, vegetation, weeds.
- Q. So when you say that you never see any water in the ponds, is it possible that there's water in those impoundments that you don't visibly see because your visual observations are impeded by all the materials that have accumulated in the ponds?
- A. Possibly some -- a little bit of water. But to say running rivers, standing water 8, 10, 12 inches deep, I would say no.
- Q. To your knowledge, does vegetation need water to grow?
  - A. Sure.
  - Q. Can we move to the C-4 figure?
- A. Okay.

1

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

- Q. You're describing that the drainage system is at the bottom of the impoundments. Does that mean that -- I'm visualizing a bathtub with a drain at the very bottom.
  - A. Okay.
- Q. Is this drainage system physically at the bottom of the impoundment or is it on the side located near the bottom?

1 A. It's on the side near the bottom.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Q. Do you know how far above the physical bottom of the impoundment that drain is located on the side?
- A. I have not measured it. When you look at it, it is sloped down to where it meets the culvert system. I could not give you a number.
- Q. So this figure -- and I'm asking out of ignorance, but this figure doesn't tell us how far above the bottom of the impoundment that gravity-driven inlet is located?
- A. If I had a magnifying glass where I could study this a little better I might be able to answer it. I can't really read it, to be honest with you, even with the best of my bifocals that I'm trying to focus with.
- Q. Okay. That's fine. I'm just trying to understand the system.

So if this gravity-driven inlet is located on the side of the impoundment near to the bottom but not on the bottom, then any precipitation or water accumulation that enters the pond below the inlet, where does that go?

A. I don't understand how it could enter below the inlet as the inlet is on a low point.

Q. But it's not on the bottom?

- A. No, not like a bathtub drain, to your analogy. But it is on a low point on the side of it that the pond is kind of angling towards so that water would come to that point.
- Q. I guess I'm trying to understand, if the inlet is not on the bottom, at the physical bottom of the impoundment, how you are testifying that there is zero water accumulation in the water impoundment if there is a downward slope of the impoundment that's located beneath the gravity-driven inlet?
- A. I don't believe it's below it. I would make the analogy of your home, your ground anything, if you get rain, the water will naturally find its course to the lowest spot, would be -- if I'm trying to help you understand or paint a picture.
- Q. So it's your testimony that the inlet is located at the lowest spot of the these CCR surface impoundments?
- A. That's the way it was designed; and for my eye to look at it, that's what I see. To say that I had a surveyor and I could testify to that, no. I just have seen the function of it over the years.
  - Q. So I think you testified that you're not

## measuring the amount of water that flows out?

A. Correct. Yes.

1

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

24

- Q. But are you aware of how often that happens or how often your other system is receiving this pond water?
- A. When it rains. That would be the only source of water that can enter the pond.
- Q. Is that based on your visual observation of the operation or just your working knowledge of how the system is intended to work?
- A. That would be working knowledge. There is no plant operations that would put water in the pond, it would only be rain.
- MS. ZEIVEL: Thank you, Mr. Castle. I don't have anymore questions.
- MR. RAO: I just have follow-up to your answer to Question Number 1. You provided the address of the facility. And we'd also ask for you to describe the area impacted by the facility during --

MS. GALE: I'm --

MR. RAO: Are you? Oh, okay. Got it.

22 You're off the hook.

23 HEARING OFFICER WEBB: Any redirect?

MS. SNITTJER: No. We're good.

Page 30 1 HEARING OFFICER WEBB: Now you're done. 2 Thank you. 3 (Witness excused.) 4 HEARING OFFICER WEBB: The Petitioner may 5 call her next witness. 6 MS. GALE: Yes. Midwest Generation calls 7 Mr. Richard Gnat. HEARING OFFICER WEBB: Would the court 8 9 reporter please swear in the witness. 10 (Witness duly sworn.) 11 RICHARD GNAT, 12 having been first duly sworn, called as a witness herein, was examined and testified as follows: 13 DIRECT EXAMINATION 14 BY MS. GALE: 15 16 Q. Good morning, Mr. Gnat. Can you please state 17 your name. 18 Α. Richard Gnat, G-n-a-t. 19 0. Who do you work for? 20 Α. KPRG & Associates. 21 What's your position? Q. 22 I'm a principal of the company, part owner, Α. and by trade I'm a hydrogeologist. 23 24 Can you just briefly describe for us what you Q.

### do for KPRG?

2.

- A. I run the Wisconsin office and I direct and manage the various technical aspects of our work primarily associated with soil and groundwater impacts.
- Q. In this case, what is KPRG doing for Midwest Generation at the Will County Station, generally speaking?
- A. We've done a number of different projects at the Will County Station. However, most recently most of our work is dealing with the groundwater monitoring networks around the ash ponds at the station as well as assisting with some of the permit preparation ongoing currently.
- Q. And, generally speaking, you are familiar with the requirements in the Illinois CCR rule for the operating permit application and the construction permit application?
  - A. Yes, I am.
- Q. How are you familiar? What's your experience with those requirements?
- A. I was involved with sitting in and assisting Midwest Generation on evaluation of the proposed rule, not just the State rule but also the Federal Rules

when they were coming out. So I sat in on most of the hearings and I reviewed the drafts and provided some thoughts.

- Q. And, generally speaking, in part of your position at KPRG, you participated in preparing groundwater models, right?
- A. Yes. I'm not a groundwater modeler; however,
  I have directed and helped develop some groundwater
  models, correct.
- Q. And you have significant experience in establishing groundwater monitoring programs, right?
  - A. Yes, I do.

1

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

- Q. Can you describe that for us generally?
- A. Yeah. I was involved in developing groundwater monitoring networks for landfills for various RCRA units as RCRA was first kicking in, as well as assisting with the groundwater evaluations around the impoundments at the various stations.
- Q. And does that monitoring program include statistical analysis?
  - A. Not in all cases, but in many cases, yes.
- Q. And what kind of statistical analysis are you familiar with?
  - A. For groundwater monitoring, you generally

- have to develop a background dataset, and once you 1 have sufficient number of background data points, you 2. 3 evaluate them for distribution: Is it a normal distribution? Not a normal distribution? Then what 4 5 is the best way then to develop a statistical 6 background so that you can compare the downgradient 7 concentrations to the upgradient concentrations. And then sometimes you also have to look at the well 8 itself and is there any treading over time. 9
  - Q. Mr. Gnat, you signed an affidavit for Midwest Generation's petition for a variance for Ponds 1N and 1S, correct?
  - A. Correct.

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Q. Have you reviewed that affidavit recently?
- A. Yes, I have.
  - Q. Are the facts stated in your affidavit true today?
    - A. Yes, they are.
- Q. Excellent.

Mr. Gnat, handing you -- well, we realized yesterday that Petitioner's Exhibit G did not have all of the figures. So this has all of the figures. It's the same exhibit as G except all the figures referenced in the exhibit. But it has -- the ones

attached has Will County's figures. So we'll call it -- well, I guess we should probably call it Petitioner's Exhibit T just to make the record correct. Other than having more figures, it's identical to what was filed.

(Whereupon, Petitioner's Hearing
Exhibit T was marked for
identification.)

BY MS. GALE:

- Q. So, Mr. Gnat, what is Petitioner's Exhibit, now, T?
- A. This is a letter from Midwest Generation to Illinois EPA dated July 15, 2009, and this is in regards to groundwater use in the vicinity of the various impoundments at the various stations, and I believe some of the background work that's referenced within this Midwest Generation letter was developed by a company called Natural Resources Technologies, NRT.
- Q. Can you turn to -- and it's actually page -- well, the last page is blank -- but page 13 which is the figure for the Will County Station.
  - A. Yes.
  - Q. Mr. Gnat, what does this show?
  - A. This is kind of a typical figure for somebody

that's looking at potable water wells around the area that's of interest, which, in this case, are the ash ponds, and it's, I believe, a 2500-foot radius, which is kind of the standard search radius being used in Illinois and other states as well.

- Q. And regarding the potable wells, where are the potable wells in relation to the Will County Station?
- A. There are some wells. The yellow dots on this figure -- and it doesn't come out quite well here -- there are some yellow dots on the figure, and those are the potable water wells. And there are several dots within the radius here and there are two within the station area, one to the east -- I'm sorry -- one to the north of where the ponds are, kind of by Romeo Road, and then one to the south, some to the south of the ponds there.

There are a couple other dots on here, too, and I believe those were -- I did not put together but having read the document -- sometimes from the databases you plot a well based on the coordinates that are provided in the database, but you really got to field-truth them a little bit as well. There are some coordinates that plop in the middle of the river

or whatnot, and those tend to be incorrectly within the database itself, incorrectly located. So you got to do some field-truthing.

And my understanding is that we really have two potable water wells within this area, one to the north of the impoundments, one to the south of the -- I'm sorry -- to the east of the impoundments. Both of those are production wells owned by Midwest Generation, and the construction on those is approximately 1500 feet deep.

### Q. Right.

2.

So there is a yellow dot to the south of pond 3S, and your understanding is there's not actually a potable well there, correct?

- A. No. That's correct. That's actually a surface impoundment there, so there's certainly no well. Those are surface impoundments.
  - Q. Thank you.

And looking at the same figure, what are the surface waters nearby?

A. You've got the Chicago Sanitary and Ship Canal which borders the station to the east and then the Des Plaines River, which borders the station to the west.

- Q. And the station's permitted outfall goes to the Chicago Sanitary and Ship Canal? Say that four times. Right?
  - A. I believe that's correct, yes.
  - Q. Thank you.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

And the groundwater flow at the station, can you, at least around the ponds -- we certainly don't have the entire station -- can you generally describe the groundwater flow around the ponds?

- A. Sure. The vicinity of -- the groundwater flow in the vicinity of the ponds is to the west.
- Q. I want to turn to the operating permit application. You and your firm are conducting the groundwater monitoring for Midwest Generation at the stations for the Federal CCR rule, correct?
  - A. Yes.
- Q. And you're also conducting the groundwater monitoring for the Illinois CCR rule, right?
  - A. Yes, we are.
- Q. Ponds 1N and 1S, though, are not covered by the Federal CCR rule, right?
  - A. That is correct.
- Q. So what have you had to do since Illinois CCR rule was passed?

A. Since the Ponds 1N and 1S were determined to be included under the State rule, we had to re-evaluate the monitoring well system that was around those two particular ponds. And we needed to add -- we added three additional monitoring wells on the downgradient side of the two ponds.

### Q. And describe how you did -- what you had to do to install those wells?

A. The first thing we needed to do was to move some fence that was currently right at the western side of the access road there in order to be able to locate the wells in an area that will be out of traffic. And so we just basically had to push the fence line back in several locations to provide the access and pull up a little bit of a drilling path for the drilling.

## Q. And so when were the wells actually installed?

- A. The wells were installed in April.
- Q. But were you able to sample in April?
- A. No. Once the wells were installed, we need to know how deep the wells are, the geometry of that well, and what we do is -- all of our other wells have dedicated sampling systems, bladder pump systems, and

we need to provide what the geometry of the well is to the manufacturer and they cut the systems to order for each well specifically.

So once we set the wells and knew what the geometry was, we got that information over to QED, which is the firm that we use for our pump systems, and they needed a couple of weeks to get the materials and get us those pumps.

- Q. And that's unusual actually, right? Usually it's pretty quick, but there's delays?
- A. Right. Usually we can get a week to ten-day turn. This was a little bit more like two weeks or a little more.
  - Q. When were the dedicated pumps installed?
- 15 A. They were installed at the end of April, 16 beginning of May.
  - Q. And when was the first sample taken?
  - A. I believe it was in the first week of May.
  - Q. And you and your firm are preparing the operating permit applications for the Midwest Gen stations, correct?
    - A. Yes, we are.
- 23 Q. And that's five stations total, right?
  - A. Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

17

18

19

20

21

22

- Q. And for all five stations, there are a total of nine Federal CCR surface impoundments, right?
  - A. I believe that's correct, yes.
- Q. Approximately how many people at KPRG are working on the operating permit application?
- A. Currently we have five people working on various parts of the applications, and then as we need additional personnel to help with some foot work, looking some things up or tables or whatnot we --

THE COURT REPORTER: Can you keep your voice up, please?

THE WITNESS: We can draw on several other people as well to assist in items like doing some foot work for looking some things up, for tables, figures, and so on.

BY MS. GALE:

- Q. Okay. The operating permit applications generally, to your recollection, what sort of information is involved?
- A. Well, upfront you need to develop a fairly good history of the particular pond, when it was built, any initial drawings on the initial construction, any subsequent modifications to the ponds, get an understanding of what might have been

put into those ponds over time, as well as what the most recent use of the ponds were. So kind of develop that overall history of the pond.

Q. And -- I'm sorry. Go on.

2.

- A. And then also there are various other things. Obviously we need to develop a groundwater monitoring program for it. We have to develop a preliminary closure plan as part of the operating permit. So a number of things that go into it. Develop some understanding of what the chemistry of the ash is as well and so on.
- Q. Even for the Federal CCR units that have this information, approximately how many hours will it take to prepare the operating permit application?
- A. We're estimating for operating permits approximately 600 hours of time.
- Q. And then for those CCR surface impoundments such as Ponds 1N and 1S that do not have that information, approximately how many hours would it take to complete all that work?
- A. Right. For the ponds that weren't included under the federal rule where we didn't have some of this information already generated, that's going to take substantially longer, couple hundred hours more.

You've got to go out and establish the background groundwater quality. And so a number of eight rounds of background samples, so on and so forth. There's a whole additional amount of work that needs to be done on these impoundments that weren't part of the Federal rule that we have already in place for the other impoundments.

- Q. So the eight rounds, eight independent rounds of sampling that you just mentioned, once that's completed, what has to be done?
- A. Once you get your eight rounds of background data, you have to do the statistical evaluations to determine what your actual background concentration is, and then you develop your -- whatever you decide is going to be your comparison value, prediction limit, tolerance limit, whatever in terms of statistically, you develop that, and that's when you can compare downgradient to -- yeah, downgradient to the upgradient background.

But when we develop our systems as well, we usually try and have more than one upgradient point.

And so what becomes an important part of that is, with any statistics, the more data you have, the better your evaluation is.

Page 43

So with each parameter, with each of these ponds we have two upgradient wells, and for each parameter then we take a look at what the distribution is in each of the ungradient wells, and then we also compare two upgradient wells to each other and see if there's a statistically significance variance for that particular parameter. If there isn't, then we can pull the data, so instead of having eight sets of data, eight rounds of data, we actually have 16 data points.

Then in some cases there is a variance between the two, so then we have to determine which wells they best represent for the evaluations, what the background will be for that particular constituent.

- Q. So, Mr. Gnat, the steps for the statistical analysis, it starts with the data, but then what happens? You put it into a computer?
- A. Right. We use a program called Sanitas, which was actually developed for groundwater purposes under RCRA and which is very similar program in many facets. And the first thing we have to do is take the data that we get from the lab and convert those into flat files and feed those into the program. Then if

you run a series of quick sample calculations, say, for example, averages or variances just to make sure that the program is picking up all the data points that you put in, and if not, you have to go back in, see which data points it's not picking up, and correct that. And once you get a good comfort level that it's picking up all of the data properly, then you can initiate the actual statistical calculations for the program.

Q. So, Mr. Gnat, the Board asked Board

Question 4(a), and I'll just read it: "Please clarify
whether statistical procedures for evaluating
groundwater data is selected only after the data
collection is completed."

Can you just answer that question for the Board, please?

A. Sure. So what can be done prior to getting a full set of data, and I think perhaps that the word "procedure" is where some of the confusion becomes.

We can lay out -- as part of our operating permits, we're going to lay out or provide what our proposed statistical approach is. This is kind of the procedure we're going to use as a company to evaluate this data. And this lays out a whole series of steps

and kind of decision tree as to, depending on how your data looks, which way you may go, which method you may use. But you can't decide which path you're going to take or which actual method you're going to use until you have that full dataset.

2.

So you can have an idea of what the approach is that you're going to use and have your decision tree all ready to use, but you can't do it until you have all eight rounds of data.

Q. And the next question, board question, we'll get to, but I think it requires some background first.

There are groundwater monitoring wells around Ponds 1N and 1S, correct?

A. Yes, there are. There were originally a handful that were put in as part of a voluntary hydrogeologic evaluation and groundwater monitoring program that I believe was initiated in the fourth quarter of 2010. But once it was determined that these ponds were going to be covered under the State rule, when we looked at that monitoring network, it wouldn't fulfill the requirements of the rule, so we expanded that monitoring network.

Currently, under the current network that we're sampling to meet State rule, we have nine

monitoring wells.

2.

- Q. Right. Before there was only one downgradient well for each pond, correct?
  - A. Correct.
- Q. And under that other program, you were sampling in a different method, right?
- A. That's correct. Under the other program, which we call the CCA, Compliance Commitment Agreement program at this point, that was for dissolved constituents. The Federal rule and the State rule required total, which is -- and the difference is, for dissolved, you filter the sample in the field prior to sending it to the lab for analysis. And under total constituents, you don't do that filtering step; you just collect the sample and send that off to the lab for analysis.
- Q. So Board Question 4(b): "Please comment on whether the statistical procedures could be chosen on the basis of the existing groundwater monitoring data."

I'm presuming the Board means existing CCA data. So with that presumption -- and correct me if I'm wrong -- can those procedures be chosen with that data?

A. No. Again, that data, the CCA data is dissolved constituents, and even though in other work that we've done in looking at the dissolved and the totals, they are pretty similar but they're not the same. And not the same can be very important in statistics.

So you can get an idea and it helps you perhaps choose or develop that decision tree that I was talking about. But to make the actual determination as to how you're going to evaluate this specific dataset, statistically you have to wait for the eight rounds of total analyses.

#### Q. Thank you.

# Mr. Gnat, this statistical analysis that you've been discussing, how much time does that take?

A. Even though we're using a computer program, which certainly speeds up the process, the calculation process itself, let's first consider we got nine wells, 22 parameters each well, and you potentially have to look at each well individually as well for each parameter. That's 198 calculations separately, if I remember my math correct.

As you're doing this, even though you're using a computer program and you're running it and

you're doing several days' worth of work and you're looking at all these printouts coming out, you have to make sure that the stuff coming out of the program is making sense from a technical standpoint, and that takes human time.

2.

And just from experience on doing a lot of these types of evaluations, every once in awhile, after staring at numbers and at different runs for three, four days, you have to get up and walk away and come back two, three days later and take a look at the same thing with a fresh set of eyes just to make sure that you haven't lost the forest for the trees and that you come to the same conclusion and if not what was the difference.

So there is that human process that's involved here. Otherwise, you're getting a computer print-out and you're going to assume the computer is correct in all other type of interpretations.

- Q. And then this statistical analysis and the statistical method chosen that you've been discussing, that must be submitted in the operating permit application, right?
  - A. That is correct.
  - Q. And that's for the Agency's review and

### approval, correct?

2.

- A. Yes, it is.
- Q. So when you submit all this information, the groundwater -- I'm sorry -- and that includes the proposed groundwater protection standards, correct?
- A. That is correct. So once we develop what we believe is an appropriate background for a particular constituent for a pond, then we take a look at that background calculation, that background value versus the standard which is provided in 845.600, and the higher of the two values is the proposed groundwater protection standard.

And so our operating permit will have a table showing what all the -- what the calculated background value is, what the proposed or what the 845.600 value is, and then the higher of the two is the proposed groundwater protection standard. And that would be the value then that they're using for comparison purposes.

- Q. And you use the term "proposed" here. What do you mean by proposed? What do you think that means?
- A. This is -- until the Agency reviews it and agrees with our evaluation and our analysis, which we

would hope they do, however the Agency may look and say, no, we don't agree with you on this particular parameter, the way you approached this, or the statistical evaluation on that particular parameter. They may not agree.

So then that would have to be addressed in kind of a back-and-forth comment/response type session with IEPA until we get to an agreement. Then that becomes the approved one. Once the Agency approves those values, those are the approved values as opposed to proposed.

- Q. So, Mr. Gnat, until we have eight rounds of independent sampling and the statistical analysis we've been discussing and a chosen of the groundwater protection standards, can it be determined whether Ponds 1N or 1S are causing groundwater contamination?
- A. Not with any level of certainty. Certainly we'll say we've got a lot of the CCA data and so on, and, yeah, we can make an educated guess. At the end of the day an educated guess is still a guess, which I've said that before.

And I know that there was -- in one of the Board questions, there was a question in regards to a couple parameters, either boron -- I'll wait until

that question --

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

- Q. So today, in your opinion, is there enough information available to know whether the ponds are a Category 4 or a Category 6?
  - A. Not with any certainty, no.
- Q. I want to turn to the construction permit applications. You're also preparing the construction permit applications for the Illinois CCR rule for Midwest Generation, correct?
  - A. Yes.
- Q. And how many construction permit applications are you preparing for submission to the Agency by February 1, 2022?
- 14 A. By February 1st, I believe there are four, 15 five.
  - Q. Four sounds about right?
- 17 A. Yes.
- Q. Mr. Gnat, when do those applications need to be done?
- A. Well, the February 1st date is the hard date for submittal after 60 days of public comment and participation.
- So 60 days prior to that, which would be roughly, say, December 1st, December 2nd time frame.

That's when a draft has, and it's got to be a fairly good draft of that permit, needs to be posted online for public consumption. And then within 30 days of the February 1st date I believe there has to be a public meeting and go through that and then incorporate any of the public issues on that. And then the final gets submitted on February 1st. So it's really February 1st minus 60 days.

#### Q. Thank you.

2.

And, Mr. Gnat, what is required to be included in a construction permit application, to your recollection?

A. There is a lot of information that's somewhat similar to what's in the operating permit, but then there's some other specific items in there on the structural stability analysis needs to be performed for that, for the berms. There's a numerical groundwater model that's required under the construction permit, which is a fairly large task in and of itself. There's an evaluation of engineering alternatives for either closure or corrective action. And then each of those four or five preferred alternatives, one of which has to be evaluating whether or not a full excavation of the material is

reasonable.

2.

All those evaluations then also get fed back into that groundwater model to allow for some predictions on long-term items that also have to be considered, short-term effects, long-term effects of the various options.

- Q. Okay.
- A. Then the closure and post-closure plan in fair detail.
- Q. Right. So you mentioned groundwater modeling. Can you just give a general description of what is involved with that?
- A. Sure. What's required under the regulation is a numerical groundwater model, which is a three-dimensional mathematical simulation of the groundwater flow conditions beneath the site. So the first part of that exercise is developing -- is taking the stratigraphy of the site and developing the conceptual model and then building your computer model around that and then calibrating it so that you use all the water levels that you have obtained over time and you calibrate the models so that it's recreating the current groundwater flow system.

Once that's done and you're comfortable that

the model is giving you a good idea from a hydrogeologic standpoint on how things are behaving, then you can prep what's called the Contaminant Transport portion of it, which is a separate plug-in to the model, so to speak, which then allows you to develop what the current spread on the chemistry that we're seeing in the groundwater.

2.

Once you have that baseline set that's providing information and a picture that's pretty darn close to what you're seeing in the field, you have some confidence then as you overlay subsequent engineering evaluations, you can predict in the future what that scenario will assist with groundwater quality.

- Q. And that's a pretty time-consuming operation, right?
- A. Yes. I believe the groundwater models are estimated at about 400 hours in developing that base model. And then for engineering, evaluating individual engineering options once you have that base model set up, three, four days per option-type. And then the engineers tweak it a little bit and then you rerun it. But each run is probably three days or so.

# Q. And then, Mr. Gnat, you mentioned a closure alternatives analysis. What is that?

A. That's where the engineering side of the house takes a look at what all the different potential engineering options are for either closing of the unit or corrective action as necessary, retrofitting, whatever. What are all the different engineering options, one of which it specifies you have to evaluate complete excavation.

Then basically, to really get an idea of how these might work, you need to take your three, four, five best options, which you believe are the best options, and you really have to come up with about a 30 percent design so that you've got a pretty good idea as to how this option is really going to play out, and that's what gets fed into the groundwater model.

And then within each option you might have several sub options. So, for example, with transportation issues, you can load trucks; you might be able to bring rail in, you might be able to load barges. You have to evaluate each of those subparts of a particular evaluation of what a particular option might be as well.

- Q. So you have the closure alternatives analysis; you have the modeling; then you mentioned a closure plan. What is that closure plan; what's that supposed to look like?
- A. The closure plan is basically what we anticipate is going to be the selected option for either closure or corrective action, and that lays out, in fair detail, what you intend to do or what you're proposing to do. And doing the step from the corrective measures or from the engineering and evaluation of alternatives and the modeling, you already have about a 30 percent design.

So once you decide -- you know roughly what you want to do, take that step to developing enough -- putting more meat onto it for the closure plan so it also meets the requirements under the permit -- construction permit requirements. So that's probably an additional two, three weeks of work, engineering work on that one option.

- Q. And can you make a closure plan before the closure alternatives analysis?
  - A. No.

- Q. Why?
- A. Well, just you have to go through the process

of determining what all your options are before you can provide your justifications as to the option that you're choosing. You might be able to, again, take an educated guess, but it would be a guess.

- Q. And I think you gave a bunch of estimates of time, 400 hours for the modeling and then a few more days to adjust the modeling, and if I -- I don't remember -- how long did you say it was going to take to do an alternative analysis?
- A. The alternatives analysis is probably four to six weeks' worth of work.
- Q. And then a closure plan I think you said about five weeks of work.

All of those estimates are based upon assuming nothing goes wrong. Right?

A. Correct.

Q. Mr. Gnat, I want to go back to the operating permit application because of Board Question 7. The Board asks, 7(a): "Please clarify if Midwest Generation plans to submit the location restriction demonstration with the initial operating permit application on the proposed deadline of March 31, 2022."

Could you please answer that question?

- 1 A. I believe so, yes.
  - Q. So Midwest Generation will submit the location demonstration on March 31, 2022, right?
    - A. Yes.

Q. Thank you.

And Board Question 6, the Board identifies
Midwest Generation's statement that, "there's no harm
caused by waiting a mere five months to collect the
requisite data to accurately identify the Category
designation for the basins."

Mr. Gnat, can you clarify whether causation of "harm" in that statement refers to adverse environmental impact; and can you tell us whether there's an adverse environmental impact to the extension of the deadlines to designate the closure prioritization?

A. Well, when I hear that, in terms of the harm causation, I usually think of that as "Is this particular unit causing an immediate threat to human health and the environment?"

And knowing that we don't have any potable water wells downgradient of these units, no other receptors in the area, human receptors, then I do not see any harm there.

- 1
- Any adverse impact? Q.
- 2.
- Α. Any adverse impact.
- 3
- Q. Right.

4 5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23 24

And then the Agency Board Question 6(e), the Agency identified alleged exceedances of Class 1 groundwater standards for boron, chloride, sulfate, and TDS.

Can you just comment on any implications of extending the deadline for submitting the construction permit application for those potentially migrating groundwater constituents?

Α. When I looked at that question, I Sure. quickly looked back at the data, and the data that I'd imagine that was being looked at is the CCA data for resolved metals. Like I said, obviously they're very similar to totals but not exactly the same.

But I took a look at those particular constituents as well, and the one thing to note is that the upgradient wells had exceedances of those parameters as well as downgradient wells and in some cases the upgradient wells had higher impacts than the downgradient wells.

There was one I believe, chloride, that was identified was one of them that I scratched my head on

a little bit in that the downgradient well 7, which is downgradient to Pond 1S, I looked through the data through the end of 2020, so fourth quarter 2010 to the end of 2020, quarterly sampling for chloride had only one exceedence above what the standard was for chloride, and that was just a couple years ago. Chloride is also -- could be seasonal depending on salting and so on.

Some of the other parameters is why I didn't quite take a look at all of the different things.

But, again, there are some impacts, absolutely. But, like I said, upgradient wells are impacted as well as downgradient wells.

So relative to the unit itself, I don't think you can say that there's an adverse effect or that there's going to be any adverse issue associated with extending a deadline for at least a short-term on this particular site.

#### Q. Thank you.

MS. GALE: Thank you. No further questions.

HEARING OFFICER WEBB: Does the Agency have
any questions of the witness?

MS. ZEIVEL: I do. Can I have just a moment, please?

HEARING OFFICER WEBB: Sure.

MS. ZEIVEL: Thank you.

CROSS-EXAMINATION

BY MS. ZEIVEL:

- Q. Mr. Gnat I'd like to start with the potable wells discussion. For the Agency's information, you said that the wells were 1500 feet in depth. Were the two potable wells that you referenced, can you give us the distance from the CCR surface impoundments, how close the closest CCR surface impoundment is to the nearest potable well?
- A. You know, I'd have to take a look at the scale here. And the one to the east, maybe on the order of 1200 feet; and to the north, a little less, maybe 600, 800 feet. Again, that's just quickly looking at this. I can probably get you a fairly more accurate number.
- Q. You said that those wells will need to be field-truthed. Have you done that?
- A. When I said "field-truthing," so when you do a database search for wells, within that database those wells have a latitude and longitude associated with them, and so you can plot a well from that database that plots somewhere, and when I say

field-truthing, go out, is there really a well in that area.

2.

For example, like I said, one well that was in that database that was apparently looked at here by NRT plots in the middle of the stormwater basin. So I don't think that that latitude and longitude that they pulled off the database is actually correct.

And so when you're field-truthing, it's not going to pull a sample. It's you go out and just say does this make sense, is there actually a well there, is this in the middle of a farm field, is there a well there? No, there isn't. So that's not an accurate location.

So my understanding is that there are two wells on property; they're both owned by Midwest Generation; and that they're from boring logs that are available online as well; that those are about 1500 feet deep.

Q. So you have confirmed the physical presence of the wells on-site. Did this field-truthing or review of the physical wells, did you evaluate the setback zone from these CCR surface impoundments and whether these potable wells are located within or outside of the applicable setback zone?

A. No, I did not field-truths these right now.

I mean, this is -- I was basically presenting what the NRT did. We're certainly going to be doing a similar presentation as part of the operating permit, see if it's something we can take a look at.

#### Q. Thank you.

You mentioned the voluntary monitoring network that was implemented in 2010 and an associated hydrogeological assessment.

Do you know why Midwest Generation decided to voluntarily start this monitoring network in 2010?

MS. GALE: Objection. Calls for attorney-client privilege.

MS. ZEIVEL: I will rephrase the question.

BY MS. ZEIVEL:

- Q. Did Illinois EPA request that Midwest

  Generation implement a monitoring network and perform
  a hydrogeological assessment in 2010?
- A. I believe they did; however, I was not part of those conversations.
  - Q. Okay. Thank you.

I asked you a few questions at our hearing last week so they may be repetitive, but I just want to ensure that I have a clear understanding and we

have a clear record.

So you testified today that prior to data collection, it would be standard procedure to lay out a proposed statistical approach first, then collect data, then choose a statistical method that would be appropriate following collection of the data. Is that right?

- A. Correct.
- Q. The Agency's interpretation of 845.230(d), the initial operating permit application requirement, is that statistical procedures have to be included in that initial operating permit application.

Is that something that KPRG would be capable of doing with an initial operating permit application if that were required prior to the establishment of background? Could these statistical approaches or procedures be described and included in an initial operating permit application?

A. Yes, and I believe I stated that as well.

MS. ZEIVEL: The Agency has no more questions for Mr. Gnat. Thank you.

HEARING OFFICER WEBB: Any redirect?

MS. GALE: Just a follow-up.

#### REDIRECT EXAMINATION

BY MS. GALE:

1

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Q. You stated, right, that the procedures could be presented, but those are options, right?
- A. Correct. That's kind of a decision tree; this is the approach.
- Q. Right. It's not -- you haven't picked the method, right?
- A. That is correct. Until you know what your distributions are and so on, you can't pick the actual method that you're going to use. Correct.
  - Q. Thank you.

MS. GALE: Nothing further.

MS. ZEIVEL: Nothing further.

HEARING OFFICER WEBB: Mr. Rao?

MR. RAO: I just have a clarification. I think you answered this question. But the Board's Question Number 5, I just wanted to make sure, you didn't mention the answer or not. But I think Mr. Gnat did talk about the closure plan and the impact that the categorization of the surface impoundments would have. But he could not

specifically answer so I just wanted to make sure.

MS. GALE: Well, Mr. Gnat could certainly

Page 66 answer that question. I was planning on answering 1 2 because I thought it calls for a legal conclusion. 3 if it's okay with you, I was going to just answer it. MR. RAO: That's fine. 4 5 Anything else for him? MR. RAO: 6 No. 7 HEARING OFFICER WEBB: Okay. Thank you, sir. 8 THE WITNESS: Thank you. 9 (Witness excused.) Midwest Generation calls Sharene 10 MS. GALE: 11 Shealey, please. 12 (Witness duly sworn.) 13 SHARENE SHEALEY, having been first duly sworn, called as a witness 14 15 herein, was examined and testified as follows: 16 DIRECT EXAMINATION 17 BY MS. GALE: 18 Good morning, Ms. Shealey. How are you? 0. 19 Α. I'm wonderful. Thank you. 20 Can you, for the record, please state your 0. name? 21 22 Α. Sharene Shealey. Can you please tell us what your position is? 23 0. 24 I am a director of environmental with NRG. Α.

- Q. And so that includes compliance with the Illinois CCR rule, correct?
  - A. Correct.
- Q. Ms. Shealey, you were here and you heard Mr. Castle testify?
  - A. Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

- Q. And we understand that Mr. Castle just recently left Midwest Generation, right?
- A. Correct.
- Q. So we were asking questions, but you're still working with Midwest Generation, correct?
- A. Yes.
- Q. For the Will County Station, what are Midwest Generation's intentions with regards to the technical requirements of the Illinois CCR rule?
- A. To comply.
- Q. To comply with all the technical requirements, right?
  - A. With each and every one.
- Q. For Ponds 1N and 1S, how are those going to be -- for Ponds 1N and 1S, are those ponds going to be closed in compliance with all the technical requirements of the Illinois CCR rule?
  - A. That is our intent, yes.

- Q. So, Ms. Shealey, at this -- in this petition at this hearing, what is Midwest Generation asking for?
  - A. More time for compliance.
  - Q. Thank you.

MS. GALE: Nothing further.

CROSS-EXAMINATION

8 BY MS. ZEIVEL:

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

9

11

12

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

- Q. Hi, Ms. Shealey.
- 10 A. Hi.
  - Q. How long have you been in your current position with NRG?
- 13 A. As my current title?
- 14 Q. Yes.
  - A. I think -- sorry. Give me a second. I think in my current title I have been less than -- about a year; but in my current position, I've been since probably 2015.
  - Q. You referenced that you worked for NRG as your employer. You are here on behalf of Midwest Generation's Will County Station. Can you just explain the relationship so that your attestation as to Midwest Generation's intentions are better understood?

A. Simply put, NRG signs my paycheck, but my work is for Midwest Generation.

- Q. So how long have you been associated with the Will County Station or aware of its operation?
- A. Since 2014. I've actually relocated to Illinois in 2015. So I have been in support, environmental support, for the Midwest Generation's assets since sometime in 2014.
- Q. We've heard testimony today that Ponds 1N and 1S have not intentionally received water or CCR material since 2010.

You have been associated in some capacity with the Will County Station since 2014 or 2015.

Can you tell us why, if those impoundments have been inactive for ten years, why they have not been closed prior to this time?

- A. I cannot speak to why they were not closed prior to 2014. I don't think that closing those impoundments -- or I'm unaware that closure of those impoundments was required under regulation since the station was still in operation until now.
- Q. So, to your knowledge, Midwest Generation was not utilizing these impoundments that are open to the environment and capable of receiving precipitation,

	Page 7
1	but other than there was no requirement to close them?
2	They haven't been utilized, but we didn't
3	have to close them is what I'm understanding?
4	A. Yeah. My knowledge of the process of Will
5	County Station gives me certainty that there has not
6	been material placed in those impoundments since the
7	date Mr. Castle said earlier, which I cannot remember
8	exactly, forgive me. So they have not been used.
9	And I'm not aware of, until now, a regulatory
LO	or legal reason to be required to close those units.
L1	Q. Understood.
L2	MS. ZEIVEL: Thank you very much.
L3	HEARING OFFICER WEBB: Any redirect?
L4	MS. GALE: No.
L5	HEARING OFFICER WEBB: Mr. Rao?
L6	MR. RAO: No.
L7	HEARING OFFICER WEBB: Thank you, ma'am.
L8	THE WITNESS: You're welcome.
L9	(Witness excused.)
20	HEARING OFFICER WEBB: Does the Petitioner
21	have anything else they would like to present?
22	MS. GALE: Yes. I have an answer to Board
23	Question 4(c). I have Petitioner's Exhibit U,

Petitioner's Exhibit U. And then in answer to Board

1 Question 5, I'll be providing the answer.

2.

(Whereupon, Petitioner's Hearing

Exhibit U was marked for

identification.)

MS. GALE: In response to Board Question 5(a) and 5(b), Midwest Generation contends that while the rule may allow Midwest Generation to re-designate the ponds as Category 6, if new data supports that, it is unreasonable to require Midwest Generation to make a designation of Category 4 before we have CCR groundwater data.

A designation of Category 4, now, would have significant adverse effect on Midwest Generation with little benefit to the environment and would not hasten the closure of the ponds.

As Mr. Gnat testified, Midwest Generation is currently preparing five operating permit applications for nine CCR surface impoundments, for submittal by October 31, 2020.

At the same time, Midwest Generation is also preparing construction permit applications for four CCR surface impoundments so that they are ready, at the latest, by December 1, 2021.

We heard from Mr. Gnat that preparing the

2.

Page 72

construction permit applications is a large endeavor and it really is an iterative or domino effect. It's an exercise that builds upon itself. You have the groundwater data, which establishes the background data and the groundwater protection standards; then you create a groundwater model based upon that data; and upon having that groundwater model, you conduct an alternatives closure analysis which include an evaluation of the best closure method, in part, based upon the model and the inputs put into that model.

Finally, after you've conducted the alternatives closure analysis, you prepare a closure plan and a post-closure plan.

If Ponds 1N and 1S are designated as Category 4 now, before we have any information, Midwest Generation would have to begin working on the construction permit application now with incomplete information, meaning Midwest Generation would likely create data and information that would have to be revised later or even duplicated. One change in the groundwater information will affect modeling, which could affect the alternatives analysis, which could ultimately affect what kind of closure we're talking about here.

Midwest Generation, again, we said this before, we're going to say it again, is not asking for relief from any technical requirements or any technical evaluation. In fact, Midwest Generation is already working at 110 percent to comply with the various requirements of this brand new rule for all of its CCR surface impoundments.

All Midwest Generation is asking for is a little more time, and Midwest Generation contends that the better way is to allow the data to develop so that we can submit an accurate Category designation.

Nothing further.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

MR. RAO: Do you need to be sworn?

MS. GALE: Excuse me? I didn't hear you.

MR. RAO: Is this like --

HEARING OFFICER WEBB: This isn't testimony.

MS. GALE: It's not testimony, no. It's argument.

But as an attorney, I'm obligated to say the truth all the time.

HEARING OFFICER WEBB: Okay. Anything else Petitioner would like to present?

MS. GALE: Nothing further. Thank you.

HEARING OFFICER WEBB: Your exhibits, unlike

Page 74 1 what I said last week, the exhibits that have 2 previously been filed are already part of the record 3 unless anybody formally objects. So you don't have to 4 worry about that. 5 Do you want to introduce the exhibits that 6 you -- were these R, S, T, U? 7 MS. GALE: Yes. We move to enter exhibits R, S, T, U into evidence. 8 9 And you just said I don't need to move the rest of them? 10 11 HEARING OFFICER WEBB: No. 12 MS. GALE: Thank you. 13 MS. ZEIVEL: Agency has no objection. HEARING OFFICER WEBB: Petitioner Exhibits R, 14 15 S, T, U are admitted. 16 (Whereupon, Petitioner's Exhibits 17 R, S, T & U were received into evidence as of 7-27-21.) 18 HEARING OFFICER WEBB: And you have nothing 19 20 further? 21 MS. GALE: I have nothing further for now. 22 Thank you. 23 HEARING OFFICER WEBB: Would you like to take 24 a five-minute break?

	Page 75						
1	MS. ZEIVEL: Carol, can we please have ten						
2	minutes?						
3	HEARING OFFICER WEBB: Yes.						
4	(Whereupon, a break was taken,						
5	after which the following						
6	proceedings were had:)						
7	HEARING OFFICER WEBB: We'll go back on the						
8	record.						
9	Before we start with the EPA's first witness,						
10	the Board has a follow-up question for Mr. Castle.						
11	MS. GALE: So we can recall Mr. Castle.						
12	HEARING OFFICER WEBB: Mr. Castle, you're						
13	still under oath.						
14	THE WITNESS: Understood.						
15	(Witness previously sworn.)						
16	HEARING OFFICER WEBB: Member Santos has a						
17	question.						
18	MS. SANTOS: For the record, I am board						
19	member Cynthia Santos, S-a-n-t-o-s.						
20	It's a twofold question, Mr. Castle.						
21	THE WITNESS: Okay.						
22	MS. SANTOS: During the occurrence of a						
23	100-year storm or say we get two inches of rainfall						
24	within an hour, there's significant rush of rain, how						

quickly does that water drain from the pond?

THE WITNESS: I would be speculating. As I stated earlier, I have not seen standing water in it. I would say a day or two.

MS. SANTOS: So it wouldn't be immediate?
There's no way it could possibly be immediate?

THE WITNESS: The 100-year rain analogy as the median, I would reference like your storm sewers or something like that.

MS. SANTOS: Right. Well, I have some experience with that from being with the Water Reclamation.

And the other part, the vegetation growing in there, what would be the source to promote growth?

Would it be soil residue that's in there? Could it be a crack in the lining? What do you -- I know you probably don't know without inspecting it, but --

THE WITNESS: Here, again, speculation would just be debris, dirt, et cetera, in the air. Where you see a construction site, you could reference Chernobyl, if you've seen pictures. It's just Mother Nature starts to take over when things are inactive.

MS. SANTOS: So how would one determine the source of the vegetation? Would they have to go into

Page 77 1 there and inspect? 2. THE WITNESS: I would guess you would have to 3 do a pull of vegetation and analyze it and see what it is. There's wildlife. There's different ways that 4 5 things can get into the pond. 6 MS. SANTOS: Thank you. Nothing further. 7 MR. RAO: Just a follow-up? MS. GALE: Sure. 8 9 MR. RAO: How extensive is the vegetation that's growing in the pond? 10 11 THE WITNESS: I would just say it's like 12 large weeds. I mean, that's what it looks like to me. 13 It comes in the spring, stays in the summer, dies in the winter. 14 15 MR. RAO: Does it cover the whole surface of 16 the pond, the bottom surface of the pond? THE WITNESS: Majority of it, yes. 17 18 MR. RAO: Thank you. 19 HEARING OFFICER WEBB: Does anyone else have 20 anything further for this witness? 21 Okay. Thank you, Mr. Castle. 22 THE WITNESS: You're welcome. 23 (Witness excused.) 24 HEARING OFFICER WEBB: Now we're ready for

Page 78 1 the Agency to call their first witness. MS. ZEIVEL: Illinois EPA calls Darin 2. 3 LeCrone. 4 HEARING OFFICER WEBB: Mr. LeCrone, you can 5 have a seat right next to Mr. Rao. 6 Would the court reporter please swear the 7 witness. 8 (Witness duly sworn.) 9 DARIN LECRONE, having been first duly sworn, called as a witness 10 11 herein, was examined and testified as follows: 12 DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MS. ZEIVEL: 13 14 Can you state your name and spell your last Ο. 15 name for the record, please. 16 Α. Sure. My name is Darin LeCrone. Last name 17 L-e-C-r-o-n-e. 18 Can you state your employer and position Q. title? 19 20 Yes. I am employed by the Illinois Α. Environmental Protection Agency. I am the Permit 21 22 Section Manager in the Agency's division of Water 23 Pollution Control. 24 And how long have you been in that position? Q.

- A. As the manager of the permit section, a couple months. I was the Industrial Unit Manager since 2010, and I've been with the Agency since '92.
- Q. Did you execute an affidavit in support of the Agency's recommendation in this matter?
  - A. I did, yes.
  - Q. Have you recently reviewed that affidavit?
- A. I did, yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

15

18

19

20

21

22

23

- Q. To your knowledge, are the facts attested to in that affidavit still true today?
- 11 A. To the best of my knowledge, yes.
- MS. ZEIVEL: The Agency has no questions for Mr. LeCrone, but he's here and available for any questions from Midwest Generation or the Board.
  - MS. GALE: Yes.
- 16 CROSS-EXAMINATION
- 17 BY MS. GALE:
  - Q. Mr. LeCrone, you mentioned you're the manager of the permit section of the Agency's Division of Water, right?
    - A. Correct.
    - Q. So you'll be managing the permit review and the issuance of the operating and construction permits pursuant to the new Illinois CCR rule, right?

A. Correct.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

24

- Q. And the operating permit applications are due on October 31st, 2021, correct?
  - A. Correct.
- Q. And we discussed about this last week, but you would like one application for an entire station, correct?
- A. That would be preferable, although it's not required that one be submitted per facility. That would be my personal preference.
- Q. So you could get one application for one facility whole or get multiple applications for each unit at a station, correct?
- A. We could, yes.

15 (Whereupon, Petitioner's Hearing

16 Exhibit V was marked for

identification.

- 18 BY MS. GALE:
- Q. Mr. LeCrone, I'm going to hand you

  Petitioner's Exhibit V. Mr. LeCrone, that is a list

  of CCR surface impoundments that the Illinois EPA

  prepared for the Illinois CCR Rulemaking, correct?
- A. Correct.
  - Q. Do you recall seeing that list?

1 A. I do.

- Q. So based upon this list, and you can count if I'm right, I calculated that there are approximately 20 stations identified in that list that have CCR surface impoundments, right?
- A. That sounds correct, yeah. That's what it looks like.
- Q. In your expectation, you could receive at least 20 applications for an operating permit on October, correct?
  - A. Correct.
    - Q. But you could feasibly receive more, correct?
- A. It could be more than that if an owner-operator chose to file more than one application for different impoundments, that would be their choice.
- Q. And even if it's for one station, looking at that list, generally speaking, most of those stations have more than one CCR surface impoundment, right?
  - A. Most do, correct.
- Q. And we heard Mr. Gnat discuss what's in these operating permit applications. They include numerous technical reports, right?
  - A. Correct.

- Q. Including a groundwater monitoring program that establishes, presumably, the background for each of the CCR surface impoundments, right?
- A. Yeah. Groundwater monitoring will be part of the permit application, that's correct.
  - Q. And a statistical evaluation, correct?
- A. I believe all that's part of it. My permit staff won't -- they don't review the groundwater information. The groundwater section does. But that's generally part of the applications, correct. There's different divisions of labor once it's all received.
- Q. But your permitting staff is issuing that permit, right?
  - A. Correct.
- Q. And the Agency will conduct a detailed review of the information, all the information submitted.
- 18 Right?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

19

20

- A. We will, yes.
  - Q. And that will take some type, right?
- 21 A. It will.
- Q. And it could involve following up with the applicants for additional questions, right?
  - A. Most applications do, yes. There is

generally a back-and-forth between the signed permit engineer and the applicants and staff.

- Q. And there is no deadline in the Illinois CCR rule for the Agency to grant or deny an operating permit application, is there?
- A. There's not a deadline on a final determination decision by the Agency, that's correct.
  - Q. Thank you.

1

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Similarly, the construction permit applications for the CCR surface impoundments, for the Categories that are 1, 2, 3, and 4, those are due to the Agency on February 1, 2022, correct?

- A. I believe that's correct, yes.
- Q. And looking at Petitioner's Exhibit V again, just looking at the column entitled Environmental Justice, those Environmental Justice are Category 3 surface impoundments, correct?
- A. I don't remember. I think that's correct.

  But one of those categories I think is.
  - Q. We know the Environmental Justice CCR surface impoundments are due in the first round of construction permit applications?
  - A. Yes, that's correct.
    - Q. And that first round is due February 1, 2022?

A. Correct.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

- Q. And looking at that chart, and, again, you can sit and count if you want, I estimate that you're likely to receive at least eight applications for construction permits for the CCR surface impoundments that are in EJ areas. Would you agree with that?
- A. That looks about right. Yeah, plus or minus one.
- Q. Sure. Great. Yeah, I could have counted wrong.

So at least -- so let's just say at least seven or eight?

- 13 A. Right.
  - Q. You could receive more because there were other categories, right?
  - A. We could.
    - Q. And these construction permit applications will contain even more information than the operating permit applications, right?
    - A. I would expect so, yes.
    - Q. They contain the proposed groundwater model, correct?
  - A. Correct.
- Q. And an alternatives closure analysis,

correct?		CO	rr	ec	:t	?
----------	--	----	----	----	----	---

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

- A. Correct.
- Q. And a robust closure plan, right?
- 4 A. Correct.
  - Q. And similar to the operating permit applications, the Agency will conduct a thorough review of each of these applications, right?
    - A. Yes, we will.
  - Q. And, again, there is no deadline in this
    Illinois CCR rule for the Agency to grant or deny a
    construction permit application, is there?
  - A. That's correct, there's no deadline on the Agency.
    - Q. Thank you.
- MS. GALE: I have nothing further.
- 16 HEARING OFFICER WEBB: Anything else?
- 17 REDIRECT EXAMINATION
- 18 BY MS. ZEIVEL:
- Q. Mr. LeCrone, are you generally aware that the Illinois EPA has received a number of petitions for regulatory relief from 845?
- 22 A. Yes.
- Q. If I told you that there were 11 petitions covering between 20 and 25 CCR surface impoundments,

does that sound about right to you?

- A. That sounds about right to me.
- Q. To your knowledge, are any of those petitions included in CCR surface impoundments in Environmental Justice areas?
- A. I have not read all of the petitions yet, but I would expect several at least would be.
- Q. So, to your knowledge, there are factors outside of this list that may impede or prevent the number of applications coming in that Ms. Gale is quantifying?
- A. It could potentially depending on the outcome of those petitions.
- MS. ZEIVEL: I have no further questions.
- 15 Thank you.

1

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

## 16 RECROSS-EXAMINATION

- 17 BY MS. GALE:
- 18 Q. Just to piggyback on that, I believe
- 19 Ms. Zeivel said 20 or 25 CCR surface impoundments.
- 20 There's 73 listed in that list, right?
- 21 There's a number on the far left column?
- 22 A. Yes. Correct.
- Q. So doing math, 73 minus 25, 48 CCR surface
- 24 | impoundments, correct?

Page 87 1 Α. Yes. Correct. 2 Q. And that's a conservative number, right? 3 Because it could be 20 to 25, right? 4 Α. Correct. 5 Q. Okay. 6 MS. GALE: Nothing further. 7 HEARING OFFICER WEBB: Anything else? 8 MS. ZEIVEL: Nothing. 9 HEARING OFFICER WEBB: Mr. Rao? MR. RAO: No. 10 11 HEARING OFFICER WEBB: Are you introducing 12 Exhibit U? MS. GALE: Yes, I move to introduce 13 Petitioner's Exhibit V. Thank you. 14 15 HEARING OFFICER WEBB: Exhibit V is admitted. 16 (Whereupon, Petitioner's Exhibit V was received into evidence as of 17 7-27-21.) 18 19 HEARING OFFICER WEBB: Thank you, 20 Mr. LeCrone. 21 (Witness excused.) 22 HEARING OFFICER WEBB: The Agency may call its next witness. 23 24 MS. ZEIVEL: The Agency calls Melinda Shaw.

Page 88 1 HEARING OFFICER WEBB: Would the court 2 reporter please swear in the witness. 3 (Witness duly sworn.) 4 MELINDA SHAW, 5 having been first duly sworn, called as a witness 6 herein, was examined and testified as follows: 7 DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MS. ZEIVEL: 8 Ms. Shaw, can you state your name spell your 9 Q. last name for the record, please? 10 11 Α. Melinda Shaw, S-h-a-w. 12 And can you state your employer and position, Q. 13 current position title? I work for the Illinois Environmental Α. 14 15 Protection Agency. I'm an environmental protection 16 geologist in the Bureau of Water, Groundwater Section. 17 How long have you worked for Illinois EPA? Ο. Α. 18 Cumulatively, I've worked for Illinois EPA 19 for eight years. 20 So prior to the Bureau of Water, you were employed elsewhere within the Agency? 21 22 Α. Yes. 23 And where was that? Q. 24 In the Bureau of Land, their permit section, Α.

and also their Super Fund Unit.

- Q. Did you execute an affidavit in support of Illinois EPA's recommendation that was filed in this matter?
  - A. Yes.
    - Q. Have you recently reviewed that affidavit?
- 7 A. Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

- Q. Are the facts as you attested to them still true today?
  - A. Yes.
- Q. I'd like to point you to Part 845 of the rules, if you have a copy of those rules in front of you?
- 14 A. I do.
  - Q. If you could turn to Section 845.230(d), which speaks to the initial operating permit for Existing, Inactive, and Inactive Closed Impoundments. I'd like you to look at Subpart, so it's (d)(2)(I), which speaks to the groundwater monitoring information to be included in the initial operating permit application.
  - Can you please read for the record (iii) three, please?
    - A. "A groundwater sampling and analysis program

that includes selection of the statistical procedures to be used for evaluating groundwater monitoring data (see Section 845.640); and"

- Q. Before we move to 640, which we will, can you please read (iv)?
- A. "Proposed groundwater monitoring program that includes a minimum of eight independent samples for each background and downgradient well (see Section 845.650(b))"
- Q. So I would like us to turn to 845.640(f)(3), which speaks to the Statistical Methods and Selection of Statistical Methods.

Can you please read Subpart 3 along with (A) and (B)?

- A. "The owner or operator of the CCR surface impoundment must submit the following to the Agency in an operating permit application: (A) Documentation of the statistical method chosen; and (B) The qualified professional engineer certification required by subsection (f)(2)."
- Q. Does Subpart (f)(3) say that the documentation of the statistical method chosen needs to be in an initial operating permit application?
  - A. No.

2.

Q. You read 230 earlier, Subpart (iii) that referred to statistical procedures and a proposed groundwater monitoring program.

- (f)(3) that you just read that requires documentation of a statistical method chosen in an operating permit application, do you see those two as being inconsistent, requiring proposed procedures in an initial operating permit application and then requiring documentation of a chosen method?
- A. They're not inconsistent. They provide an order of operations.
- Q. So if we were to go with the Agency's interpretation of 230 and the initial operating permit application, which, in the Agency's recommendation, we stated we believe the initial operating permit application requires the proposed groundwater monitoring program as well as the statistical procedures.

When would you expect to see the statistical method chosen for Agency review? How would that process work for a CCR surface impoundment such as 1N and 1S that don't already have groundwater background -- background groundwater quality data?

A. If a unit facility did not already have the

groundwater -- the background groundwater quality data calculated, then what we would expect to see first would be a proposal of the methods that they plan to use. Those typically would follow the USEPA's unified guidance, which provides a blueprint of statistical procedures that could be used.

Then we would expect to see that plan proposed in the initial permit -- operating permit application. That would undergo some review. And then once that is actually chosen, which method is actually chosen based on that data, we would then expect to see that either in -- it could be in a modified operating permit application or an addendum. We could also see it in any subsequent groundwater monitoring report that's required.

- Q. But certainly at the time of the renewal operating permit application, would you expect these such impoundments that don't have background groundwater quality established at the time of the application, would you expect to see a statistical method chosen at the time of the renewal of the operating permit application?
  - A. Yes.

Q. We heard Petitioner testify that they plan

to -- that it plans to submit the initial operating permit application in March and that with that initial operating permit application would come the appropriate category for closure.

Can you speak to what implication submission of a category in March of 2022 may possibly have?

- A. Yes. For the Agency, it would effectively limit the Agency's ability to recategorize that surface impoundment as a Category 2.
- Q. I believe you're referring to 700(g) which gives the Agency the authority to provide a different category, specifically a Category 2 designation, where a CCR surface impoundment has shown a failure to comply with location restriction; is that correct?
- A. 700(g)(5) says: "The Agency may designate a CCR surface impoundment as a Category 2 surface impoundment when" -- there's a number of things.

One of those is: "The CCR surface impoundment has not demonstrated compliance with location restrictions in Subpart C."

- Q. What is the construction permit application deadline for a Category 2 CCR surface impoundment?
  - A. February 1, 2022.
  - Q. So if the Agency does not receive the

location restriction demonstrations until March of 2022, is there -- based on your understanding of the rules, is there any opportunity for the Agency to re-designate as a Category 2 CCR surface impoundment?

- A. Not effectively.
- Q. Ms. Shaw, were you involved in the Part 845 Rulemaking process?
  - A. Yes.

- Q. Were you involved in the Agency -- the preparation of the Agency's pre-filed answers to questions received as part of that rulemaking?
  - A. Yes.
- Q. I'm going to hand you what's been marked as Petitioner's Exhibit U, which is the Illinois EPA's pre-filed answers. There's a cover page and then a page of answers provided by petitioner for the record in this matter.

Petitioner's response document states that Illinois EPA's CCR Rulemaking testimony states that the groundwater monitoring data and statistical procedures must be submitted with the operating permit.

I just gave you my copy. So it's Answer Number 23. Looking at Question and Answer Number 23,

- can you tell me from the Agency's answers where it states that in Illinois EPA's response that statistical procedures or methods must be submitted with the operating permit application?
- A. It does not specifically state statistical information in the answer.
- Q. So nowhere in the response to Answer 23 that Petitioner has cited for the basis of its statement that Illinois EPA's Rulemaking testimony requires statistical procedures or methods to be included in the initial operating permit application, you don't see that anywhere in that response?
  - A. Correct.
  - Q. Thank you, Ms. Shaw.

MS. ZEIVEL: I don't have anymore more questions of Ms. Shaw, but she's available for Midwest Generation or the Board to ask any questions.

HEARING OFFICER WEBB: Does petitioner have any questions?

MS. GALE: Yes.

CROSS-EXAMINATION

22 BY MS. GALE:

1

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

23

24

Q. Ms. Shaw, going back to Petitioner's

Exhibit -- I don't have it marked -- U, it's the

questions we were just discussing. I'm going to read the Board's question, at least the initial part.

The proposed subsections 845.230(d)(2)(H)(i) through (iv), through Roman Numeral iv, specify detailed groundwater monitoring information that must be submitted for initial operating permit for existing, inactive, and inactive closed CCR surface impoundments. Do you agree that's what that states?

A. That's correct.

Q. And then the Agency responds with "The groundwater monitoring data" -- and then the Board asks a question on whether similar information is not required for construction permit applications.

The Agency responds with: "The groundwater monitoring data required by this subsection is necessary to determine the current site characteristics and compliance status for existing CCR surface impoundments"; isn't that correct?

- A. That's correct.
- Q. So the Agency didn't say some of this data could be submitted at a later date, did they, in this answer?
  - A. No.
    - Q. And the Agency goes further and states that

"this data will be used to determine the operational conditions or corrective actions which might be necessary under the rule for these existing facilities."

Is that what you said?

- A. That's what the answer says.
- Q. You're right. That is what the Agency answered.

And, again, the Agency didn't say that these terminations could be done at a later date, correct?

A. That's correct.

- Q. And the Agency didn't correct the Board and say this information doesn't need to be submitted or clarify to the Board that some information did need to be submitted with the initial operating permit, did it?
  - A. It did not say that.
- Q. I want to ask about this Category 2 designation because I'm legitimately confused.

Midwest Generation, we agree Midwest

Generation is asking for an extension of the

designation of the categories for the these two ponds,

right?

A. Yes.

- Q. And so on March 31st we'll have a better understanding of the location restriction, right, because that's when we'll have the operating permit application submitted?
- A. I can't -- I don't know when Midwest

  Generation is going to be aware of its own location.
- Q. Well, you heard Mr. Gnat say that's going to be a part of its operating permit application, correct?
- A. Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

18

19

21

22

23

- Q. So assuming he's stating truthfully, it will be part of their initial operating permit application, right?
  - A. Yes.
- Q. So we'll know then its location restriction, right?
- 17 A. Yes.
  - Q. And we'll know presumably at least some groundwater information, correct?
- 20 A. Yes.
  - Q. And we'll have information to make a designation on whether it's a Category 4 or a Category 6; and then the Agency at that time would have an option to designate it as a Category 2, right?

1 A. Yes.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Q. So the Agency could, in April if it wanted to, Category 2, but it doesn't have to, does it?
  - A. It doesn't have to.
- Q. And the Agency has already stated it's not objecting to submitting the construction permit application if the ponds are determined to be Category 4, correct?
  - A. Could you repeat your question? I'm sorry.
  - Q. The Agency has already agreed -- excuse me.

The Agency has already stated in its recommendation that if ponds are Category 4, they are not objecting to an extension of the deadline to submit the construction permit application, correct?

- A. That's correct.
- Q. And a Category 4 and Category 2 ponds have the same construction permit application deadlines, correct?
  - A. Yes.
- Q. I want to go to your discussion about -- oh, one more thing. Never mind.

Your affidavit, which is Agency's Exhibit D, do you have that in front of you?

A. I have it with me, yes.

- Q. Could you turn to Page 7 of your affidavit?

  I'm looking at Paragraph 28.
  - A. I'm there.
- Q. So you conducted a potable well survey, correct?
- A. Based on the Source Water Protection Program website.
- Q. Right. It says -- you wrote, "I conducted a potable well survey." Correct?

The first sentence, "I conducted a potable well survey using the Source Water Assessment Protection Program." Right?

13 A. Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

14

15

16

17

19

20

- Q. And according to that, there's no potable wells downgradient of the -- in the downgradient direction, and I presume you mean of the Ponds 1N and 1S, correct?
- 18 A. Yes.
  - Q. And you agree that the pond -- the potable wells identified by Midwest Generation are noncommunity water supply wells, right?
- 22 A. Yes.
- Q. And you also state that, "Due to the depth of" -- now you say NTNCWS wells, those are the wells

on Midwest Generation ponds, right?

A. Yes.

1

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Q. And you say, "Due to the depth of the NTNCWS wells and the existence of a confining layer."

By "confining layer," do you mean there's an aquifer -- excuse me -- a layer of geological material that separates the lower groundwaters and the upper groundwater, right?

I'm not a hydrogeologist, so I'm not trying to trick you. I just want to know what that means.

A. Of course.

That is what it means, and according to Midwest Generation's information submitted in their petition, that is where that information came from.

- Q. And you have no reason to think that's wrong, right?
  - A. No.
- Q. And so you state, "Due to the depth and the existence of this confining layer the likelihood of impact from the Will County CCR surface impoundments is low." Right?
  - A. Yes.
- Q. So we had some discussion about what the rules state and the interpretation of the rule. And I

believe the Agency's position, and correct me if I'm wrong, is that not all the information is required in an initial operating permit application, right?

You just stated the statistical methods chosen don't need to be in that initial operating permit application, correct?

- A. If it's not available.
- Q. So let's go to that section. Let's just start right there but we'll go backward to 640(f)(3). And (f)(3) doesn't -- there's no qualifier "only if available or if not available," correct?
  - A. That's correct.

Q. And let's go to 230. I'm sorry. Am I right?
I could be wrong.

Yes, 230(d)(2)(I)(iii). There's no reference in Roman Numeral (iii) or (iv) of "if available."

Correct?

- A. That's correct.
- Q. Then let's look at under Operating Permit
  Application. So we have 230(a). You would agree that
  230(a) is initial operating permit for a new CCR
  surface impoundment and any lateral extensions, right?
  - A. That's correct.
    - Q. And then (b) is the Renewal Operating Permit

Page 103 1 Application? 2. Α. Correct. 3 Q. (c) is the Post-Closure Care Operating Permit 4 Application? 5 Α. Correct. 6 And (d) is the Initial Operating Permit Q. 7 application for existing units. Correct? 8 Α. Yes. Then (e) it just states that "Operating 9 Q. permits must be issued for fixed terms not to exceed 10 11 five years." 12 Those are the four types of applications 13 described here, right? 14 Α. Those are the types, yes. 15 There isn't in here an option for a modified 0. 16 permit application, is there, or a supplemental permit 17 application, is there?

> Α. There's not.

18

19

20

21

22

- 0. Let's look at the renewal. So 230(b), the requirement of 230(b). I believe you said you could put in there the chosen method in a renewal application, didn't you?
- 23 Yes, you could. Α.
  - And it says here in (d) that the Q.

applications -- renewal application, I think, if I'm doing my math correctly, has to be submitted probably, let's just say, four years after the initial permit is approved, right?

A. Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Q. Let's go to (b) and let's look at (b)(5).

(b)(5) says, "A statement that the groundwater

monitoring has been conducted under an Agency-approved
groundwater monitoring program."

Do you see that there?

- A. Yes.
- Q. So the Agency-approved groundwater monitoring program would presumably include an approval of the chosen methods, right?
  - A. Yes.
- Q. So it doesn't state here that you could also submit the statistical methods chosen in your renewal operating permit application, does it?
  - A. It doesn't state that, no.
- Q. Let's look at 610, 845.610. I want to go to (e), the Annual Current Groundwater Monitoring and Corrective Action Report. Ready?
  - A. Yes.
    - Q. I believe you said the statistical methods

chosen could be submitted in an annual report; is that right?

- A. That's what I said, yes.
- Q. So can you point to me in (e) where it states that the statistical method chosen, if they weren't available for the initial operating permit, could be submitted in an annual report permit?
- A. Could you repeat your question now that I've read it again?
  - Q. Sure.

2.

Can you point out to me in (e), which is the Annual Groundwater Monitoring Report required, where it states that an owner-operator could submit the statistical methods chosen in the annual report?

- A. It doesn't specifically say about their statistical method chosen to be submitted.
- Q. And let's look at (e)(4)(C). Actually I'll go back to (e)(4).
- (e)(4) states: "A section at the beginning of the annual report must provide an overview of the current status of groundwater monitoring program and corrective action plan for the CCR surface impoundment. At a minimum, the summary must:"

Do you agree with what that states?

1 A. Yes.

Q. (c), "Specify whether there have been any exceedances of the groundwater protection standards for one or more constituents listed in Section 845-600."

Do you agree with that?

- A. Yes.
- Q. So let's back up. The statistical methods chosen assist with preparing the -- identifying the groundwater protection standards; isn't that correct?
  - A. That's correct.
- Q. So we can't identify the groundwater protection standards until we choose statistical method, right?
  - A. Yes. For 845, that's true.
- Q. So under 845(c) -- excuse me -845.610(e)(4)(C), this says we have to identify the
  exceedances of the groundwater protection standards.
  This assumes that we already know what the groundwater
  protection standards already are, doesn't it?
- A. You would have to know what the groundwater protection standards are before you could state whether there's been any exceedances.
  - Q. And it doesn't state in this paragraph "if

available," does it?

1

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

20

21

- A. It does not.
- Q. Similarly, 845.610(e)(4)(D), it states:
  "Identify those constituents with exceedances of
  groundwater protection standards in Section 845.600
  and the names of the monitoring wells associated with
  the exceedance."

Would you agree it states that?

- A. That's what it says, yes.
- Q. Again, it states it requires the applicant -excuse me -- the operator or owner to identify the
  exceedances of the groundwater protection standards,
  which assumes that you know what those groundwater
  protection standards are, doesn't it?
- A. Yes.
  - Q. And it doesn't state "if available," does it?
- 17 A. It does not.

18 MS. GALE: Nothing further. Thank you.

19 HEARING OFFICER WEBB: Ms. Zeivel?

MS. ZEIVEL: Yes.

RECROSS-EXAMINATION

- 22 BY MS. ZEIVEL:
- Q. Ms. Shaw, I'd like to direct you to
  Section 845.280 entitled, Transfer, Modification and

Renewal. And can you please read, when you get there, Subpart C?

- A. 845.280(c) says, "The owner or operator of a CCR surface impoundment may initiate modification to its permit by application submittal to the Agency at any time after the permit is approved and before the permit expires."
- Q. So even though a Modification Permit section is not included in the earlier types of operating permit applications, based on your understanding of the rules and as you read 845.280, can an owner or operator of a CCR surface impoundment initiate modification and submit an application for a modified permit application at any time?
  - A. Yes.

2.

Q. Going back to 845.230(d)(2)(I), the super star of this dialogue, I had you read earlier little (iii) and (iv) or Roman Numeral (iii) and (iv).

Roman Numeral (iii) says that the sampling and analysis program needs to be included. That includes a selection of the statistical procedures.

Based on your understanding, is there a difference between statistical procedures and statistical methods?

- A. Yes. The procedure is what you anticipate that you will be using or following. And then the method is selected after your data shows you what is the most appropriate method to follow.
- Q. Were you here when Mr. Gnat testified earlier?
  - A. Yes.

- Q. Does that answer align with Mr. Gnat's testimony as to the difference between procedures and methods?
  - A. Yes.
- Q. Does Subpart (iii) here, when speaking about statistical procedures to be used, implicate a future tense?
  - A. Yes.
- Q. Subpart (iv), speaking about the groundwater monitoring program, it states the word "proposed" monitoring program.

Mr. Gnat testified earlier that, in his experience, it may be possible that the Agency, even if they've completed their groundwater monitoring to establish background groundwater quality, that, in essence, anything in an operating permit application is proposed in that the Agency could very well come

back and say that Illinois EPA would like another monitoring well or we don't like your procedures or we don't like your method, and deny or request those be changed.

Is that your experience working in the permit section for both Bureau of Land and Bureau of Water?

A. Yes.

- Q. So even for federal -- what are treated as
  Federal CCR surface impoundments under Part 257, have
  those groundwater monitoring programs for those
  "Federal Impoundments" been reviewed and approved by
  USEPA, to your knowledge?
  - A. Not to my knowledge.
- Q. So as Illinois EPA is receiving the many number of operating permit applications in the next six months, will that be the first time, to your knowledge, that any of these groundwater monitoring programs will receive either Federal or State government review and approval?
  - A. Yes.
- Q. I imagine in your position with the Agency, both in land and water, that you received and reviewed a number of quarterly or annual reports speaking to groundwater monitoring, results and analysis; is that

1 right?

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- A. Yes.
- Q. When you received those kinds of reports, what kind of statistical information is included?
- A. It depends on which facility you're asking about. It really -- it depends on the facility, it depends on the program to which the facility is reporting under.

Do you want to be more specific?

Q. No. That's fine.

But it can be site-specific depending on the type of impoundment and the type of facility and the type of data available at the time of submission?

- A. Yes.
- Q. In your experience with receiving those kinds of reports, have you ever come across something that either didn't look right or you didn't agree with or you had questions about upon receipt?
  - A. Yes.
- Q. Is it normal course of business to engage with a permittee about those kinds of reports, either the sufficiency or insufficiency of those reports as they come in?
  - A. Yes.

Q. So even if there's not a formal review and approval at that time, it won't be four years before the Agency would see groundwater monitoring information and statistical information if it were not included in the initial operating permit application?

A. That's correct.

- Q. You spoke to Section 845.610. Can you tell me what the title of that section is, of 845.610?
  - A. 845.610, the title is General Requirements.
- Q. To your knowledge, Part 845 was written and intended as a rule of general applicability; is that right?
  - A. That's correct.
- Q. You testified as to the requirements for an annual groundwater monitoring report.

Would an owner-operator have to submit an annual report whether or not -- let's say they just didn't comply and they failed to comply with the requirement to establish their groundwater protection standards, would that noncompliance excuse them from submitting an annual report under these 845.610 general requirements?

- A. No.
- Q. I'm going to move on to a slightly different

topic. You spoke about location restrictions and the fact that Midwest Generation intends to submit those demonstrations with their initial operating permit application.

To your knowledge, based on your review of the file and Agency records and publicly available records, to the best of your knowledge, do you believe that Midwest Generation currently has information that could be used to determine the location of Ponds 1N and 1S in relation to the aguifer?

A. Yes.

MS. ZEIVEL: I have no questions.

HEARING OFFICER WEBB: Ms. Gale?

RECROSS-EXAMINATION

15 BY MS. GALE:

1

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

- Q. First of all, let's go to -- Ms. Zeivel pointed you to the Modification Section, 280. So let's go back to 845.280(c).
- A. Okay.
- Q. So 208 says, "An owner or operator of any CCR surface impoundment may initiate modification of its permit by application submitted to the Agency at any time after the permit is approved and before the permit expires." Correct?

A. Correct.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Q. So this doesn't state before the permit is issued, does it?
  - A. It does not say that.
- Q. And there's nothing in here that states after submission of the application but before issuance of the permit an applicant may submit a modification, does it?
  - A. It doesn't say that.
- Q. And Ms. Zeivel asked you some questions about the -- if I remember correctly -- and I should have taken notes -- let's say a hypothetical that an owner or operator blew off these rules, didn't come up with groundwater protection standards, and they'd still have to do an annual report, right?
  - A. There was a question, yes, along those lines.
  - Q. Yes. We're on the same page.

When the Agency wrote these rules, they wrote them as general applicability, I think you said that.
Right?

- A. Yes.
- Q. And when the Agency wrote these rules, they presumed people would follow their rules, didn't it?
  - A. They were certainly written with the intent

Page 115 1 that people would follow them, yes. 2. Q. Yes. Thank you. So the Agency's certainly not sitting here 3 4 today assuming that all the owner or operators that are in Petitioner's Exhibit V will not be following 5 6 the rules, is it? 7 No, I don't believe so. Α. And the Agency is certainly expecting to 8 Q. receive, on October 31st, quite a few applications, 9 isn't it? 10 11 Α. Yes. 12 MS. GALE: Nothing further. 13 MS. ZEIVEL: Nothing further for Ms. Shaw. HEARING OFFICER WEBB: Mr. Rao? 14 15 MR. RAO: No thanks. 16 HEARING OFFICER WEBB: All right. Thank you, 17 Ms. Shaw. (Witness excused.) 18 MS. ZEIVEL: The Agency would like to recall 19 20 Mr. LeCrone in light of the questioning about the permitting process. 21 22 HEARING OFFICER WEBB: Okay. 23 Mr. LeCrone, you're still under oath.

24

seat.

1 (Witness previously sworn.)

2 DARIN LECRONE,

having been previously duly sworn, called as a witness herein, was examined and testified further as follows:

FURTHER REDIRECT EXAMINATION

BY MS. ZEIVEL:

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Q. Thank you for coming back.
- A. Happy to do it.
- Q. There's been a lot of back-and-forth about the initial operating permit application, a renewal application, possible modification of either a permit or an application.

In your experience as permit manager both for the Industrial Permit section as well as generally for Bureau of Water, is it commonplace to receive either an amendment or a supplement to a pending permit application?

- A. It is. And that type of supplement can come in many forms either at the request of the Agency or at the request of an applicant.
- Q. Is it commonplace for permit applications to stay pending with the Agency for a number of years at some points?
  - A. Yes. I wish that didn't happen any more than

it does. But, yeah, applications vary greatly in complexity, and sometimes the complex nature of an application will require the Agency to request additional information that may or may not require a permittee to take additional time to gather that information prior to submittal. The permit process could result in an applicant deciding to make changes to their proposal based on Agency comments during the issuance process, that sort of thing.

So, yeah, the time frame can be extended for years depending on very site-specific circumstances.

- Q. Are there any programs that you're aware of within the Bureau of Water where amendment or revision to a pending permit application by an applicant is forbidden or not allowed after submission of a permit?
- A. No, there's no prohibition on submittal of additional information during the issuance process. It's pretty routine. Like I said earlier, it could either be at the request of the applicant or very frequently the Agency has questions that require submittal of additional information to supplement an application.

MS. ZEIVEL: I have no further questions for

Page 118 1 Mr. LeCrone. 2. HEARING OFFICER WEBB: Ms. Gale? 3 FURTHER RECROSS-EXAMINATION 4 BY MS. GALE: 5 Mr. LeCrone, you assisted in preparing the Q. recommendation submitted by the Agency, correct? 6 7 Α. Yes. And do you recall in Paragraph 64 of the 8 0. Agency's recommendation on Page 22, I'll read it: 9 "There is public and environmental benefit to having 10 11 pollution sources under enforceable operating permits. 12 As stated by the legislature and evidenced by the 13 passage of the Coal Ash Prevention Pollution Act" --And then I'll skip to the end. Final 14 15 sentence --16 "Nevertheless, delaying the permitting 17 closure of CCR surface impoundments does have implications to the public and the environment." 18 19 Do you remember saying that? 20 Or do you have a general understanding that's what the Agency stated? 21 That's my general understanding, yes. 22 Α. don't have it in front of me. 23 24 So you just said that it could take years to Q.

Page 119 get a permit application issued, didn't you? 1 2. Α. It could. 3 Q. And it varies upon complexity, et cetera, and 4 questions that are had. Right? 5 Α. Correct. And in this case, I think we agreed earlier, 6 0. 7 that these permit applications are pretty complex, didn't we? 8 9 They will be, yes. Α. So these applications review will take a 10 0. 11 significant amount of time, won't it? 12 They will vary from site to site, I'm sure, Α. 13 but it will be a significant undertaking for sure. 14 0. It could take years? 15 I hope not, but it's possible. Α. 16 Q. And we agree Midwest Generation's only asking 17 for a -- let's see -- from October 31st to March 31st, 18 that's five months, right? 19 Α. That's my understanding, yes. 20 For the operating permit application, that's Q. what I'm talking? 21 22 Uh-huh. Α. And only Midwest Generation is only asking 23 0.

for an additional, I want to say, five months if the

pond is a Category 4, let's say, four or five months?

I can't quite remember off the top of my head.

A. Something in that time frame, yes.

2.

- Q. And during that time, starting on

  November 1st, the Agency is going to start looking at
  all of the permit applications that it gets, right?
- A. Yes. Once we've taken inventory of all the applications received, they'll be assigned to staff engineers both in the permit section and groundwater section staff to begin the review process.
  - Q. Which could take years, right?
- A. We won't know until we get the applications. It will be a factor of how complete they are. We're assuming they'll be complete. But there's always questions that staff will have, and they do have a public notice process which is always a big unknown time factor.

Many times applications like this -- such as our NPS program, which is kind of what this process was modeled after, the public participation process can add significant time to it. If there's no public hearing, that sort of thing, the time frame is generally considerably shorter. If there is a public hearing, it could extend for quite some time.

1 Q. Thank you.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

You said that you prefer them to be complete; isn't that accurate?

- A. The applications?
- Q. Yes.
  - A. Yes.
- Q. And by that it means all the information, the Agency needs to review it, right?
  - A. Right.
- Q. And so if they're not complete, it's more of a challenge, it takes longer for the Agency to review?
- A. Right. I mean, the definition of "complete," you can follow along whatever the regulation says is required in an application detail, additional detail on some of those points that were submitted, may be necessary. We're going to have questions. And so it's hard to define "complete." But substantially complete is how I would -- yeah.
- Q. And if it's not complete, you're going to go back for more information, right?
  - A. Correct.
- Q. But, generally speaking, as a regulator who's been with the Agency for --
  - A. 29.

1 -- 29 years, 30 years, thank you, you expect 2 the regulated community to submit the information 3 required by the regulation in the application, 4 correct? 5 We do expect an application to have all those Α. 6 components that are required by the regulations. 7 Thank you. Nothing further. MS. GALE: MS. ZEIVEL: I also have nothing further. 8 9 HEARING OFFICER WEBB: Mr. Rao? MR. RAO: No. 10 11 HEARING OFFICER WEBB: Thank you, 12 Mr. LeCrone. 13 (Witness excused.) 14 HEARING OFFICER WEBB: Does the Agency have 15 anything else to present? 16 MS. ZETVEL: No. And since we haven't 17 introduced any new exhibits during the hearing, we're going with your previous statement of they're already 18 19 entered into the record. So we don't have anything 20 further. 21 HEARING OFFICER WEBB: Okay. Great. Would petitioner like to make a closing statement? 22 23 MS. GALE: Sure. Yes. It's 12:15. I know 24 we're hungry, so I'll be brief.

## CLOSING ARGUMENT

BY MS. GALE:

2.

Thank you for being here. Thank you for your attention.

As has been said repeatedly, Midwest

Generation is asking for a short extension of the

deadlines. And by "short," five months for the

operative permitting applications; four to five months

for the construction permit applications.

And one of the things to remember here is the Agency has to identify any potential harm that we caused; and the only harm they identified, as I could see in the recommendation, was that they prefer these units to be permitted.

Well, Mr. LeCrone just testified that the permitting process is going to take some time, could take upwards of a year. So from our perspective, there is no harm to give Midwest Generation five months, additional months, while the Agency is reviewing its other significant permit applications, to submit a complete permit application.

And that's literally what it boils down to for us is the absence of harm by giving this brief extension compared to the hardship that Midwest

Page 124 1 Generation has established in submitting these permits 2. on a timely basis without all of the sufficient 3 information. 4 Again, the Agency is not objecting to Midwest 5 Generation's variance. Certainly we disagree on 6 certain things, the elements. But fundamentally 7 there's no objection. The harm is little. And, therefore, Midwest Generation asks for these 8 variances -- excuse me -- these extensions to these 9 short deadlines in the Illinois CCR rule. 10 11 HEARING OFFICER WEBB: Thank you. Are you 12 done? MS. GALE: 13 Yes. I'm sorry. Thank you. HEARING OFFICER WEBB: Would the Agency like 14 15 to make a closing statement? 16 MS. ZEIVEL: Yes, just briefly. 17 CLOSING ARGUMENT BY MS. ZEIVEL: 18 19 Ms. Gale did accurately summarize the 20 Agency's position taken in our written recommendation. We do not support nor object to the four primary 21 22 requests for extension.

The Agency had certain things that were

important to Illinois EPA throughout this process.

23

First is ensuring that our written recommendation complied with the regulatory requirements. Regardless of whether Midwest Generation found them to be material to the ultimate decision or whatever the factors were, it was important to the Illinois EPA that we included everything and discussed everything that was required of us through the Board's regulations. We feel that we've met that burden.

2.

The second piece is to ensure that the Board understands the Agency's interpretation of Part 845 as it was proposed at the Rulemaking and that that position, we believe, is consistent with what was stated at the time of the Rulemaking hearing and now that we're in the implementation phase.

The Agency believes that the position that it has put forth at the Rulemaking as well as in these variance proceedings is consistent. And it was important to us that the Board understand -- better understand the Agency's position relative to the Rulemaking.

That is why Illinois EPA took the time through this hearing procedure to ask questions and provide additional information, because at the end of the day ultimately, we don't object. However, we

object for the reasons behind it. We don't believe that based on the reading of the regulation that an extension to an operator permit application deadline is necessary.

2.

However, we don't believe Midwest

Generation's request is unreasonable. And it's their

burden to show hardship. And it is up to the Board to

determine whether they've met that burden in response

to the proposed potential environmental harm and what

that ultimate outcome is.

I believe that the Agency has met its regulatory requirements under Board regulations. I believe that we have presented as much information as we believe the Board would need to make a well-informed, justifiable, defensible decision; and that was our ultimate goal. So we appreciate your time today.

HEARING OFFICER WEBB: Thank you.

All right. The expedited transcript will be available by Friday, July 30th, and will be posted on the Board's website. The Public Comment deadline is August 3rd. Public comment must be filed in accordance with Statute 111.628 of the Board's procedural rules.

The parties have agreed to the following briefing schedule: Both parties' briefs are due by August 9, 2021, with no response briefs. The parties are also reminded to review 35 Ill. Adm. Code 101.627 pertaining to the electronic filing of exhibits post hearing.

Before we conclude, I would like to note that there is nobody here who would like to make any public comment. So we will go ahead and conclude the proceedings.

We stand adjourned, and I thank you all for your participation.

(Whereupon, the above-entitled cause was adjourned at 12:18 p.m. on July 27, 2021.)

Page 128 1 STATE OF ILLINOIS SS: COUNTY OF C O O K ) 2. 3 4 I, PAMELA L. COSENTINO, being first duly 5 sworn on oath says that she is a court reporter doing business in the City of Chicago; that she reported in 6 7 shorthand the proceedings given at the taking of said 8 meeting and that the foregoing is a true and correct transcript of her shorthand notes so taken as 9 10 aforesaid and contains all the proceedings given at 11 said meeting. 12 IN TESTIMONY WHEREOF: I have hereunto set my 13 verified digital signature this 30th day of July, 2021. 14 15 16 17 PAMELA L. COSENTINO, CSR 18 19 20 21 22 23 24

		10101100	145000	10 10 17
A	adjust 57:7	121:8,11,23	amount 17:9 29:1	<b>Appeared</b> 2:10,17
<b>a.m</b> 1:17 4:14	<b>Adm</b> 4:6 5:1	122:14 123:11	42:4 119:11	applicability
ability 93:8	127:4	123:19 124:4,14	<b>analogy</b> 28:3,13	112:11 114:19
<b>able</b> 27:12 38:11	administration	124:23 125:15	76:7	applicable 62:24
38:20 55:21,21	11:22	126:11	analyses 47:12	applicant 107:10
57:3	admitted 3:20	Agency's 8:24	analysis 6:10	114:7 116:20
above-entitled	74:15 87:15	48:24 61:6 64:9	32:20,22 43:17	117:7,14,20
127:13	adopted 6:2	78:22 79:5,19	46:13,16 47:14	applicants 82:23
absence 123:23	adverse 58:12,14	91:12,14 93:8	48:19 49:24	83:2
absolutely 60:11	59:1,2 60:15,16	94:10 95:1	50:13 52:16	application 6:12
access 38:11,15	71:13	99:22 102:1	55:2 56:2,21	6:17 7:15,17
accumulate 14:9	aerial 15:4	115:3 118:9	57:9,10 72:8,12	8:13,15 31:17,18
accumulated 26:8	<b>affect</b> 72:21,22,23	124:20 125:10	72:22 84:24	37:13 40:5
accumulation	<b>affidavit</b> 8:6 11:4	125:19	89:24 108:20	41:14 48:22
17:23 18:7	12:10,11,14	Agency-approved	110:24	52:11 57:18,22
27:21 28:9	33:10,14,16 79:4	104:8,12	analyze 77:3	59:10 64:10,12
accurate 61:17	79:7,10 89:2,6	ago 8:4 24:3 60:6	analyzing 8:11	64:14,18 72:17
62:12 73:11	99:22 100:1	agree 50:2,5 84:6	<b>Anand</b> 2:2 4:9	80:6,11 81:14
121:3	affidavits 7:19	96:8 97:20	angling 28:4	82:5 83:5 85:11
accurately 7:14	9:14	100:19 102:20	<b>Ankney</b> 2:13 5:8	89:21 90:17,23
58:9 124:19	aforesaid 128:10	105:24 106:6	annual 17:14	91:6,8,14,16
Act 4:23 118:13	<b>Agency</b> 1:6 2:12	107:8 111:17	104:21 105:1,7	92:9,13,17,20,22
action 8:20 52:21	2:17 5:13 8:1,8	119:16	105:12,14,20	93:2,3,21 95:4
55:6 56:7	8:18 9:8,15,17	agreed 8:5 99:10	110:23 112:15	95:11 98:4,8,12
104:22 105:22	9:21 49:23 50:1	119:6 127:1	112:17,21	99:7,14,17 102:3
actions 7:9 24:2	50:9 51:12 59:4	agreeing 13:21	114:15	102:6,20 103:1,4
97:2	59:5 60:21	agreement 46:8	answer 27:12	103:7,16,17,22
active 16:8 18:22	64:20 74:13	50:8	29:17 44:15	104:1,18 108:5
actual 42:13 44:8	78:1,21 79:3,12	agrees 49:24	57:24 65:19,23	108:13,14
45:4 47:9 65:10	82:16 83:4,7,12	<b>ahead</b> 4:1 127:9	66:1,3 70:22,24	109:23 112:5
add 38:4 120:21	85:6,10,13 87:22	air 22:2,14,18	71:1 94:23,24	113:4,22 114:6
added 38:5	87:24 88:15,21	76:19	95:6,7 96:22	116:10,11,12,17
addendum 92:13	90:16 91:20	<b>align</b> 109:8	97:6 109:8	117:3,14,23
addition 11:20	93:7,11,15,24	alleged 59:5	answered 65:17	119:1,20 121:14
additional 7:23	94:3,9 96:10,14	<b>allow</b> 53:3 71:7	97:8	122:3,5 123:21
38:5 40:8 42:4	96:20,24 97:7,9	73:10	answering 66:1	126:3
56:18 82:23	97:12 98:23	<b>allowed</b> 117:15	answers 23:2	applications
117:4,5,18,22	99:2,5,10,11	allows 15:7 54:5	94:10,15,16 95:1	21:18 39:20
119:24 121:14	108:5 109:20,24	alternative 57:9	anticipate 56:6	40:7,17 51:7,8
123:19 125:23	110:21 112:3	alternatives 52:21	109:1	51:11,18 71:17
address 9:1 12:18	113:6,22 114:18	52:23 55:2 56:1	anybody 74:3	71:21 72:1 80:2
29:17	114:22 115:8,19	56:11,21 57:10	anymore 29:15	80:12 81:9,22
addressed 50:6	116:19,22 117:3	72:8,12,22 84:24	95:15	82:10,24 83:10
adjourned 127:11	117:8,21 118:6	amendment	apparently 62:4	83:22 84:4,17,19
127:14	118:21 120:5	116:16 117:13	appearances 5:4	85:6,7 86:10
12/.11				
	I	I	I	ı

				1496 130
96:13 103:12	23:22,24 27:7	51:3 62:17	baseline 54:8	bifocals 27:14
104:1 108:10	67:10 68:2 73:2	79:13 95:16	basically 14:4	big 120:16
110:15 115:9	73:8 97:21	102:7,11,11,16	19:4 26:3 38:13	bit 23:24 26:9
116:21 117:1	111:5 119:16,23	105:6 107:1,16	55:10 56:5 63:2	35:23 38:15
119:7,10 120:6,8	123:6	111:13 113:6	basin 62:5	39:12 54:22
120:12,18 121:4	asks 57:19 96:12	126:20	<b>basins</b> 58:10	60:1
123:8,9,20	124:8	Avenue 2:13	basis 17:14 46:19	bladder 38:24
applies 20:4,18	aspects 31:3	averages 44:2	95:8 124:2	<b>blank</b> 34:20
apply 21:16	assessment 63:9	aware 19:6 20:14	bathtub 26:19	<b>blew</b> 114:13
appreciate 126:16	63:18 100:11	20:24 29:3 69:4	28:2	blueprint 92:5
approach 44:22	assets 69:8	70:9 85:19 98:6	beginning 4:13	<b>board</b> 1:1,12,15
45:6 64:4 65:6	assigned 120:8	117:12	39:16 105:19	2:3 4:8,11,19
approached 50:3	assist 40:13 54:13	awfully 16:6	<b>behalf</b> 2:10,17 5:6	8:2 9:23 44:10
approaches 64:16	106:9	awhile 48:7	5:8,22 68:20	44:10,16 45:10
appropriate 49:7	assisted 118:5		behaving 54:2	46:17,21 50:23
64:6 93:4 109:4	<b>assisting</b> 31:13,22	B	<b>believe</b> 9:2,4 22:3	57:18,19 58:6,6
approval 49:1	32:17	<b>b</b> 5:2 90:14,18	28:12 34:16	59:4 70:22,24
104:13 110:19	associated 31:4	102:24 104:6,6,7	35:3,19 37:4	71:5 75:10,18
112:2	60:16 61:22	<b>back</b> 15:19 38:14	39:18 40:3	79:14 95:17
<b>approved</b> 50:9,10	63:8 69:3,12	44:4 48:10 53:2	45:17 49:7	96:11 97:12,14
104:4 108:6	107:6	57:17 59:13	51:14 52:4	125:9,18 126:7
110:11 113:23	Associates 7:22	75:7 95:23	54:17 55:12	126:12,14
approves 50:9	30:20	105:18 106:8	58:1 59:23	<b>board's</b> 4:9,18,24
approximately	<b>assume</b> 48:17	108:16 110:1	63:19 64:19	5:1 9:3 12:22
36:10 40:4	<b>assumes</b> 106:19	113:18 116:7	82:7 83:13	17:19 23:2
41:13,16,19 81:3	107:13	121:20	86:18 91:15	65:17 96:2
<b>April</b> 38:19,20	assuming 57:15	back-and-forth	93:10 102:1	125:7 126:21,23
39:15 99:2	98:11 115:4	50:7 83:1 116:9	103:20 104:24	<b>boils</b> 123:22
aquifer 101:6	120:14	background 33:1	113:7 115:7	<b>borders</b> 36:22,23
113:10	atmosphere 16:24	33:2,6 34:16	125:12 126:1,5	<b>boring</b> 62:16
area 29:19 35:1	attached 7:18	42:1,3,11,13,19	126:11,13,14	<b>boron</b> 50:24 59:6
35:14 36:5	12:10 34:1	43:14 45:11	believes 125:15	<b>bottom</b> 15:24
38:12 58:23	attention 123:4	49:7,9,9,14	beneath 28:11	16:11,14 17:24
62:2	attest 23:15	64:16 72:4 82:2	53:16	18:2 25:21,23
areas 21:16 84:6	attestation 68:22	90:8 91:23,23	benefit 71:14	26:18,20,23,24
86:5	attested 25:2 79:9	92:1,18 109:22	118:10	27:1,2,9,19,20
argument 73:18	89:8	backward 102:9	berms 52:17	28:1,7,7 77:16
ARGUMENTS	attorney 2:3 4:10	barges 55:22	best 27:14 33:5	Box 2:14
3:23	13:13 73:19	base 54:18,21	43:13 55:12,12	<b>Bradley</b> 3:6 7:20
ash 6:20 13:6 25:3	attorney-client	based 29:8 35:21	72:9 79:11	10:6,11,20
31:12 35:2	63:13	57:14 72:6,9	113:7	<b>brand</b> 73:6
41:10 118:13	August 4:18	81:2 92:11 94:2	better 27:12 42:23	break 74:24 75:4
asked 44:10 63:22	126:22 127:3	100:6 108:10,22	68:23 73:10	<b>brief</b> 5:24 7:13,17
114:10	authority 93:11	113:5 117:8 126:2	98:1 125:18	122:24 123:23
<b>asking</b> 7:12 9:6	available 8:1 22:8	120.2	beyond 17:23	briefing 127:2

				1490 131
<b>briefly</b> 9:13 11:16	capable 64:13	25:24 28:18	Chernobyl 76:21	<b>closing</b> 3:23 55:5
30:24 124:16	69:24	31:16 37:15,18	Chicago 1:15 2:7	69:18 122:22
briefs 127:2,3	capacity 69:12	37:21,23 40:2	36:21 37:2	124:15
bring 55:21	Care 103:3	41:12,17 51:8	128:6	closure 6:15 41:8
building 1:15	Carol 1:11 2:2 4:3	61:9,10 62:22	chief 4:9	52:21 53:8 55:1
53:19	75:1	67:2,15,23 69:10	<b>chloride</b> 59:6,23	56:1,3,3,5,7,15
<b>builds</b> 72:3	case 4:20 31:6	71:10,18,22 73:7	, and the second	56:20,21 57:12
built 40:22	35:2 119:6	79:24 80:21,22	<b>choice</b> 81:16	58:15 65:20
bunch 57:5	cases 32:21,21	81:4,19 82:3	<b>choose</b> 47:8 64:5	69:19 71:15
burden 125:8	43:11 59:21	83:3,10,20 84:5	106:13	72:8,9,12,12,23
126:7,8	Castle 3:6 7:20,23	85:10,24 86:4,19	choosing 57:3	84:24 85:3 93:4
<b>Bureau</b> 88:16,20	8:3 10:6,7,11,16	86:23 90:15	chose 81:14	118:17
88:24 110:6,6	10:20,21 12:24	91:21 93:13,16	<b>chosen</b> 46:18,23	Coal 6:2 118:13
116:15 117:13	19:17 21:24	93:18,22 94:4,19	48:20 50:14	Code 4:6 5:1
business 19:23	29:14 67:5,7	96:7,17 101:20	90:18,22 91:5,9	127:4
111:20 128:6	70:7 75:10,11,12	102:21 105:22	91:20 92:10,11	<b>collect</b> 7:14 46:15
	75:20 77:21	108:4,12 110:9	92:21 102:5	58:8 64:4
C	categories 83:11	113:20 118:17	103:21 104:14	collecting 8:11
<b>c</b> 2:1 93:20 103:3	83:19 84:15	124:10	104:17 105:1,5	collection 44:14
105:17 106:2	97:22	ceased 6:19	105:14,16 106:9	64:3,6
108:2 123:1	categorization	center 16:1	<b>Christine</b> 2:12 5:7	coloring 25:7
124:17 128:2	65:21	certain 6:1 8:23	christine.zeivel	column 83:15
<b>C-3</b> 15:2 25:13,14	category 6:14	124:6,23	2:15	86:21
<b>C-4</b> 15:16 26:15	8:14,17 51:4,4	certainly 36:16	circumstances	Combustion 6:2
calculated 49:14	58:9 71:8,10,12	37:7 47:17	117:11	come 10:8 11:9
81:3 92:2	72:15 73:11	50:17 63:3	cited 95:8	28:5 35:10
calculation 47:17	83:16 93:4,6,9	65:24 92:16	City 128:6	48:10,13 55:13
49:9	93:12,12,16,22	114:24 115:3,8	clarification 65:16	93:3 109:24
calculations 44:1	94:4 97:18	124:5	clarify 17:21	111:16,23
44:8 47:21	98:22,23,24 99:3	certainty 50:17	44:11 57:19	114:13 116:18
calibrate 53:22	99:8,12,16,16	51:5 70:5	58:11 97:14	comes 77:13
calibrating 53:20	120:1	certification	Class 59:5	comfort 44:6
<b>call</b> 10:3 19:1 30:5	causation 58:11	90:19	<b>Clayton</b> 2:13 5:7	comfortable 53:24
34:1,2 46:8 78:1	58:18	Certified 1:13	clayton.ankney	<b>coming</b> 13:12,13
87:22	cause 1:11 127:14	cetera 76:19 119:3		32:1 48:2,3
<b>called</b> 1:12 6:6	caused 58:8	challenge 121:11	clear 4:22 63:24	86:10 116:7
10:12 18:24	123:12	change 21:20	64:1	commencing 1:17
30:12 34:18	causing 50:16	72:20	clerk 4:18	<b>comment</b> 4:15,17
43:19 54:3	58:19	changed 110:4	close 24:8 54:10	46:17 51:21
66:14 78:10	<b>CCA</b> 46:8,21 47:1	changes 117:7	61:10 70:1,3,10	59:8 126:21,22
88:5 116:3	50:18 59:14	characteristics	closed 18:21 23:10	127:9
<b>calls</b> 10:5 30:6	CCR 6:3,19 7:2,5	96:17	67:22 69:16,17	comment/respo
63:12 66:2,10	7:6,10 13:9	<b>chart</b> 84:2	89:17 96:7	50:7
78:2 87:24	20:15 21:1,11	chemistry 41:10	closest 22:14	comments 117:8
<b>Canal</b> 36:22 37:2	23:8,12 24:6,10	54:6	61:10	Commitment
	1	I	1	

	1	1	1	1
46:8	conditions 53:16	Contaminant	83:23 84:1,22,23	40:10 78:6 88:1
<b>common</b> 18:23	97:2	54:3	85:1,2,4,12	128:5
commonplace	<b>conduct</b> 4:21 7:11	contamination	86:22,24 87:1,4	cover 77:15 94:15
116:15,21	72:7 82:16 85:6	50:16	93:14 95:13	covered 21:10
community 122:2	conducted 4:24	contends 71:6	96:9,18,19 97:10	37:20 45:19
company 8:5	72:11 100:4,8,10	73:9	97:11,12 98:9,19	covering 85:24
30:22 34:18	104:8	contents 20:1	99:8,14,15,18	<b>crack</b> 76:16
44:23	conducting 37:13	contractors 12:8	100:5,9,17 102:1	cracks 19:6
compare 33:6	37:17	<b>Control</b> 1:1,12	102:6,11,12,17	<b>create</b> 72:6,19
42:18 43:5	confidence 54:11	4:11,19 78:23	102:18,23 103:2	CROSS-EXAM
compared 123:24	confining 101:4,5	controlling 19:21	103:5,7 106:10	24:16 61:3 68:7
comparison 42:15	101:19	conversations	106:11 112:6,13	79:16 95:21
49:18	confirmed 62:19	63:20	113:24 114:1	<b>CSR</b> 1:23 128:17
<b>complete</b> 6:9 8:19	confused 97:19	convert 43:23	118:6 119:5	<b>culvert</b> 15:14 16:7
41:20 55:9	confusion 44:19	coordinates 35:21	121:21 122:4	16:14 27:5
120:13,14 121:2	conservative 87:2	35:24	128:8	Cumulatively
121:10,12,17,18	consider 47:18	<b>copy</b> 14:16 89:12	corrective 7:9	88:18
121:19 123:21	considerably	94:23	24:1 52:21 55:6	<b>current</b> 11:9,13
completed 42:10	120:23	<b>corner</b> 15:6,7	56:7,10 97:2	13:1 45:23
44:14 109:21	considered 53:5	<b>correct</b> 9:5 10:23	104:22 105:22	53:23 54:6
complex 117:2	consistent 19:2	10:24 11:6,14	correctly 104:2	68:11,13,16,17
119:7	125:12,17	12:19,20 13:2,3	114:11	88:13 96:16
complexity 117:2	constituent 43:15	13:7,8 14:1,10	Cosentino 1:13,23	104:21 105:21
119:3	49:8	14:18 15:21	128:4,17	currently 20:18
compliance 23:11	constituents 46:10	16:12,17 17:2,15	<b>count</b> 81:2 84:3	31:14 38:10
46:8 67:1,22	46:14 47:2	18:4,8 19:3 20:5	counted 84:9	40:6 45:23
68:4 93:19	59:11,18 106:4	20:6,9,13,19,20	<b>County</b> 1:3,14,15	71:17 113:8
96:17	107:4	20:23 21:7,8	4:5 6:5 10:22	<b>cut</b> 39:2
complied 125:2	constructed 15:20	22:9 23:1,8,13	11:13 12:2,18	<b>CX</b> 3:4
<b>comply</b> 23:6 24:4	construction 6:16	29:2 32:9 33:12	13:1 19:9,21	<b>Cynthia</b> 2:3 4:8
67:16,17 73:5	7:16 8:15 15:17	33:13 34:4	22:14,19 23:6	75:19
93:14 112:18,18	31:17 36:9	36:14,15 37:4,15		
components 122:6	40:23 51:6,7,11	37:22 39:21	35:7 67:13	
computer 43:18	52:11,19 56:17	40:3 44:5 45:13	68:21 69:4,13	d 89:18 99:22
47:16,24 48:16	59:9 71:21 72:1	46:3,4,7,22	70:5 101:20	103:6,24
48:17 53:19	72:17 76:20	47:22 48:18,23	128:2	daily 17:5
concentration	79:23 83:9,22	49:1,5,6 51:9	County's 7:21	<b>Darin</b> 3:14 78:2,9
42:13	84:5,17 85:11	57:16 62:7 64:8	34:1	78:16 116:2
concentrations	93:21 96:13	65:5,9,11 67:2,3	<b>couple</b> 23:5 35:18	darn 54:9 Darren 9:21
33:7,7	99:6,14,17 123:9	67:9,11 79:21	39:7 41:24	data 7:4 33:2
conceptual 53:19	consumption 52:3	80:1,3,4,7,13,22	50:24 60:6 79:2	42:12,23 43:8,9
<b>conclude</b> 127:7,9	<b>contain</b> 16:9	80:23 81:6,10,11	course 19:23	43:9,9,17,23
conclusion 48:13	84:18,21	81:12,20,24 82:5	28:14 101:11	
66:2	contained 8:24	82:6,10,15 83:7	111:20	44:3,5,7,13,13 44:18,24 45:2,9
concrete 19:5	contains 128:10	83:12,13,17,18	<b>court</b> 10:8 30:8	+4.10,24 43.2,9

				1496 133
46:20,22,24 47:1	decided 63:10	designated 72:14	digital 128:13	downgradient
47:1 50:18 58:9	deciding 117:7	designation 6:14	diligently 7:10	33:6 38:6 42:18
59:13,13,14 60:2	decision 4:6,20	8:14 58:10	direct 6:21 10:14	42:18 46:3
64:2,5,6 71:8,11	9:4 45:1,7 47:8	71:10,12 73:11	30:14 31:2	58:22 59:20,22
72:4,5,6,19	65:5 83:7 125:4	93:12 97:19,22	66:16 78:12	60:1,2,13 90:8
73:10 90:2	126:15	98:22	88:7 107:23	100:15,15
91:23 92:1,11	<b>decisions</b> 11:19,22	designed 28:20	directed 32:8	downward 28:10
94:20 96:11,15	12:7	detail 53:9 56:8	direction 100:16	draft 52:1,2
96:20 97:1	dedicated 38:24	121:14,14	director 7:20	drafts 32:2
109:3 111:13	39:14	detailed 82:16	66:24	<b>drain</b> 14:4 15:8
database 35:22	deep 26:11 36:10	96:5	dirt 26:3 76:19	16:13 18:13
36:2 61:21,21,24	38:22 62:18	detect 22:19	disagree 124:5	26:19 27:3 28:2
62:4,7	<b>defensible</b> 126:15	determination	discharge 18:16	76:1
databases 35:21	<b>define</b> 121:17	47:10 83:7	19:1	drainage 17:22
dataset 33:1 45:5	definition 121:12	<b>determine</b> 42:13	discuss 5:10 81:21	26:17,22
47:11		43:12 76:23	discussed 80:5	drained 6:24
date 51:20,20	delaying 118:16 delays 39:10	96:16 97:1	125:6	17:13
	•			
52:4 70:7 96:21	demonstrated	113:9 126:8	discussing 47:15	drains 15:10 16:2
97:10	93:19	<b>determined</b> 8:16	48:20 50:14	16:11,16 18:6
dated 34:13	demonstration	38:1 45:18	96:1	draw 40:12
day 1:16 50:20	57:21 58:3	50:15 99:7	<b>discussion</b> 61:6	drawing 15:16,17
76:4 125:24	demonstrations	determining 57:1	99:20 101:23	15:23 16:1
128:13	94:1 113:3	develop 32:8 33:1	dissolved 46:9,12	drawings 14:16
day-to-day 11:18	deny 83:4 85:10	33:5 40:20 41:2	47:2,3	14:20,22 40:22
days 48:9,10	110:3	41:6,7,9 42:14	distance 61:9	<b>drilling</b> 38:15,16
51:21,23 52:3,8	department 11:19	42:17,20 47:8	distribution 33:3	due 80:2 83:11,21
54:21,23 57:7	depending 45:1	49:6 54:6 73:10	33:4,4 43:3	83:24 100:23
days' 48:1	60:7 86:12	developed 19:22	distributions	101:3,18 127:2
<b>deadline</b> 4:7 6:9	111:11 117:11	34:17 43:20	65:10	duly 10:10,12
6:11,13,16 20:24	depends 111:5,6,7	developing 32:14	<b>division</b> 78:22	30:10,12 66:12
21:5,9 57:22	<b>depth</b> 61:7 100:23	53:17,18 54:18	79:19	66:14 78:8,10
59:9 60:17 83:3	101:3,18	56:14	divisions 82:11	88:3,5 116:3
83:6 85:9,12	<b>Des</b> 36:23	dew 13:24 14:3	document 19:17	128:4
93:22 99:13	describe 11:16	dewatering 6:24	22:1,8,11,13	duplicated 72:20
126:3,21	14:3 15:9 25:7	14:23 16:20	35:20 94:18	dust 8:21 19:9,18
deadlines 6:1,8	29:19 30:24	dialogue 108:17	documentation	19:21,22 20:2,4
7:13 8:11,19	32:13 37:8 38:7	dies 77:13	90:17,22 91:5,9	20:7,12,15 21:2
58:15 99:17	described 8:3	difference 46:11	<b>doing</b> 11:19 31:6	21:6,10,12,14,15
123:7 124:10	64:17 103:13	48:14 108:23	40:13 47:23	21:17,19,21
dealing 31:11	describing 26:17	109:9	48:1,6 56:9 63:3	22:19,21,23
<b>debris</b> 76:19	description 53:11	different 31:9	64:14 86:23	duties 11:16
December 51:24	design 55:14	46:6 48:8 55:4,7	104:2 128:5	<b>DX</b> 3:4
51:24 71:23	56:12	60:10 77:4	domino 72:2	
<b>decide</b> 42:14 45:3	designate 58:15	81:15 82:11	<b>dot</b> 36:12	<u>E</u>
56:13	93:15 98:24	93:11 112:24	dots 35:9,11,13,18	<b>e</b> 2:1,1 5:17,17,17
L				

		I	I	ı
9:11,11,11 103:9	118:11	established 92:19	exceedance 107:7	expedited 126:19
104:21 105:4,11	<b>engage</b> 111:20	124:1	exceedances 59:5	experience 31:20
105:17,18,19	engineer 83:2	establishes 72:4	59:19 106:3,18	32:10 48:6
123:1 124:17	90:19	82:2	106:23 107:4,12	76:11 109:20
earlier 12:9 25:15	engineering 11:22	establishing 32:11	exceedence 60:5	110:5 111:15
70:7 76:3 91:1	14:16,17 52:20	establishment	Excellent 33:19	116:13
108:9,17 109:6	54:12,19,20 55:3	64:15	excuse 73:14	expires 108:7
109:19 117:19	55:5,7 56:10,18	estimate 84:3	99:10 101:6	113:24
119:6	engineers 54:22	estimated 54:18	106:16 107:11	<b>explain</b> 15:23 16:1
east 2:13 12:18	120:9	estimates 57:5,14	112:20 124:9	68:22
35:14 36:7,22	<b>ensure</b> 20:11	estimating 41:15	<b>excused</b> 30:3 66:9	extend 8:19
61:13	63:24 125:9	et 76:19 119:3	70:19 77:23	120:24
<b>edge</b> 14:24	ensuring 125:1	evaluate 33:3	87:21 115:18	extended 117:10
<b>educated</b> 50:19,20	enter 14:11 27:23	44:23 47:10	122:13	extending 59:9
57:4	29:7 74:7	55:9,22 62:21	<b>execute</b> 79:4 89:2	60:17
<b>effect</b> 60:15 71:13	entered 122:19	evaluating 8:12	exercise 53:17	extension 6:1,4,8
72:2	<b>enters</b> 15:19 16:18	44:12 52:23	72:3	6:9,11,13,16
effectively 93:7	17:6 27:21	54:19 90:2	<b>exhibit</b> 3:20,21,21	7:13 8:10 21:5
94:5	<b>entire</b> 20:5 37:8	evaluation 31:23	3:22,22 14:14	58:15 97:21
effects 53:5,5	80:6	42:24 45:16	19:13,17 21:24	99:13 123:6,24
<b>eight</b> 8:12 42:2,8	entitled 1:11	49:24 50:4	22:5 24:18	124:22 126:3
42:8,11 43:8,9	83:15 107:24	52:20 55:23	33:21,23,24 34:3	extensions 9:18
45:9 47:12	<b>entry</b> 16:7	56:11 72:9 73:4	34:7,10 70:23,24	102:22 124:9
50:12 84:4,12	environment	82:6	71:3 80:16,20	extensive 7:15,16
88:19 90:7	58:20 69:24	evaluations 32:17	83:14 87:12,14	77:9
<b>either</b> 6:22 50:24	71:14 118:18	42:12 43:13	87:15,16 94:14	<b>eye</b> 28:21
52:21 55:5 56:7	environmental	48:7 53:2 54:12	95:24 99:22	<b>eyes</b> 48:11
92:12 110:18	1:6 2:12,17 7:20	evidence 74:8,18	115:5	
111:17,21	58:13,14 66:24	87:17	exhibits 3:20	<u>F</u>
116:11,15,19	69:7 78:21	evidenced 118:12	73:24 74:1,5,7	<b>f</b> 5:2 14:14 24:18
117:20	83:15,16,20 86:4	<b>exactly</b> 59:16 70:8	74:14,16 122:17	90:20,21 91:4
<b>EJ</b> 84:6	88:14,15 118:10	EXAMINATION	127:5	102:10
electricity 11:20	126:9	10:14 30:14	<b>existence</b> 101:4,19	facets 43:22
electronic 127:5	<b>EPA</b> 5:8 9:13	65:1 66:16	<b>existing</b> 46:19,21	facilities 97:4
elementary 22:15	34:13 63:16	78:12 85:17	89:17 96:7,17	facility 18:19
elements 124:6	78:2 80:21	88:7 116:5	97:3 103:7	21:12,15 29:18
elevations 15:18	85:20 88:17,18	examined 10:13	expanded 45:22	29:19 80:9,12
<b>Email</b> 2:8,15	110:1,14 124:24	30:13 66:15	<b>expect</b> 84:20 86:7	91:24 111:5,6,7
emergency 8:20	125:5,21	78:11 88:6	91:19 92:2,7,12	111:12
employed 12:4	<b>EPA's</b> 22:9,18	116:4	92:17,20 122:1,5	fact 8:23 73:4
78:20 88:21	75:9 89:3 94:14	example 44:2	expectation 81:8	113:2
employer 68:20	94:19 95:2,9	55:19 62:3	expectations	<b>factor</b> 120:13,17
78:18 88:12	essence 109:23	excavation 52:24	19:20	factors 86:8 125:5
endeavor 72:1	establish 42:1	55:9	expected 21:20	facts 12:14 13:12
enforceable	109:22 112:19	<b>exceed</b> 103:10	expecting 115:8	13:13 33:16

		I	I	I
79:9 89:8	<b>file</b> 81:14 113:6	<b>follow</b> 92:4 109:4	<b>fugitive</b> 8:20 19:9	86:10,17 87:6,13
<b>failed</b> 112:18	<b>filed</b> 4:17 8:8 9:15	114:23 115:1	19:18,21,22 20:2	95:20,22 107:18
<b>failure</b> 93:13	34:5 74:2 89:3	121:13	20:4,7,12,15	113:13,15
fair 53:9 56:8	126:22	<b>follow-up</b> 29:16	21:2,6,10,12,15	115:12 118:2,4
<b>fairly</b> 40:20 52:1	<b>files</b> 43:24	64:23 75:10	21:21 22:19,21	122:7,23 123:2
52:19 61:16	<b>filing</b> 127:5	77:7	22:23	124:13,19
<b>fall</b> 7:1 14:6	<b>filter</b> 46:12	following 64:6	<b>fulfill</b> 45:21	gather 117:5
familiar 11:12	filtering 46:14	75:5 82:22	<b>full</b> 44:18 45:5	Gen 39:20
14:20 20:1	<b>final</b> 4:20 23:5	90:16 109:2	52:24	general 53:11
31:15,20 32:23	52:7 83:6	115:5 127:1	function 28:23	112:9,11,22
<b>far</b> 18:5 27:2,8	118:14	<b>follows</b> 10:13	<b>Fund</b> 89:1	114:19 118:20
86:21	<b>Finally</b> 72:11	30:13 66:15	fundamentally	118:22
<b>farm</b> 62:11	<b>find</b> 28:14	78:11 88:6	124:6	<b>generally</b> 31:7,15
feasibly 81:12	<b>fine</b> 27:16 66:4	116:4	further 60:20	32:4,13,24 37:8
February 51:13	111:10	<b>foot</b> 17:23 40:8,13	65:13,14 68:6	40:18 81:18
51:14,20 52:4,7	<b>firm</b> 37:13 39:6	forbidden 117:15	73:12,23 74:20	82:10 83:1
52:8 83:12,24	39:19	foregoing 128:8	74:21 77:6,20	85:19 116:14
93:23	<b>first</b> 10:4,12 30:12	forest 48:12	85:15 86:14	120:23 121:22
<b>fed</b> 53:2 55:16	32:16 38:9	forgive 70:8	87:6 96:24	generated 41:23
<b>federal</b> 6:19 7:2,6	39:17,18 43:22	formal 112:1	107:18 115:12	Generation 1:2
31:24 37:15,21	45:11 47:18	formally 74:3	115:13 116:4,5	2:10 5:6,23 6:7
40:2 41:12,22	53:17 64:4	former 7:21 8:3	117:24 118:3	6:21,23 7:8,10
42:5 46:10	66:14 75:9 78:1	<b>forms</b> 116:19	122:7,8,20	8:21 9:1,22 10:5
110:8,9,11,18	78:10 83:21,24	<b>forth</b> 42:3 125:16	<b>future</b> 23:16	10:22 11:1,3,12
feed 43:24	88:5 92:2	forward 13:20	54:12 109:13	17:9,12 21:4
feeds 18:23	100:10 110:16	<b>found</b> 21:17 125:3		23:6,15,22 24:4
feel 13:12 125:8	113:16 125:1	<b>four</b> 6:8 9:18 37:2	G	24:4,8 30:6 31:7
<b>feet</b> 36:10 61:7,14	128:4	48:9 51:14,16	<b>G</b> 5:17 9:11 33:21	31:23 34:12,17
61:15 62:18	<b>five</b> 39:23 40:1,6	52:22 54:21	33:23 123:1,1	36:9 37:14 51:9
<b>fence</b> 38:10,14	51:15 52:22	55:11 57:10	124:17,17	57:20 58:2
<b>field</b> 46:12 54:10	55:12 57:13	71:21 103:12	G-n-a-t 30:18	62:16 63:10,17
62:11	58:8 71:17	104:3 112:2	Gale 2:5 3:2,9,11	66:10 67:8,11
field-truth 35:23	103:11 119:18	120:1 123:8	3:15,18,24 5:5,5	68:2 69:2,22
field-truthed	119:24 120:1	124:21	5:12,16,18,21	71:6,7,9,13,16
61:19	123:7,8,18	<b>fourth</b> 45:17 60:3	9:16,19 23:19	71:20 72:16,18
field-truthing	five-minute 74:24	Fox 2:3 4:10 5:20	29:20 30:6,15	73:1,4,8,9 79:14
36:3 61:20 62:1	<b>fixed</b> 103:10	frame 51:24	34:9 40:16	95:17 97:20,21
62:8,20	<b>flat</b> 43:24	117:10 120:3,22	60:20 63:12	98:6 100:20
field-truths 63:1	<b>floor</b> 1:15	FRANZETTI 2:5	64:23 65:2,13,24	101:1 113:2,8
<b>figure</b> 25:14 26:15	<b>flow</b> 14:6 16:6,8	frequently 117:21	66:10,17 68:6	119:23 123:6,18
27:7,8 34:21,24	37:6,9,11 53:16	fresh 48:11	70:14,22 71:5	124:1,8 125:3
35:10,11 36:19	53:23	Friday 11:2	73:14,17,23 74:7	Generation's 4:5
<b>figures</b> 33:22,22	<b>flowing</b> 16:19	126:20	74:12,21 75:11	5:24 7:18 8:7,10
33:23 34:1,4	flows 17:10 29:1	<b>front</b> 89:12 99:23	77:8 79:15,17	8:18 9:18 11:5
40:14	focus 27:15	118:23	80:18 85:15	12:10 33:11
1				

	Ī	<u> </u>	ı	I
58:7 67:14	41:23 42:15	72:4,5,6,7,21	126:9	59:21
68:21,23 69:7	44:21,23 45:3,4	82:1,4,8,9 84:21	<b>hasten</b> 71:14	historical 7:4
101:13 119:16	45:7,19 47:10	88:16 89:19,24	head 18:2 59:24	11:12
124:5 126:6	48:17 55:15	90:2,6 91:3,16	120:2	<b>history</b> 40:21 41:3
geological 101:6	56:6 57:8 60:16	91:22,23 92:1,1	health 58:20	hold 15:11 17:1
geologist 88:16	62:9 63:3 65:11	92:14,19 94:20	hear 58:17 73:14	home 28:13
geometry 38:22	66:3 67:20,21	96:5,11,14 98:19	<b>heard</b> 67:4 69:9	honest 27:13
39:1,5	73:2 80:19	101:8 104:7,9,12	71:24 81:21	hook 29:22
getting 44:17	94:13 95:23	104:21 105:12	92:24 98:7	hope 50:1 119:15
48:16	96:1 98:6,7	105:21 106:3,10	hearing 1:11 2:2	hour 1:17 75:24
give 24:1 27:6	108:16 112:24	106:12,18,19,21	4:1,4,21,23 5:9	hours 41:13,16,19
53:11 61:8	120:5 121:16,19	107:5,12,13	5:14,19 9:2,8	41:24 54:18
68:15 123:18	122:18 123:16	109:16,21,22	10:2,7 13:19	57:6
given 128:7,10	<b>good</b> 4:3 5:19	110:10,17,24	19:12 21:24	house 55:4
gives 70:5 93:11	10:16,17 29:24	112:3,15,19	22:4 23:17	<b>human</b> 48:5,15
giving 54:1	30:16 40:21	114:14 120:9	24:13 29:23	58:19,23
123:23	44:6 52:2 54:1	groundwaters	30:1,4,8 34:6	hundred 41:24
glass 27:11	55:14 66:18	101:7	60:21 61:1	<b>hungry</b> 122:24
<b>Gnat</b> 3:9 7:22,23	government	<b>grow</b> 26:13	63:22 64:22	hydrogeologic
30:7,11,16,18	110:19	<b>growing</b> 76:13	65:15 66:7 68:2	45:16 54:2
33:10,20 34:10	graciously 8:5	77:10	70:13,15,17,20	hydrogeological
34:23 43:16	<b>Grand</b> 2:13	grown 25:19	71:2 73:16,21,24	63:9,18
44:10 47:14	grant 9:4 83:4	<b>growth</b> 76:14	74:11,14,19,23	hydrogeologist
50:12 51:18	85:10	guess 28:6 34:2	75:3,7,12,16	7:22 30:23
52:10 55:1	grass 25:19	50:19,20,20 57:4	77:19,24 78:4	101:9
57:17 58:11	gravity-driven	57:4 77:2	80:15 85:16	hypothetical
61:5 64:21	27:10,18 28:11	guidance 92:5	87:7,9,11,15,19	114:12
65:20,24 71:16	gravity-feed 16:5	guidelines 19:20	87:22 88:1	
71:24 81:21	16:13		95:18 107:19	I
98:7 109:5,19	<b>Great</b> 84:9 122:21	H	113:13 115:14	idea 17:15 45:6
<b>Gnat's</b> 109:8	greatly 117:1	<b>hand</b> 14:13 80:19	115:16,22 118:2	47:7 54:1 55:10
<b>go</b> 4:1 16:14 27:22	<b>ground</b> 28:13	94:13	120:22,24 122:9	55:15
41:4,9 42:1 44:4	groundwater 6:9	handful 45:15	122:11,14,17,21	identical 34:5
45:2 52:5 56:24	7:3 31:4,11 32:6	handing 19:16	124:11,14	identification
57:17 62:1,9	32:7,8,11,15,17	21:23 33:20	125:13,22	19:14 22:6 34:8
75:7 76:24	32:24 34:14	<b>happen</b> 116:24	126:18 127:6	71:4 80:17
91:12 99:20	37:6,9,10,14,17	happens 29:3	hearings 32:2	identified 59:5,24
102:8,9,13 104:6	41:6 42:2 43:20	43:18	held 1:10	81:4 100:20
104:20 105:18	44:13 45:12,16	<b>Happy</b> 116:8	help 28:15 40:8	123:12
113:16,18	46:19 49:4,5,11	hard 51:20 121:17	helped 32:8	identifies 58:6
121:19 127:9	49:17 50:14,16	hardship 123:24	helpful 15:12	identify 58:9
goal 126:16	52:18 53:3,10,14	126:7	helps 47:7	106:12,17 107:4
goes 15:14 37:1	53:16,23 54:7,13	<b>harm</b> 58:7,12,17	hereunto 128:12	107:11 123:11
57:15 96:24	54:17 55:16	58:24 123:11,12	Hi 68:9,10	identifying 106:9
going 14:13 23:10	59:6,11 71:11	123:18,23 124:7	higher 49:11,16	<b>IEPA</b> 22:3 50:8
0- 0			9	
	1	I	I	I

ignorance 27:8	important 7:7 9:5	95:10 108:9,20	103:6 104:3	32:14 40:19
iii 89:22 91:1	42:22 47:5	111:4 112:5	105:6 112:5	48:16 53:12
102:16 108:18	124:24 125:5,18	125:6	113:3 116:10	94:6,9
108:18,19	impoundment	<b>includes</b> 49:4 67:1	<b>initiate</b> 44:8 108:4	issuance 79:23
109:12	21:11 26:23	90:1,7 108:21	108:12 113:21	114:6 117:9,18
<b>III</b> 4:6 5:1 127:4	27:3,9,19 28:8,9	<b>including</b> 7:1 82:1	initiated 45:17	<b>issue</b> 60:16
<b>Illinois</b> 1:1,6,12	28:10 36:16	incomplete 72:17	<b>inlet</b> 27:10,18,21	<b>issued</b> 103:10
1:14,16 2:7,12	61:10 81:19	inconsistent 91:7	27:24,24 28:7,11	114:3 119:1
2:14,17 5:8 6:2	90:16 91:21	91:10	28:17	issues 52:6 55:20
6:6 9:13 12:19	93:9,13,16,17,19	incorporate 21:1	<b>inputs</b> 72:10	issuing 82:13
20:24 22:9,18	93:22 94:4	21:5 52:6	inspect 77:1	items 40:13 52:15
23:7,12 24:5,10	102:22 105:23	incorporated	inspecting 76:17	53:4
31:16 34:13	108:4,12 111:12	21:20	install 38:8	iterative 72:2
35:5 37:18,23	113:21	incorrectly 36:1,2	<b>installed</b> 6:23 17:4	iv 90:5 96:4,4
51:8 63:16 67:2	impoundments	independent 8:12	17:22 38:18,19	102:16 108:18
67:15,23 69:6	6:5,19 7:3,6	42:8 50:13 90:7	38:21 39:14,15	108:18 109:16
78:2,20 79:24	13:9 20:15	<b>INDEX</b> 3:1	insufficiency	
80:21,22 83:3	25:18,21 26:6,18	individual 54:20	111:22	J
85:10,20 88:14	28:19 32:18	individually 47:20	<b>intend</b> 24:4,8 56:8	<b>join</b> 8:6
88:17,18 89:3	34:15 36:6,7,17	<b>Industrial</b> 79:2	intended 29:10	Joining 4:8
94:14,19 95:2,9	40:2 41:17 42:5	116:14	112:11	<b>Joliet</b> 1:16 22:15
110:1,14 124:10	42:7 61:9 62:22	information 7:4,4	intends 23:6	22:19
124:24 125:5,21	65:22 69:14,19	7:5,14 39:5	113:2	<b>July</b> 1:16 4:13
128:1	69:20,23 70:6	40:19 41:13,19	<b>intent</b> 67:24	11:2 34:13
imagine 59:14	71:18,22 73:7	41:23 49:3 51:3	114:24	126:20 127:15
110:21	80:21 81:5,15	52:13 54:9 61:6	intentionally	128:13
immediate 7:13	82:3 83:10,17,21	72:15,18,19,21	69:10	<b>Justice</b> 83:16,16
58:19 76:5,6	84:5 85:24 86:4	82:9,17,17 84:18	intentions 67:14	83:20 86:5
<b>impact</b> 58:13,14	86:19,24 89:17	89:19 95:6 96:5	68:23	justifiable 126:15
59:1,2 65:21	92:18 96:8,18	96:12 97:13,14	interest 35:2	justifications 57:2
101:20	101:20 110:9,11	98:19,21 101:13	intern 4:11	
impacted 29:19	118:17	101:14 102:2	interpretation	<u>K</u>
60:12	impurities 14:5	111:4 112:4,4	64:9 91:13	K 128:2
impacts 31:5	<b>inactive</b> 6:4 13:9	113:8 117:4,6,18	101:24 125:10	keep 14:5 22:21
59:21 60:11	69:15 76:22	117:22 121:7,20	interpretations	40:10
impede 86:9	89:17,17 96:7,7	122:2 124:3	48:18	kg@nijmanfra
impeded 26:7	inches 17:2 26:10	125:23 126:13	introduce 74:5	2:8
implement 63:17	75:23	initial 8:20 40:22	87:13	kicking 32:16
implementation	include 20:21	40:22 57:21	introduced	kind 28:4 32:22
125:14	32:19 72:8	64:10,12,14,17	122:17	34:24 35:4,15
implemented 63:8	81:22 104:13	89:16,20 90:23	introducing 87:11	41:2 44:22 45:1
implicate 109:13	included 24:23	91:8,13,15 92:8	inventory 120:7	50:7 65:5 72:23
implication 93:5	38:2 41:21	93:1,2 95:11	involve 82:22	111:4 120:19
implications 59:8	52:11 64:11,17	96:2,6 97:15	involved 11:21	kinds 111:3,15,21
118:18	86:4 89:20	98:12 102:3,5,21	12:7 31:22	knew 39:4
				knock 21:17
	<u>I</u>	<u>I</u>	<u>I</u>	<u> </u>

	<u> </u>		l	
know 17:12,16	lays 19:20 44:24	listed 86:20 106:4	59:12,13,14 60:2	managing 79:22
18:5 24:22,23	56:7	literally 123:22	62:4	manner 4:21
25:6 27:2 38:22	leave 11:1 20:12	<b>little</b> 23:24 26:9	looking 24:18	manufacturer
50:22 51:3	leaving 22:21	27:12 35:23	25:4 35:1 36:19	39:2
56:13 61:12	LeCrone 3:14	38:15 39:12,13	40:9,14 47:3	March 57:22 58:3
63:10 65:9	9:21 78:3,4,9,16	54:22 60:1	48:2 61:16	93:2,6 94:1 98:1
76:16,17 83:20	79:13,18 80:19	61:14 71:14	81:17 83:14,15	119:17
98:5,15,18	80:20 85:19	73:9 108:17	84:2 94:24	marked 3:20
101:10 106:19	87:20 115:20,23	124:7	100:2 120:5	19:13 21:23
106:21 107:13	116:2 118:1,5	<b>LLC</b> 1:2 5:6,23	looks 45:2 77:12	22:5 34:7 71:3
120:12 122:23	122:12 123:15	LLP 2:5	81:7 84:7	80:16 94:13
knowing 58:21	<b>left</b> 16:3 24:3 67:8	load 55:20,21	loop 18:21	95:24
knowledge 17:18	86:21	locate 38:12	<b>lost</b> 48:12	material 6:22 9:3
25:17 26:12	legal 66:2 70:10	<b>located</b> 26:23 27:3	<b>lot</b> 12:7 48:6	52:24 69:11
29:9,11 69:22	legislature 118:12	27:10,18 28:11	50:18 52:13	70:6 101:6
70:4 79:9,11	legitimately 97:19	28:18 36:2	116:9	125:4
86:3,8 110:12,13	let's 4:1 47:18	62:23	<b>lottos</b> 11:19	materials 26:8
110:17 112:10	84:11 102:8,8,13	location 57:20	low 27:24 28:3	39:7
113:5,7	102:19 103:19	58:3 62:13	101:21	math 47:22 86:23
known 6:3	104:3,6,6,20	93:14,20 94:1	low-point 14:4	104:2
<b>KPRG</b> 7:22 30:20	105:17 106:8	98:2,6,15 113:1	<b>lower</b> 101:7	mathematical
31:1,6 32:5 40:4	112:17 113:16	113:9	lowest 28:15,18	53:15
64:13	113:18 114:12	locations 38:14		matter 79:5 89:4
<b>Kristen</b> 2:5 5:5,21	119:17 120:1	logs 62:16	M	94:17
	<b>letter</b> 34:12,17	long 11:24 13:20	<b>M</b> 2:12 5:17 9:11	matters 5:10
L	level 11:21 18:24	57:8 68:11 69:3	123:1 124:17	mean 7:17 13:15
<b>L</b> 1:13,23 123:1	19:2 44:6 50:17	78:24 88:17	<b>ma'am</b> 70:17	13:19 25:24
124:17 128:4,17	levels 15:18 16:9	long-term 53:4,5	<b>Madam</b> 5:19,19	26:18 49:21
L-e-C-r-o-n-e	53:21	longer 11:11 21:4	magnifying 27:11	63:2 77:12
78:17	License 1:24	23:15,24 41:24	maintain 16:9	100:16 101:5
lab 43:23 46:13,15	<b>light</b> 115:20	121:11	18:24 19:2	121:12
<b>labor</b> 82:11	likelihood 101:19	longevity 11:23	maintaining 18:2	meaning 72:18
land 1:5 88:24	<b>limit</b> 42:16,16	longitude 61:22	maintenance	means 46:21
110:6,22	93:8	62:6	11:21	49:22 101:10,12
landfills 32:15	line 38:14	look 26:1 27:4	Majority 77:17	121:7
large 52:19 72:1	<b>lined</b> 19:3	28:21 33:8 43:3	<b>making</b> 11:19	measure 17:9
77:12	liners 19:7	47:20 48:10	48:4	measured 27:4
LaSalle 2:6	lines 114:16	49:8 50:1 55:4	manage 21:14,19	measures 56:10
<b>lateral</b> 102:22	<b>lining</b> 76:16	56:4 59:17	31:3	measuring 29:1
latest 71:23	liquid 6:20,21	60:10 61:12	managed 20:8	meat 56:15
latitude 61:22	13:6 14:10	63:5 89:18	manager 7:21	median 76:8
62:6	17:13,23 18:13	102:19 103:19	10:22 11:17,21	meet 24:2 45:24
law 8:24	26:1	104:6,20 105:17	12:5 19:19	meeting 52:5
<b>lay</b> 44:20,21 64:3	list 80:20,24 81:2	111:17	78:22 79:1,2,18	128:8,11
<b>layer</b> 101:4,5,6,19	81:4,18 86:9,20	looked 45:20	116:13	meets 27:5 56:16
	1		1	<u>I</u>

		1		
<b>Melinda</b> 3:17 9:20	63:10,16 66:10	Molly 2:6 5:5,22	10:18 30:17	100:21
87:24 88:4,11	67:8,11,13 68:2	<b>moment</b> 60:23	66:21 78:14,15	noncompliance
member 2:3 4:8	68:20,23 69:2,7	monitor 21:16	78:16,16 88:9,10	112:20
75:16,19	69:22 71:6,7,9	22:16	<b>names</b> 107:6	normal 33:3,4
members 4:14,19	71:13,16,20	monitored 20:8	Natural 34:18	111:20
mention 65:19	72:16,18 73:1,4	monitoring 22:2	naturally 28:14	<b>north</b> 2:13 15:13
mentioned 42:9	73:8,9 79:14	22:14,18 31:11	<b>nature</b> 76:22	35:15 36:6
53:10 55:1 56:2	95:16 97:20,20	32:11,15,19,24	117:2	61:14
63:7 79:18	98:5 100:20	37:14,18 38:3,5	near 26:24 27:1	northwest 15:7
mere 58:8	101:1,13 113:2,8	41:6 45:12,16,20	27:19	<b>note</b> 7:7 59:18
met 125:8 126:8	119:16,23 123:5	45:22 46:1,19	<b>nearby</b> 36:20	127:7
126:11	123:18,24 124:4	63:7,11,17 82:1	nearest 61:11	notes 114:12
metals 59:15	124:8 125:3	82:4 89:19 90:2	necessary 55:6	128:9
method 45:2,4	126:5	90:6 91:3,17	96:16 97:3	<b>notice</b> 120:16
46:6 48:20 64:5	migrating 59:10	92:15 94:20	121:16 126:4	noticed 4:23
65:8,11 72:9	mind 99:21	96:5,11,15 104:8	need 16:20 26:12	November 120:5
90:18,22 91:5,9	<b>minimum</b> 90:7	104:9,12,21	38:21 39:1 40:7	<b>NPDES</b> 18:15,17
91:20 92:10,21	105:23	105:12,21 107:6	40:20 41:6	<b>NPS</b> 120:19
103:21 105:5,16	minus 52:8 84:7	109:17,18,21	51:18 55:11	<b>NRG</b> 66:24 68:12
106:14 109:3,4	86:23	110:2,10,17,24	61:18 73:13	68:19 69:1
110:3	minutes 75:2	112:3,15	74:9 97:13,14	<b>NRT</b> 34:18 62:5
methods 90:11,12	misstatements	months 58:8 79:2	102:5 126:14	63:3
92:3 95:3,10	8:23 9:3	110:16 119:18	<b>needed</b> 38:4,9	NTNCWS 100:24
102:4 104:14,17	model 52:18 53:3	119:24 120:1	39:7	101:3
104:24 105:14	53:14,19,19 54:1	123:7,8,19,19	needs 42:4 52:2	<b>number</b> 12:22
106:8 108:24	54:5,19,21 55:17	morning 4:3 5:19	52:16 90:22	17:20 23:3 27:6
109:10	72:6,7,10,10	8:6 10:16,17	108:20 121:8	29:17 31:9 33:2
mid 12:5	84:21	30:16 66:18	<b>neither</b> 9:17 17:1	41:9 42:2 61:17
middle 35:24 62:5	<b>modeled</b> 120:20	<b>Mother</b> 76:21	network 22:2	65:18 85:20
62:11	modeler 32:7	move 13:17 26:15	45:20,22,23 63:8	86:10,21 87:2
<b>Midwest</b> 1:2 2:10	modeling 53:11	38:9 74:7,9	63:11,17	93:17 94:24,24
4:4 5:6,23,23	56:2,11 57:6,7	87:13 90:4	networks 31:12	110:15,23
6:7,21,23 7:7,10	72:21	112:24	32:15	116:22
7:18 8:7,10,18	models 32:6,9	moved 8:4	neutral 4:21	numbers 48:8
8:21 9:1,18,22	53:22 54:17	<b>moving</b> 13:20	never 17:3 18:9	Numeral 96:4
10:5,22 11:1,3,4	modification	ms@nijmanfra	26:4 99:21	102:16 108:18
11:11 12:10	14:22 24:22	2:9	Nevertheless	108:19
17:9,12 21:4	107:24 108:4,8	<b>multiple</b> 80:12	118:16	numerical 52:17
23:6,15,22 24:3	108:13 113:17		new 8:4,5 21:21	53:14
24:4,8 30:6 31:6	113:21 114:7	N	71:8 73:6 79:24	numerous 81:22
31:23 33:10	116:11	<b>N</b> 1:15 2:1 5:17,17	102:21 122:17	
34:12,17 36:8	modifications	5:17 9:11,11,11	NIJMAN 2:5	0
37:14 39:20	14:18 40:23	123:1,1 124:17	nine 40:2 45:24	<b>O</b> 5:17 9:11 123:1
51:9 57:19 58:2	modified 92:13	124:17	47:18 71:18	124:17 128:2,2
58:7 62:15	103:15 108:13	name 4:3 5:21	noncommunity	oath 75:13 115:23
			•	
	<u>I</u>	1	<u>I</u>	

	l	l	l	
128:5	26:16,21 27:16	98:8,12 102:3,5	outside 62:24 86:9	51:22 120:20
<b>object</b> 8:9,18	29:21 40:17	102:19,21,24	overall 41:3	127:12
124:21 125:24	53:7 63:21 66:3	103:3,6,9 104:18	overlay 54:11	particular 38:4
126:1	66:7 73:21	105:6 108:9	overview 105:20	40:21 43:7,14
<b>objecting</b> 99:6,13	75:21 77:21	109:23 110:15	owned 36:8 62:15	49:7 50:2,4
124:4	87:5 113:19	112:5 113:3	owner 30:22	55:23,23 58:19
objection 13:11	115:22 122:21	116:10 118:11	90:15 107:11	59:17 60:18
23:14 63:12	on-site 62:20	119:20	108:3,11 113:20	parties 5:3 127:1
74:13 124:7	once 21:20 33:1	operation 12:5	114:12 115:4	127:3
<b>objects</b> 9:17 74:3	38:21 39:4 42:9	29:9 54:15 69:4	owner-operator	parties' 127:2
obligated 73:19	42:11 44:6	69:21	81:14 105:13	parts 40:7
observation 29:8	45:18 48:7 49:6	operational 97:1	112:16	passage 118:13
observations 26:7	50:9 53:24 54:8	operations 7:21		passed 37:24
obtained 53:21	54:20 56:13	10:22 11:13,17	P	path 38:15 45:3
obviously 13:21	82:11 92:10	11:18 13:1,7	<b>P</b> 2:1,1 5:17 9:11	Patrick 14:17
41:6 59:15	120:7	17:4 29:12	<b>p.m</b> 127:14	paycheck 69:1
occurrence 75:22	one-foot 18:2	91:11	page 3:2,8,16,23	<b>PCB</b> 1:5 4:4
<b>October</b> 21:2,9	ones 33:24	operative 123:8	15:2,16 34:19,20	<b>pending</b> 116:16
71:19 80:3	ongoing 31:14	operator 90:15	34:20 94:15,16	116:22 117:14
81:10 115:9	<b>online</b> 52:2 62:17	107:11 108:3,12	100:1 114:17	<b>people</b> 40:4,6,13
119:17	open 16:24 22:2	113:20 114:13	118:9	114:23 115:1
<b>office</b> 1:14 31:2	69:23	126:3	<b>paint</b> 28:16	percent 55:14
<b>Officer</b> 1:11 2:2	open-air 16:23	operators 115:4	<b>Pamela</b> 1:13,23	56:12 73:5
4:1 5:9,14,19	<b>opening</b> 3:2 5:15	opinion 51:2	128:4,17	<b>perform</b> 63:17
4:1 5:9,14,19 9:8 10:2,7 13:19	_		paragraph 100:2	
, , ,	<b>opening</b> 3:2 5:15	opinion 51:2	paragraph 100:2 106:24 118:8	perform 63:17
9:8 10:2,7 13:19	<b>opening</b> 3:2 5:15 9:9	opinion 51:2 opportunity 9:22	paragraph 100:2 106:24 118:8 parameter 43:1,3	perform 63:17 performed 52:16
9:8 10:2,7 13:19 23:17 24:13	opening 3:2 5:15 9:9 operate 18:22	opinion 51:2 opportunity 9:22 94:3	paragraph 100:2 106:24 118:8 parameter 43:1,3 43:7 47:21 50:3	perform 63:17 performed 52:16 permit 6:12,17
9:8 10:2,7 13:19 23:17 24:13 29:23 30:1,4,8	opening 3:2 5:15 9:9 operate 18:22 operating 6:11	opinion 51:2 opportunity 9:22 94:3 opposed 50:10	paragraph 100:2 106:24 118:8 parameter 43:1,3 43:7 47:21 50:3 50:4	perform 63:17 performed 52:16 permit 6:12,17 7:15,16 8:13,15
9:8 10:2,7 13:19 23:17 24:13 29:23 30:1,4,8 60:21 61:1	opening 3:2 5:15 9:9 operate 18:22 operating 6:11 7:15 8:13 11:18	opinion 51:2 opportunity 9:22 94:3 opposed 50:10 option 55:15,18	paragraph 100:2 106:24 118:8 parameter 43:1,3 43:7 47:21 50:3 50:4 parameters 47:19	perform 63:17 performed 52:16 permit 6:12,17 7:15,16 8:13,15 17:17 18:15
9:8 10:2,7 13:19 23:17 24:13 29:23 30:1,4,8 60:21 61:1 64:22 65:15	opening 3:2 5:15 9:9 operate 18:22 operating 6:11 7:15 8:13 11:18 12:6 31:17	opinion 51:2 opportunity 9:22 94:3 opposed 50:10 option 55:15,18 55:23 56:6,19 57:2 98:24 103:15	paragraph 100:2 106:24 118:8 parameter 43:1,3 43:7 47:21 50:3 50:4 parameters 47:19 50:24 59:20	perform 63:17 performed 52:16 permit 6:12,17 7:15,16 8:13,15 17:17 18:15 31:13,17,18
9:8 10:2,7 13:19 23:17 24:13 29:23 30:1,4,8 60:21 61:1 64:22 65:15 66:7 70:13,15,17	opening 3:2 5:15 9:9 operate 18:22 operating 6:11 7:15 8:13 11:18 12:6 31:17 37:12 39:20	opinion 51:2 opportunity 9:22 94:3 opposed 50:10 option 55:15,18 55:23 56:6,19 57:2 98:24	paragraph 100:2 106:24 118:8 parameter 43:1,3 43:7 47:21 50:3 50:4 parameters 47:19 50:24 59:20 60:9	perform 63:17 performed 52:16 permit 6:12,17 7:15,16 8:13,15 17:17 18:15 31:13,17,18 37:12 39:20
9:8 10:2,7 13:19 23:17 24:13 29:23 30:1,4,8 60:21 61:1 64:22 65:15 66:7 70:13,15,17 70:20 73:16,21	opening 3:2 5:15 9:9 operate 18:22 operating 6:11 7:15 8:13 11:18 12:6 31:17 37:12 39:20 40:5,17 41:8,14 41:15 44:20 48:21 49:13	opinion 51:2 opportunity 9:22 94:3 opposed 50:10 option 55:15,18 55:23 56:6,19 57:2 98:24 103:15	paragraph 100:2 106:24 118:8 parameter 43:1,3 43:7 47:21 50:3 50:4 parameters 47:19 50:24 59:20 60:9 part 4:6 12:24	perform 63:17 performed 52:16 permit 6:12,17 7:15,16 8:13,15 17:17 18:15 31:13,17,18 37:12 39:20 40:5,17 41:8,14
9:8 10:2,7 13:19 23:17 24:13 29:23 30:1,4,8 60:21 61:1 64:22 65:15 66:7 70:13,15,17 70:20 73:16,21 73:24 74:11,14	opening 3:2 5:15 9:9 operate 18:22 operating 6:11 7:15 8:13 11:18 12:6 31:17 37:12 39:20 40:5,17 41:8,14 41:15 44:20	opinion 51:2 opportunity 9:22 94:3 opposed 50:10 option 55:15,18 55:23 56:6,19 57:2 98:24 103:15 option-type 54:21	paragraph 100:2 106:24 118:8 parameter 43:1,3 43:7 47:21 50:3 50:4 parameters 47:19 50:24 59:20 60:9 part 4:6 12:24 13:7 14:7 18:21	perform 63:17 performed 52:16 permit 6:12,17 7:15,16 8:13,15 17:17 18:15 31:13,17,18 37:12 39:20 40:5,17 41:8,14 48:21 49:13
9:8 10:2,7 13:19 23:17 24:13 29:23 30:1,4,8 60:21 61:1 64:22 65:15 66:7 70:13,15,17 70:20 73:16,21 73:24 74:11,14 74:19,23 75:3,7	opening 3:2 5:15 9:9 operate 18:22 operating 6:11 7:15 8:13 11:18 12:6 31:17 37:12 39:20 40:5,17 41:8,14 41:15 44:20 48:21 49:13	opinion 51:2 opportunity 9:22 94:3 opposed 50:10 option 55:15,18 55:23 56:6,19 57:2 98:24 103:15 option-type 54:21 options 53:6	paragraph 100:2 106:24 118:8 parameter 43:1,3 43:7 47:21 50:3 50:4 parameters 47:19 50:24 59:20 60:9 part 4:6 12:24 13:7 14:7 18:21 30:22 32:4 41:8	perform 63:17 performed 52:16 permit 6:12,17 7:15,16 8:13,15 17:17 18:15 31:13,17,18 37:12 39:20 40:5,17 41:8,14 48:21 49:13 51:6,8,11 52:2
9:8 10:2,7 13:19 23:17 24:13 29:23 30:1,4,8 60:21 61:1 64:22 65:15 66:7 70:13,15,17 70:20 73:16,21 73:24 74:11,14 74:19,23 75:3,7 75:12,16 77:19	opening 3:2 5:15 9:9 operate 18:22 operating 6:11 7:15 8:13 11:18 12:6 31:17 37:12 39:20 40:5,17 41:8,14 41:15 44:20 48:21 49:13 52:14 57:17,21	opinion 51:2 opportunity 9:22 94:3 opposed 50:10 option 55:15,18 55:23 56:6,19 57:2 98:24 103:15 option-type 54:21 options 53:6 54:20 55:5,8,12	paragraph 100:2 106:24 118:8 parameter 43:1,3 43:7 47:21 50:3 50:4 parameters 47:19 50:24 59:20 60:9 part 4:6 12:24 13:7 14:7 18:21 30:22 32:4 41:8 42:5,22 44:20	perform 63:17 performed 52:16 permit 6:12,17 7:15,16 8:13,15 17:17 18:15 31:13,17,18 37:12 39:20 40:5,17 41:8,14 48:21 49:13 51:6,8,11 52:2 52:11,14,19
9:8 10:2,7 13:19 23:17 24:13 29:23 30:1,4,8 60:21 61:1 64:22 65:15 66:7 70:13,15,17 70:20 73:16,21 73:24 74:11,14 74:19,23 75:3,7 75:12,16 77:19 77:24 78:4	opening 3:2 5:15 9:9 operate 18:22 operating 6:11 7:15 8:13 11:18 12:6 31:17 37:12 39:20 40:5,17 41:8,14 41:15 44:20 48:21 49:13 52:14 57:17,21 63:4 64:10,12,14	opinion 51:2 opportunity 9:22 94:3 opposed 50:10 option 55:15,18 55:23 56:6,19 57:2 98:24 103:15 option-type 54:21 options 53:6 54:20 55:5,8,12 55:13,19 57:1	paragraph 100:2 106:24 118:8 parameter 43:1,3 43:7 47:21 50:3 50:4 parameters 47:19 50:24 59:20 60:9 part 4:6 12:24 13:7 14:7 18:21 30:22 32:4 41:8 42:5,22 44:20 45:15 53:17	perform 63:17 performed 52:16 permit 6:12,17 7:15,16 8:13,15 17:17 18:15 31:13,17,18 37:12 39:20 40:5,17 41:8,14 48:21 49:13 51:6,8,11 52:2 52:11,14,19 56:16,17 57:18
9:8 10:2,7 13:19 23:17 24:13 29:23 30:1,4,8 60:21 61:1 64:22 65:15 66:7 70:13,15,17 70:20 73:16,21 73:24 74:11,14 74:19,23 75:3,7 75:12,16 77:19 77:24 78:4 85:16 87:7,9,11	opening 3:2 5:15 9:9 operate 18:22 operating 6:11 7:15 8:13 11:18 12:6 31:17 37:12 39:20 40:5,17 41:8,14 41:15 44:20 48:21 49:13 52:14 57:17,21 63:4 64:10,12,14 64:18 71:17	opinion 51:2 opportunity 9:22 94:3 opposed 50:10 option 55:15,18 55:23 56:6,19 57:2 98:24 103:15 option-type 54:21 options 53:6 54:20 55:5,8,12 55:13,19 57:1 65:4	paragraph 100:2 106:24 118:8 parameter 43:1,3 43:7 47:21 50:3 50:4 parameters 47:19 50:24 59:20 60:9 part 4:6 12:24 13:7 14:7 18:21 30:22 32:4 41:8 42:5,22 44:20 45:15 53:17 63:4,19 72:9	perform 63:17 performed 52:16 permit 6:12,17 7:15,16 8:13,15 17:17 18:15 31:13,17,18 37:12 39:20 40:5,17 41:8,14 48:21 49:13 51:6,8,11 52:2 52:11,14,19 56:16,17 57:18 57:21 59:10
9:8 10:2,7 13:19 23:17 24:13 29:23 30:1,4,8 60:21 61:1 64:22 65:15 66:7 70:13,15,17 70:20 73:16,21 73:24 74:11,14 74:19,23 75:3,7 75:12,16 77:19 77:24 78:4 85:16 87:7,9,11 87:15,19,22 88:1	opening 3:2 5:15 9:9 operate 18:22 operating 6:11 7:15 8:13 11:18 12:6 31:17 37:12 39:20 40:5,17 41:8,14 41:15 44:20 48:21 49:13 52:14 57:17,21 63:4 64:10,12,14 64:18 71:17 79:23 80:2 81:9	opinion 51:2 opportunity 9:22 94:3 opposed 50:10 option 55:15,18 55:23 56:6,19 57:2 98:24 103:15 option-type 54:21 options 53:6 54:20 55:5,8,12 55:13,19 57:1 65:4 order 38:11 39:2	paragraph 100:2 106:24 118:8 parameter 43:1,3 43:7 47:21 50:3 50:4 parameters 47:19 50:24 59:20 60:9 part 4:6 12:24 13:7 14:7 18:21 30:22 32:4 41:8 42:5,22 44:20 45:15 53:17 63:4,19 72:9 74:2 76:13 82:4	perform 63:17 performed 52:16 permit 6:12,17 7:15,16 8:13,15 17:17 18:15 31:13,17,18 37:12 39:20 40:5,17 41:8,14 48:21 49:13 51:6,8,11 52:2 52:11,14,19 56:16,17 57:18 57:21 59:10 63:4 64:10,12,14
9:8 10:2,7 13:19 23:17 24:13 29:23 30:1,4,8 60:21 61:1 64:22 65:15 66:7 70:13,15,17 70:20 73:16,21 73:24 74:11,14 74:19,23 75:3,7 75:12,16 77:19 77:24 78:4 85:16 87:7,9,11 87:15,19,22 88:1 95:18 107:19	opening 3:2 5:15 9:9 operate 18:22 operating 6:11 7:15 8:13 11:18 12:6 31:17 37:12 39:20 40:5,17 41:8,14 41:15 44:20 48:21 49:13 52:14 57:17,21 63:4 64:10,12,14 64:18 71:17 79:23 80:2 81:9 81:22 83:4	opinion 51:2 opportunity 9:22 94:3 opposed 50:10 option 55:15,18 55:23 56:6,19 57:2 98:24 103:15 option-type 54:21 options 53:6 54:20 55:5,8,12 55:13,19 57:1 65:4 order 38:11 39:2 61:14 91:11	paragraph 100:2 106:24 118:8 parameter 43:1,3 43:7 47:21 50:3 50:4 parameters 47:19 50:24 59:20 60:9 part 4:6 12:24 13:7 14:7 18:21 30:22 32:4 41:8 42:5,22 44:20 45:15 53:17 63:4,19 72:9 74:2 76:13 82:4 82:7,10 89:11	perform 63:17 performed 52:16 permit 6:12,17 7:15,16 8:13,15 17:17 18:15 31:13,17,18 37:12 39:20 40:5,17 41:8,14 48:21 49:13 51:6,8,11 52:2 52:11,14,19 56:16,17 57:18 57:21 59:10 63:4 64:10,12,14 64:18 71:17,21
9:8 10:2,7 13:19 23:17 24:13 29:23 30:1,4,8 60:21 61:1 64:22 65:15 66:7 70:13,15,17 70:20 73:16,21 73:24 74:11,14 74:19,23 75:3,7 75:12,16 77:19 77:24 78:4 85:16 87:7,9,11 87:15,19,22 88:1 95:18 107:19 113:13 115:14	opening 3:2 5:15 9:9 operate 18:22 operating 6:11 7:15 8:13 11:18 12:6 31:17 37:12 39:20 40:5,17 41:8,14 41:15 44:20 48:21 49:13 52:14 57:17,21 63:4 64:10,12,14 64:18 71:17 79:23 80:2 81:9 81:22 83:4 84:18 85:5 89:16,20 90:17 90:23 91:6,8,13	opinion 51:2 opportunity 9:22 94:3 opposed 50:10 option 55:15,18 55:23 56:6,19 57:2 98:24 103:15 option-type 54:21 options 53:6 54:20 55:5,8,12 55:13,19 57:1 65:4 order 38:11 39:2 61:14 91:11 orderly 4:21	paragraph 100:2 106:24 118:8 parameter 43:1,3 43:7 47:21 50:3 50:4 parameters 47:19 50:24 59:20 60:9 part 4:6 12:24 13:7 14:7 18:21 30:22 32:4 41:8 42:5,22 44:20 45:15 53:17 63:4,19 72:9 74:2 76:13 82:4 82:7,10 89:11 94:6,11 96:2	perform 63:17 performed 52:16 permit 6:12,17 7:15,16 8:13,15 17:17 18:15 31:13,17,18 37:12 39:20 40:5,17 41:8,14 48:21 49:13 51:6,8,11 52:2 52:11,14,19 56:16,17 57:18 57:21 59:10 63:4 64:10,12,14 64:18 71:17,21 72:1,17 78:21
9:8 10:2,7 13:19 23:17 24:13 29:23 30:1,4,8 60:21 61:1 64:22 65:15 66:7 70:13,15,17 70:20 73:16,21 73:24 74:11,14 74:19,23 75:3,7 75:12,16 77:19 77:24 78:4 85:16 87:7,9,11 87:15,19,22 88:1 95:18 107:19 113:13 115:14 115:16,22 118:2	opening 3:2 5:15 9:9 operate 18:22 operating 6:11 7:15 8:13 11:18 12:6 31:17 37:12 39:20 40:5,17 41:8,14 41:15 44:20 48:21 49:13 52:14 57:17,21 63:4 64:10,12,14 64:18 71:17 79:23 80:2 81:9 81:22 83:4 84:18 85:5 89:16,20 90:17	opinion 51:2 opportunity 9:22 94:3 opposed 50:10 option 55:15,18 55:23 56:6,19 57:2 98:24 103:15 option-type 54:21 options 53:6 54:20 55:5,8,12 55:13,19 57:1 65:4 order 38:11 39:2 61:14 91:11 orderly 4:21 ordinary 19:23	paragraph 100:2 106:24 118:8 parameter 43:1,3 43:7 47:21 50:3 50:4 parameters 47:19 50:24 59:20 60:9 part 4:6 12:24 13:7 14:7 18:21 30:22 32:4 41:8 42:5,22 44:20 45:15 53:17 63:4,19 72:9 74:2 76:13 82:4 82:7,10 89:11 94:6,11 96:2 98:8,12 110:9	perform 63:17 performed 52:16 permit 6:12,17 7:15,16 8:13,15 17:17 18:15 31:13,17,18 37:12 39:20 40:5,17 41:8,14 48:21 49:13 51:6,8,11 52:2 52:11,14,19 56:16,17 57:18 57:21 59:10 63:4 64:10,12,14 64:18 71:17,21 72:1,17 78:21 79:1,19,22 80:2
9:8 10:2,7 13:19 23:17 24:13 29:23 30:1,4,8 60:21 61:1 64:22 65:15 66:7 70:13,15,17 70:20 73:16,21 73:24 74:11,14 74:19,23 75:3,7 75:12,16 77:19 77:24 78:4 85:16 87:7,9,11 87:15,19,22 88:1 95:18 107:19 113:13 115:14 115:16,22 118:2 122:9,11,14,21	opening 3:2 5:15 9:9 operate 18:22 operating 6:11 7:15 8:13 11:18 12:6 31:17 37:12 39:20 40:5,17 41:8,14 41:15 44:20 48:21 49:13 52:14 57:17,21 63:4 64:10,12,14 64:18 71:17 79:23 80:2 81:9 81:22 83:4 84:18 85:5 89:16,20 90:17 90:23 91:6,8,13	opinion 51:2 opportunity 9:22 94:3 opposed 50:10 option 55:15,18 55:23 56:6,19 57:2 98:24 103:15 option-type 54:21 options 53:6 54:20 55:5,8,12 55:13,19 57:1 65:4 order 38:11 39:2 61:14 91:11 orderly 4:21 ordinary 19:23 originally 45:14	paragraph 100:2 106:24 118:8 parameter 43:1,3 43:7 47:21 50:3 50:4 parameters 47:19 50:24 59:20 60:9 part 4:6 12:24 13:7 14:7 18:21 30:22 32:4 41:8 42:5,22 44:20 45:15 53:17 63:4,19 72:9 74:2 76:13 82:4 82:7,10 89:11 94:6,11 96:2 98:8,12 110:9 112:10 125:10	perform 63:17 performed 52:16 permit 6:12,17 7:15,16 8:13,15 17:17 18:15 31:13,17,18 37:12 39:20 40:5,17 41:8,14 48:21 49:13 51:6,8,11 52:2 52:11,14,19 56:16,17 57:18 57:21 59:10 63:4 64:10,12,14 64:18 71:17,21 72:1,17 78:21 79:1,19,22 80:2 81:9,22 82:5,7
9:8 10:2,7 13:19 23:17 24:13 29:23 30:1,4,8 60:21 61:1 64:22 65:15 66:7 70:13,15,17 70:20 73:16,21 73:24 74:11,14 74:19,23 75:3,7 75:12,16 77:19 77:24 78:4 85:16 87:7,9,11 87:15,19,22 88:1 95:18 107:19 113:13 115:14 115:16,22 118:2 122:9,11,14,21 124:11,14	opening 3:2 5:15 9:9 operate 18:22 operating 6:11 7:15 8:13 11:18 12:6 31:17 37:12 39:20 40:5,17 41:8,14 41:15 44:20 48:21 49:13 52:14 57:17,21 63:4 64:10,12,14 64:18 71:17 79:23 80:2 81:9 81:22 83:4 84:18 85:5 89:16,20 90:17 90:23 91:6,8,13 91:15 92:8,13,17	opinion 51:2 opportunity 9:22 94:3 opposed 50:10 option 55:15,18 55:23 56:6,19 57:2 98:24 103:15 option-type 54:21 options 53:6 54:20 55:5,8,12 55:13,19 57:1 65:4 order 38:11 39:2 61:14 91:11 orderly 4:21 ordinary 19:23 originally 45:14 outcome 86:12	paragraph 100:2 106:24 118:8 parameter 43:1,3 43:7 47:21 50:3 50:4 parameters 47:19 50:24 59:20 60:9 part 4:6 12:24 13:7 14:7 18:21 30:22 32:4 41:8 42:5,22 44:20 45:15 53:17 63:4,19 72:9 74:2 76:13 82:4 82:7,10 89:11 94:6,11 96:2 98:8,12 110:9 112:10 125:10 participated 32:5	perform 63:17 performed 52:16 permit 6:12,17 7:15,16 8:13,15 17:17 18:15 31:13,17,18 37:12 39:20 40:5,17 41:8,14 48:21 49:13 51:6,8,11 52:2 52:11,14,19 56:16,17 57:18 57:21 59:10 63:4 64:10,12,14 64:18 71:17,21 72:1,17 78:21 79:1,19,22 80:2 81:9,22 82:5,7 82:14 83:1,5,9
9:8 10:2,7 13:19 23:17 24:13 29:23 30:1,4,8 60:21 61:1 64:22 65:15 66:7 70:13,15,17 70:20 73:16,21 73:24 74:11,14 74:19,23 75:3,7 75:12,16 77:19 77:24 78:4 85:16 87:7,9,11 87:15,19,22 88:1 95:18 107:19 113:13 115:14 115:16,22 118:2 122:9,11,14,21 124:11,14 126:18	opening 3:2 5:15 9:9 operate 18:22 operating 6:11 7:15 8:13 11:18 12:6 31:17 37:12 39:20 40:5,17 41:8,14 41:15 44:20 48:21 49:13 52:14 57:17,21 63:4 64:10,12,14 64:18 71:17 79:23 80:2 81:9 81:22 83:4 84:18 85:5 89:16,20 90:17 90:23 91:6,8,13 91:15 92:8,13,17 92:22 93:1,3	opinion 51:2 opportunity 9:22 94:3 opposed 50:10 option 55:15,18 55:23 56:6,19 57:2 98:24 103:15 option-type 54:21 options 53:6 54:20 55:5,8,12 55:13,19 57:1 65:4 order 38:11 39:2 61:14 91:11 orderly 4:21 ordinary 19:23 originally 45:14 outcome 86:12 126:10	paragraph 100:2 106:24 118:8 parameter 43:1,3 43:7 47:21 50:3 50:4 parameters 47:19 50:24 59:20 60:9 part 4:6 12:24 13:7 14:7 18:21 30:22 32:4 41:8 42:5,22 44:20 45:15 53:17 63:4,19 72:9 74:2 76:13 82:4 82:7,10 89:11 94:6,11 96:2 98:8,12 110:9 112:10 125:10	perform 63:17 performed 52:16 permit 6:12,17 7:15,16 8:13,15 17:17 18:15 31:13,17,18 37:12 39:20 40:5,17 41:8,14 48:21 49:13 51:6,8,11 52:2 52:11,14,19 56:16,17 57:18 57:21 59:10 63:4 64:10,12,14 64:18 71:17,21 72:1,17 78:21 79:1,19,22 80:2 81:9,22 82:5,7 82:14 83:1,5,9 83:22 84:17,19
9:8 10:2,7 13:19 23:17 24:13 29:23 30:1,4,8 60:21 61:1 64:22 65:15 66:7 70:13,15,17 70:20 73:16,21 73:24 74:11,14 74:19,23 75:3,7 75:12,16 77:19 77:24 78:4 85:16 87:7,9,11 87:15,19,22 88:1 95:18 107:19 113:13 115:14 115:16,22 118:2 122:9,11,14,21 124:11,14 126:18 oh 29:21 99:20	opening 3:2 5:15 9:9 operate 18:22 operating 6:11 7:15 8:13 11:18 12:6 31:17 37:12 39:20 40:5,17 41:8,14 41:15 44:20 48:21 49:13 52:14 57:17,21 63:4 64:10,12,14 64:18 71:17 79:23 80:2 81:9 81:22 83:4 84:18 85:5 89:16,20 90:17 90:23 91:6,8,13 91:15 92:8,13,17 92:22 93:1,3 94:21 95:4,11	opinion 51:2 opportunity 9:22 94:3 opposed 50:10 option 55:15,18 55:23 56:6,19 57:2 98:24 103:15 option-type 54:21 options 53:6 54:20 55:5,8,12 55:13,19 57:1 65:4 order 38:11 39:2 61:14 91:11 orderly 4:21 ordinary 19:23 originally 45:14 outcome 86:12 126:10 outfall 37:1	paragraph 100:2 106:24 118:8 parameter 43:1,3 43:7 47:21 50:3 50:4 parameters 47:19 50:24 59:20 60:9 part 4:6 12:24 13:7 14:7 18:21 30:22 32:4 41:8 42:5,22 44:20 45:15 53:17 63:4,19 72:9 74:2 76:13 82:4 82:7,10 89:11 94:6,11 96:2 98:8,12 110:9 112:10 125:10 participated 32:5	perform 63:17 performed 52:16 permit 6:12,17 7:15,16 8:13,15 17:17 18:15 31:13,17,18 37:12 39:20 40:5,17 41:8,14 48:21 49:13 51:6,8,11 52:2 52:11,14,19 56:16,17 57:18 57:21 59:10 63:4 64:10,12,14 64:18 71:17,21 72:1,17 78:21 79:1,19,22 80:2 81:9,22 82:5,7 82:14 83:1,5,9 83:22 84:17,19 85:5,11 88:24

90:23 91:6,8,13	2:10 5:14 10:3	105:22	100:19 120:1	58:21 61:5,8,11
91:15 92:8,8,13	30:4 70:20	planner 12:6	ponds 6:6,12,14	62:23 100:4,9,10
92:17,22 93:2,3	73:22 74:14	planning 66:1	6:18,19,22 7:1,2	100:14,19
93:21 94:22	92:24 94:16	plans 8:19 21:11	8:14,16 11:5	potential 16:21
95:4,11 96:6,13	95:8,18 122:22	24:1 57:20 93:1	12:24 13:24	55:4 123:11
97:15 98:3,8,12	<b>Petitioner's</b> 3:5,20	plant 11:23 16:17	14:9,23 15:4,10	126:9
99:6,14,17 102:3	19:12,16 21:24	17:14 18:14,22	15:20,23 16:3,20	potentially 15:8
102:6,19,21,24	22:4 24:18	19:19 29:12	16:23,23 17:1,3	47:19 59:10
103:3,6,16,16	33:21 34:3,6,10	play 55:15	17:7,13,24 18:9	86:12
104:3,18 105:6,7	70:23,24 71:2	please 5:3 10:9,18	18:22 19:3 20:7	Poz-o-Pac 19:4
104.5,16 105.6,7	74:16 80:15,20	11:16 30:9,16	20:18,21 21:1,6	pre-filed 94:10,15
108:14 109:23	83:14 87:14,16	40:11 44:11,16	21:9,14,19 23:10	precipitation 18:6
110:5,15 112:5	94:14,18 95:23	46:17 57:19,24	24:9 25:2,5,8,18	27:20 69:24
113:3,22,23,24	115:5	60:24 66:11,20	26:1,5,8 31:12	predict 54:12
114:2,7 116:10	<b>petitions</b> 85:20,23	66:23 75:1 78:6	33:11 35:3,15,17	prediction 42:15
116:11,13,14,16	86:3,6,13	78:15 88:2,10	37:7,9,11,20	predictions 53:4
116:21 117:6,14	phase 125:14	89:22,23 90:5,13	38:1,4,6 40:24	prefer 121:2
117:16 119:1,7	Phone 2:8,15	108:1	41:1,2,18,21	123:13
119:20 120:6,9	photo 15:3,10	plop 35:24	43:2 45:13,19	preferable 80:8
123:9,20,21	16:2	plot 35:21 61:23	50:16 51:3	preference 80:10
126:3	physical 27:2 28:7	plots 61:24 62:5	67:20,21,21 69:9	preferred 52:22
permits 41:15	62:19,21	plug-in 54:4	71:8,15 72:14	preliminary 5:10
44:20 79:23	physically 26:22	plus 84:7	97:22 99:7,12,16	12:17 41:7
84:5 103:10	pick 65:10	PM2.5 22:16	100:16 101:1	prep 54:3
118:11 124:1	picked 65:7	<b>PO</b> 2:14	113:9	preparation 31:13
permitted 18:15	picking 44:3,5,7	point 27:24 28:3,5	portion 54:4	94:10
37:1 123:14	picture 28:16 54:9	42:21 46:9	<b>position</b> 8:5 11:9	prepare 41:14
permittee 111:21	pictures 24:19,22	89:11 105:4,11	12:5 30:21 32:5	72:12
117:5	24:24 25:4,6,9	pointed 9:17	66:23 68:12,17	prepared 14:17
permitting 82:13	76:21	113:17	78:18,24 88:12	80:22
115:21 118:16	<b>piece</b> 125:9	points 33:2 43:10	88:13 102:1	preparing 32:5
123:8,16	piggyback 86:18	44:3,5 116:23	110:21 124:20	39:19 51:7,12
personal 80:10	<b>place</b> 42:6	121:15	125:12,15,19	71:17,21,24
personally 18:8	placed 70:6	pollution 1:1,12	possible 26:5	106:9 118:5
personnel 40:8	Plaines 36:23	4:10,19 78:23	109:20 116:11	presence 62:19
perspective	plan 4:15 8:20,21	118:11,13	119:15	present 9:21
123:17	19:10,18,22 20:2	pond 6:22 14:6,12	possibly 26:9 76:6	70:21 73:22
pertaining 127:5	20:4,8,11,15	14:17 15:1,5	93:6	122:15
<b>petition</b> 5:24 7:18	21:2,6,11,12,15	16:12 17:10	post 127:5	presentation 63:4
7:24 8:7 11:5	21:21 22:24	18:3 19:6 25:8	post-closure 53:8	presented 65:4
12:11 13:16	24:22,23 41:8	27:21 28:4 29:4	72:13 103:3	126:13
14:14 17:21	53:8 56:3,3,5,15	29:7,12 36:13	posted 52:2	presenting 63:2
23:23 33:11	56:20 57:12	40:21 41:3 46:3	126:20	presumably 82:2
68:1 101:14	65:20 72:13,13	49:8 60:2 76:1	potable 35:1,6,7	98:18 104:13
petitioner 1:4	85:3 92:3,7,24	77:5,10,16,16	35:12 36:5,14	<b>presume</b> 100:16
_			ĺ	-
	<u> </u>	I	<u> </u>	

mmagrame d 114.22		06.2 100.17 24	45.15.60.1	
presumed 114:23	proceed 9:7	96:3 109:17,24	45:15 69:1	quick 39:10 44:1
presuming 46:21	proceeding 4:22	125:11 126:9	72:10 103:21	quickly 13:17
presumption	8:9	proposing 56:9	125:16	59:13 61:15
46:22	proceedings 1:10	<b>protection</b> 1:6	putting 56:15	76:1
pretty 13:17	75:6 125:17	2:12,17 49:5,12	0	quite 35:10 60:10
39:10 47:4 54:9	127:10 128:7,10	49:17 50:15	<b>QED</b> 39:5	115:9 120:2,24
54:15 55:14	process 21:19	72:5 78:21	qualified 90:18	R
117:19 119:7	47:17,18 48:15	88:15,15 100:6	qualifier 102:10	R2:13:2019:13
prevent 17:23	56:24 70:4	100:12 106:3,10	quality 42:2 54:14	
86:9	91:21 94:7	106:13,18,20,22		19:17 74:6,7,14
Prevention	115:21 117:6,9	107:5,12,14	91:23 92:1,19	74:17 123:1
118:13	117:18 120:10	112:19 114:14	109:22	124:17
previous 12:5	120:16,19,20	provide 9:22	quantifying 86:11	radius 35:3,4,13
19:19 122:18	123:16 124:24	38:14 39:1	quarter 45:18	rail 55:21
previously 74:2	production 36:8	44:21 57:2	60:3	rain 28:14 29:13
75:15 116:1,3	professional 90:19	91:10 93:11	quarterly 60:4	75:24 76:7
primarily 31:4	program 32:19	105:20 125:23	110:23	rainfall 75:23
<b>primary</b> 124:21	41:7 43:19,21,24	provided 29:17	<b>question</b> 12:17,22	rains 29:6
principal 30:22	44:3,9 45:17	32:2 35:22	17:20 23:3	rainwater 7:1
print-out 48:17	46:5,7,9 47:16	49:10 94:16	29:17 44:11,15	14:5,11 15:7
printouts 48:2	47:24 48:3 82:1	provides 92:5	45:10,10 46:17	16:22 17:6,10
<b>prior</b> 12:2 44:17	89:24 90:6 91:3	providing 7:23	50:23 51:1	18:7
46:12 51:23	91:17 100:6,12	54:9 71:1	57:18,24 58:6	<b>Rao</b> 2:2 4:9 5:20
64:2,15 69:16,18	104:9,13 105:21	<b>public</b> 4:14,15,17	59:4,12 63:14	17:21 18:5,11
88:20 117:6	108:20 109:17	22:3 51:21 52:3	65:17,18 66:1	25:12 29:16,21
prioritization	109:18 111:7	52:5,6 118:10,18	70:23 71:1,5	65:15,16 66:4,6
6:15 58:16	120:19	120:16,20,21,23	75:10,17,20	70:15,16 73:13
privilege 63:13	programs 32:11	126:21,22 127:8	94:24 96:2,12	73:15 77:7,9,15
probably 34:2	110:10,18	publicly 22:8	99:9 105:8	77:18 78:5 87:9
54:23 56:17	117:12	113:6	114:16	87:10 115:14,15
57:10 61:16	prohibition	<b>pull</b> 38:15 43:8	questioning	122:9,10
68:18 76:17	117:17	62:9 77:3	115:20	<b>RCRA</b> 32:16,16
104:2	projects 31:9	pulled 62:7	<b>questions</b> 8:1 9:23	43:21
procedural 5:1	promote 76:14	<b>pump</b> 38:24 39:6	23:5 24:14	<b>RCX</b> 3:4
126:24	properly 44:7	pumps 39:8,14	29:15 50:23	<b>RDX</b> 3:4
procedure 44:19	property 22:22	purpose 4:20	60:20,22 63:22	re-designate 71:7
44:23 64:3	62:15	20:11 22:23	64:20 67:10	94:4
109:1 125:22	proposal 92:3	purposes 43:20	79:12,14 82:23	re-evaluate 38:3
procedures 44:12	117:8	49:19	86:14 94:11	read 27:13 35:20
46:18,23 64:11	proposed 31:23	pursuant 4:23,24	95:16,17,19 96:1	44:11 86:6
64:17 65:3 90:1	44:21 49:5,11,15	79:24	111:18 113:12	89:22 90:5,13
91:2,7,18 92:6	49:16,20,21	push 38:13	114:10 117:21	91:1,4 96:1
94:21 95:3,10	50:11 57:22	put 16:21 29:12	117:24 119:4	105:9 108:1,11
108:21,23 109:9	64:4 84:21 90:6	35:19 41:1	120:15 121:16	108:17 118:9
109:13 110:2	91:2,7,16 92:8	43:18 44:4	125:22	reading 126:2
10,.10 110.2	71.2,7,10,210			
	I	I	I	I

				3
ready 9:7 45:8	9:5 10:19 34:3	relationship 68:22	117:3,4,21	restriction 57:20
71:22 77:24	64:1 66:20 74:2	relative 60:14	required 7:5,9,11	93:14 94:1 98:2
104:22	75:8,18 78:15	125:19	7:15 17:17	98:15
realized 33:20	88:10 89:22	relevant 9:23	18:16 21:17	restrictions 93:20
really 27:13 35:22	94:16 122:19	relief 73:3 85:21	46:11 52:10,18	113:1
36:4 52:8 55:10	records 113:6,7	relocated 69:5	53:13 64:15	result 117:7
55:13,15 62:1	recreating 53:22	remaining 18:23	69:20 70:10	results 110:24
72:2 111:6	RECROSS-EX	remember 47:22	80:9 90:19	retain 17:2
reason 18:20	86:16 107:21	57:8 70:7 83:18	92:15 96:13,15	retrofitting 55:6
70:10 101:15	113:14 118:3	114:11 118:19	102:2 105:12	review 48:24
reasonable 53:1	recycle 14:8 15:8	120:2 123:10	121:14 122:3,6	62:21 79:22
reasons 126:1	16:8,10	reminded 127:4	125:7	82:8,16 85:7
recall 75:11 80:24	recycled 18:18	removed 13:4	requirement	91:20 92:9
115:19 118:8	redirect 29:23	renewal 92:16,21	64:10 70:1	110:19 112:1
<b>Recalled</b> 3:8,16	64:22 65:1	102:24 103:19	103:20 112:19	113:5 119:10
recategorize 93:8	70:13 85:17	103:21 104:1,17	requirements	120:10 121:8,11
receipt 111:18	116:5	103.21 104.1,17	18:17 23:7,11	120.10 121.8,11
receive 13:6 81:8	reference 76:8,20	repeat 99:9 105:8	24:2,5,10 31:16	reviewed 12:11
81:12 84:4,14	102:15	repeatedly 123:5	31:21 45:21	22:11 32:2
93:24 110:18	referenced 9:19	repetitive 63:23	56:16,17 67:15	33:14 79:7 89:6
115:9 116:15	33:24 34:16	rephrase 63:14	67:18,23 73:3,6	110:11,22
received 25:2	61:8 68:19	report 1:10 92:15	112:9,14,22	reviewing 123:20
69:10 74:17	referencing 25:12	104:22 105:1,7	125:2 126:12	reviews 49:23
82:12 85:20	25:15	105:12,14,20	requires 45:11	revised 72:20
87:17 94:11	referred 91:2	112:15,17,21	91:4,16 95:9	revision 117:13
110:22 111:3	referring 24:19	114:15	107:10	<b>Richard</b> 3:9 7:21
120:8	93:10	reported 1:23	requiring 91:7,9	30:7,11,18
receiving 6:20	refers 58:12	128:6	requisite 7:14	<b>right</b> 10:3,8 11:9
29:4 69:24	regarding 35:6	reporter 1:13 10:9	58:9	16:7 18:3 20:12
110:14 111:15	Regardless 125:2	30:9 40:10 78:6	rerun 54:23	20:22 22:24
receptors 58:23	regards 34:14	88:2 128:5	Residual 6:2	32:6,11 36:11
58:23	50:23 67:14	reporting 111:8	residue 76:15	37:3,18,21 38:10
Reclamation	registered 22:3	reports 81:23	resolved 59:15	39:9,11,23 40:2
76:12	regulated 122:2	110:23 111:3,16	Resources 34:18	41:21 43:19
recollection 40:18	regulation 53:13	111:21,22	Respondent 1:7	46:2,6 48:22
52:12	69:20 121:13	represent 43:13	2:17	51:16 53:10
recommendation	122:3 126:2	request 8:10,19	RESPONDENT	54:16 57:15
8:8 9:1,15,16,24	regulations 122:6	8:22 9:18 63:16	3:13	58:3 59:3 63:1
10:1 79:5 89:3	125:8 126:12	110:3 116:19,20	responds 12:21	64:7 65:3,4,7,8
91:14 99:12	regulator 121:22	117:3,20 126:6	17:19 96:10,14	67:8,18 76:10
118:6,9 123:13	regulatory 70:9	requested 9:4	response 8:21	78:5 79:20,24
124:20 125:1	85:21 125:2	requesting 6:8 7:8	71:5 94:18 95:2	81:3,5,19,23
reconfiguration	126:12	21:5	95:7,12 126:8	82:3,14,18,20,23
15:24	relation 35:7	requests 124:22	127:3	84:7,13,15,19
record 4:22 5:4,11	113:10	require 71:9	rest 74:10	85:3,7 86:1,2,20
,		•		,, , ,
	I	I .	I .	I

				1496 111
87:2,3 97:7,23	45:20,21,24	Sanitas 43:19	seeing 54:7,10	short-term 53:5
98:2,13,16,24	46:10,10 51:8	Santos 2:3 4:9	80:24	60:17
100:8,12,21	67:2,15,23 71:7	5:20 75:16,18,19	seeking 4:5	shorter 120:23
101:1,8,16,21	73:6 79:24 83:4	75:22 76:5,10,23	seen 17:3 18:9	shorthand 1:13
102:3,9,13,22	85:10 97:3	77:6	28:23 76:3,21	128:7,9
102:3,5,13,22	101:24 112:11	sat 32:1	selected 44:13	show 15:11 34:23
105:13 104.4,14	124:10	sat 32.1 saying 18:1	56:6 109:3	126:7
111:1,17 112:12	rulemaking 80:22	118:19	selection 90:1,11	showing 14:23
114:15,20	94:7,11,19 95:9	says 24:21 93:15	108:21	15:17 49:14
115:16 119:4,18	125:11,13,16,20	97:6 100:8	send 46:15	shown 93:13
120:6,11 121:8,9	rules 4:24 5:1	103:24 104:7	sending 46:13	shown 93.13 shows 15:5 109:3
	31:24 89:12,12	106:17 107:9	senior 2:3 4:10	side 16:3 26:23
121:12,20	,			
126:19	94:3 101:24	108:3,19 113:20	sense 48:4 62:10	27:1,3,19 28:3
<b>rip</b> 14:5 15:5	108:11 114:13	121:13 128:5	sentence 100:10	38:6,11 55:3
16:14	114:18,22,23	scale 61:13	118:15	signature 128:13
river 35:24 36:23	115:6 126:24	scenario 54:13	separate 20:14	signed 9:14 11:4
rivers 26:10	run 31:2 44:1	schedule 127:2	21:2,6 54:4	12:9 33:10 83:1
road 12:19 35:16	54:23	school 22:15	separately 47:21	significance 43:6
38:11	running 26:10	scientist 4:9	separates 101:7	significant 32:10
robust 85:3	47:24	scratched 59:24	September 4:7	71:13 75:24
rock 14:5 15:5	runs 48:8	search 35:4 61:21	series 44:1,24	119:11,13
16:4,14	rush 75:24	seasonal 60:7	service 13:4	120:21 123:20
role 11:24	S	seat 78:5 115:24	session 50:7	<b>signs</b> 69:1
<b>Roman</b> 96:4		<b>second</b> 68:15	set 39:4 44:18	similar 43:21 47:4
102:16 108:18	<b>S</b> 2:1 3:21 5:17	125:9	48:11 54:8,21	52:14 59:16
108:19	9:11 21:24 22:5	section 6:10,13,15	128:12	63:3 85:5 96:12
<b>Romeo</b> 12:18	74:6,8,15,17	6:17 78:22 79:1	setback 62:22,24	Similarly 83:9
35:16	123:1 124:17	79:19 82:9	sets 43:8	107:3
Romeoville 6:6	<b>S-a-n-t-o-s</b> 75:19	88:16,24 89:15	seven 12:6 84:12	simple 14:4
12:19	S-h-a-w 88:11	90:3,8 102:8	sewers 76:8	Simply 69:1
Room 1:15	safely 11:19	105:19 106:5	<b>Sharene</b> 3:11 7:19	simulation 53:15
roughly 51:24	salting 60:8	107:5,24 108:8	23:19 66:10,13	<b>sir</b> 66:7
56:13	sample 18:17	110:6 112:7,8	66:22	sit 10:8 84:3
round 83:21,24	38:20 39:17	113:17 116:14	<b>Shaw</b> 3:17 9:20	site 53:16,18
rounds 17:5 42:2	44:1 46:12,15	120:9,10	87:24 88:4,9,11	60:18 76:20
42:8,8,11 43:9	62:9	see 4:15 16:4	94:6 95:14,16,23	96:16 119:12,12
45:9 47:12	samples 8:13 42:3	25:21 26:1,4,6	107:23 115:13	site-specific
50:12	90:7	28:21 43:5 44:5	115:17	111:11 117:11
<b>routine</b> 117:19	sampling 6:10	58:24 63:4	<b>Shealey</b> 3:11 7:19	sitting 31:22
<b>rule</b> 6:2,3 7:5,10	38:24 42:9	76:20 77:3 90:3	23:19 66:11,13	115:3
7:11 21:1 23:8	45:24 46:6	90:8 91:6,19	66:18,22 67:4	sitting/standing
23:12 24:6,10	50:13 60:4	92:2,7,12,14,20	68:1,9	18:9
31:16,23,24	89:24 108:19	95:12 104:10	<b>Ship</b> 36:21 37:2	six 57:11 110:16
37:15,18,21,24	Sanitary 36:21	112:3 119:17	<b>short</b> 123:6,7	<b>skip</b> 118:14
38:2 41:22 42:6	37:2	123:13	124:10	slightly 112:24
	1	1	1	1

				1490 113
<b>slope</b> 28:10	<b>specify</b> 96:4 106:2	101:18,24	47:14 48:19,20	104:17 105:13
sloped 27:5	_ •	101.16,24	50:4,13 64:4,5	104.17 103.13
sluiced 25:3	<b>speculating</b> 25:10 76:2	104.10,19	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	113:2 114:7
		,	64:11,16 82:6	
<b>small</b> 16:6	speculation 76:18	107:16 110:18	90:1,11,12,18,22	122:2 123:21
<b>Snittjer</b> 2:6 3:7	speeds 47:17	114:2 128:1	91:2,5,17,19	submittal 51:21
5:5,22 10:5,15	spell 78:14 88:9	stated 8:9 12:14	92:5,20 94:20	71:18 108:5
12:21,23 13:15	spent 12:6	17:22 33:16	95:3,5,10 102:4	117:6,17,22
13:22,23 14:13	<b>spoke</b> 112:7 113:1	64:19 65:3 76:3	104:17,24 105:5	submitted 19:19
14:15 17:19	<b>spot</b> 28:15,18	91:15 99:5,11	105:14,16 106:8	48:21 52:7 80:9
18:12 19:15	spread 54:6	102:4 118:12,21	106:13 108:21	82:17 94:21
22:7 23:2,4,21	<b>spring</b> 77:13	125:13	108:23,24	95:3 96:6,21
24:12 29:24	Springfield 2:14	statement 5:15	109:13 111:4	97:13,15 98:4
<b>soil</b> 31:4 76:15	<b>SS</b> 128:1	9:9 58:7,12 95:8	112:4	101:13 104:2
somebody 34:24	stability 52:16	104:7 122:18,22	statistically 8:12	105:1,7,16
somewhat 52:13	staff 82:8,13 83:2	124:15	42:17 43:6	113:22 118:6
sorry 13:13 35:15	120:8,10,15	statements 3:2	47:11	121:15
36:7 41:4 49:4	stand 9:24 23:20	13:21	statistics 42:23	submitting 8:13
68:15 99:9	127:11	states 35:5 94:18	47:6	8:14,15 59:9
102:13 124:13	standard 35:4	94:19 95:2 96:8	<b>status</b> 96:17	99:6 112:21
sort 40:18 117:9	49:10,12,17 60:5	96:24 103:9	105:21	124:1
120:22	64:3	105:4,13,19,24	<b>Statute</b> 126:23	Subpart 5:2,2
<b>sound</b> 86:1	standards 49:5	107:3,8,10	stay 116:22	89:18 90:13,21
sounds 51:16 81:6	50:15 59:6 72:5	109:17 114:5	stays 77:13	91:1 93:20
86:2	106:3,10,13,18	stating 98:11	step 46:14 56:9,14	108:2 109:12,16
source 29:6 76:14	106:20,22 107:5	station 1:3 4:5 6:5	steps 43:16 44:24	subparts 55:22
76:24 100:6,11	107:12,14	10:23 11:13	store 14:9	subsection 90:20
sources 118:11	112:20 114:14	12:2,18 13:1	<b>stored</b> 19:23	96:15
south 2:6 15:14	<b>standing</b> 26:10	18:14 19:9,21	storm 75:23 76:8	subsections 96:3
35:16,17 36:6,12	76:3	20:5,12,16 22:14	stormwater 62:5	subsequent 40:23
southwest 15:6	standpoint 48:4	22:14,18,20 23:6	stratigraphy	54:11 92:14
speak 54:5 69:17	54:2	31:7,10,12 34:21	53:18	substantially
93:5	stands 9:16	35:8,14 36:22,23	Street 1:16 2:6	41:24 121:17
speaking 31:8,15	star 108:17	37:6,8 67:13	strictly 16:21	sufficiency 111:22
32:4 81:18	star 108.17 staring 48:8	68:21 69:4,13,21	structural 7:4	sufficient 33:2
	start 61:5 63:11	70:5 80:6,13	52:16	124:2
109:12,16 110:23 121:22	75:9 102:9	81:17	study 27:12	Suite 2:7
		station's 13:7 37:1		
speaks 89:16,19	120:5		stuff 12:8 48:3	sulfate 59:6
90:11	starting 120:4	stations 32:18	<b>sub</b> 55:19	summarize
<b>specific</b> 47:11	starts 43:17 76:22	34:15 37:15	<b>submission</b> 51:12	124:19
52:15 111:9	state 1:14 10:18	39:21,23 40:1	93:5 111:13	summary 105:23
specifically 6:7	30:16 31:24	81:4,18	114:6 117:15	summer 77:13
39:3 65:23	38:2 45:19,24	statistical 32:20	submit 6:11,14,16	<b>super</b> 89:1 108:16
93:12 95:5	46:10 66:20	32:22 33:5	49:3 57:20 58:2	supplement
105:15	78:14,18 88:9,12	42:12 43:16	73:11 90:16	116:16,18
specifies 55:8	95:5 100:23	44:8,12,22 46:18	93:1 99:14	117:22
L				

_	<u> </u>	 	<u> </u>	<u> </u>
supplemental	88:3,5 116:1,3	<b>TDS</b> 59:7	73:23 74:12,22	53:21 57:6 68:4
103:16	128:5	<b>technical</b> 2:2 23:7	77:6,18,21 83:8	69:16 71:20
<b>supply</b> 100:21	<b>system</b> 6:24 14:1	23:11 24:5,9	85:14 86:15	73:9,20 92:16,19
<b>support</b> 7:24 8:6	14:3,7,8,23 15:8	31:3 48:4 67:14	87:14,19 95:14	92:21 98:23
9:14 11:4 69:6,7	15:15,19 16:5,8	67:17,22 73:3,4	107:18 115:2,16	108:6,14 110:16
79:4 89:2	16:8,10,18,20	81:23	116:7 121:1	111:13 112:2
124:21	17:22 18:20	Technologies	122:1,7,11 123:3	113:23 117:5,10
supports 9:17	26:17,22 27:6,17	34:18	123:3 124:11,13	119:11 120:3,4
71:8	29:4,10 38:3	tell 27:8 58:13	126:18 127:11	120:17,21,22,24
suppose 23:18	53:23	66:23 69:14	thanks 115:15	123:16 125:13
supposed 56:4	systems 17:4	95:1 112:7	they'd 114:14	125:21 126:17
sure 26:14 37:10	38:24,24 39:2,6	ten 69:15 75:1	thing 38:9 43:22	time-consuming
44:2,17 48:3,11	42:20	ten-day 39:11	48:11 59:18	54:15
53:13 59:12		<b>tend</b> 36:1	99:21 117:9	timely 124:2
61:1 65:18,23	T	tense 109:14	120:22	times 37:3 120:18
77:8 78:16 84:9	<b>T</b> 3:21 5:17,17,17	<b>term</b> 49:20	things 40:9,14	<b>TIMOTHY</b> 2:3
105:10 119:12	9:11,11,11 34:3	terminations	41:5,9 54:2	title 68:13,16
119:13 122:23	34:7,11 74:6,8	97:10	60:10 76:22	78:19 88:13
surface 6:5,19 7:2	74:15,17 123:1	terms 42:16 58:17	77:5 93:17	112:8,9
7:6 13:9 20:15	124:17	103:10	123:10 124:6,23	today 4:8,11 9:2
21:11 28:18	table 49:13	testified 10:13	think 24:21 28:24	9:20 11:9 12:15
36:16,17,20 40:2	tables 40:9,14	28:24 30:13	44:18 45:11	33:17 51:2 64:2
41:17 61:9,10	take 41:13,20,24	64:2 66:15	49:21 57:5,12	69:9 79:10 89:9
62:22 65:21	43:3,22 45:4	71:16 78:11	58:18 60:14	115:4 126:17
71:18,22 73:7	47:15 48:10	88:6 109:5,19	62:6 65:17,19	told 85:23
77:15,16 80:21	49:8 55:11	112:14 116:4	68:15,15 69:18	tolerance 42:16
81:5,19 82:3	56:14 57:3,8	123:15	83:18,19 101:15	top 120:2
83:10,17,20 84:5	60:10 61:12	testify 11:9 28:22	104:1 114:19	topic 113:1
85:24 86:4,19,23	63:5 74:23	67:5 92:24	119:6	total 39:23 40:1
90:15 91:21	76:22 82:20	testifying 13:11	thorough 85:6	46:11,13 47:12
93:9,13,16,16,18	117:5 118:24	13:14 28:8	thought 66:2	totals 47:4 59:16
93:22 94:4 96:7	119:10,14	testimony 7:24	thoughts 32:3	trade 30:23
96:18 101:20	120:11 123:16	28:17 69:9	threat 58:19	traffic 38:13
102:22 105:22	123:17	73:16,17 94:19	three 7:19,24 38:5	transcript 126:19
108:4,12 110:9	taken 1:12 25:6,9	95:9 109:9	48:9,10 54:21,23	128:9
113:21 118:17	39:17 75:4	128:12	55:11 56:18	<b>Transfer</b> 107:24
survey 100:4,9,11	114:12 120:7	thank 5:9,16 10:2	89:23	Transport 54:4
surveyor 28:22	124:20 128:9	13:22 18:11	three-dimensio	transportation
sustained 23:17	takes 48:5 55:4	24:12 29:14	53:15	55:20
swear 10:9 30:9	121:11	30:2 36:18 37:5	<b>Tim</b> 4:10	treading 33:9
78:6 88:2	talk 65:20	47:13 52:9 58:5	time 5:3 6:1 11:8	treat 16:9
sworn 10:10,12	talked 12:9	60:19,20 61:2	20:22 24:1 25:5	treated 110:8
30:10,12 66:12	talking 47:9 72:23	63:6,21 64:21	25:9 33:9 41:1	treating 19:1
66:14 73:13	119:21	65:12 66:7,8,19	41:16 47:15	treatment 16:17
75:15 78:8,10	task 52:19	68:5 70:12,17	48:5 51:24	17:14 18:14
,		,		
	1	1	1	1

45.40.45.0			l	15.01.00.00
tree 45:1,8 47:8	U-shaped 15:5	59:19,21 60:12	viewing 22:3	way 15:21 28:20
65:5	<b>Uh-huh</b> 119:22	<b>upper</b> 101:7	visibly 26:6	33:5 45:2 50:3
trees 48:12	ultimate 125:4	<b>upwards</b> 123:17	visual 26:7 29:8	73:10 76:6
trench 16:5	126:10,16	use 18:21 34:14	visualizing 26:19	ways 77:4
trick 101:10	ultimately 72:23	39:6 41:2 43:19	visually 25:22	<b>we'll</b> 34:1 45:10
truck 21:18	125:24	44:23 45:3,4,7,8	<b>voice</b> 40:10	50:18 75:7 98:1
trucks 55:20	unaware 69:19	49:20 53:20	voluntarily 63:11	98:3,15,18,21
<b>true</b> 12:15 33:16	undergo 92:9	65:11 92:4	voluntary 45:15	102:9
79:10 89:9	underground	<b>USEPA</b> 110:12	63:7	<b>we're</b> 7:12 9:6,7
106:15 128:8	14:7 15:14,19	<b>USEPA's</b> 92:4	<b>vs-</b> 1:5	13:15,17 18:16
<b>truth</b> 73:20	18:20	<b>usually</b> 39:9,11	****	29:24 41:15
truthfully 98:11	understand 25:4	42:21 58:18	<u>W</u>	44:21,23 45:24
<b>try</b> 42:21	25:8 27:17,23	utilized 70:2	wait 47:11 50:24	47:16 54:7 63:3
<b>trying</b> 13:17	28:6,16 67:7	utilizing 69:23	waiting 58:8	72:23 73:2
27:14,16 28:6,15	125:18,19		<b>walk</b> 48:9	77:24 114:17
101:9	understanding	V	want 25:3 37:12	120:13 121:16
<b>turn</b> 34:19 37:12	36:4,13 40:24	<b>V</b> 3:22 80:16,20	51:6 56:14	122:17,24
39:12 51:6	41:10 62:14	83:14 87:14,15	57:17 63:23	125:14
89:15 90:10	63:24 70:3 94:2	87:16 115:5	74:5 84:3 97:18	we've 31:9 47:3
100:1	98:2 108:10,22	<b>value</b> 42:15 49:9	99:20 101:10	50:14,18 69:9
<b>turning</b> 15:2,16	118:20,22	49:15,15,18	104:20 111:9	120:7 125:8
tweak 54:22	119:19	<b>values</b> 49:11	119:24	Webb 1:11 2:2
<b>two</b> 6:4 8:19	understands	50:10,10	wanted 65:18,23	4:1,3 5:9,14 9:8
35:13 36:5 38:4	125:10	variance 1:5 4:5	99:2	10:2,7 13:19
38:6 39:12 43:2	understood 68:24	5:24 7:8 9:4,7	wastewater 16:16	23:17 24:13
43:5,12 48:10	70:11 75:14	11:5 12:11	17:14 18:14	29:23 30:1,4,8
49:11,16 56:18	undertaking	14:14 33:11	water 6:24 15:9	60:21 61:1
61:8 62:14	119:13	43:6,11 124:5	15:18 16:2,11,13	64:22 65:15
75:23 76:4 91:6	ungradient 43:4	125:17	16:19 17:2,3,10	66:7 70:13,15,17
97:22	unified 92:4	variances 44:2	18:9,18 21:16,18	70:20 73:16,21
<b>twofold</b> 75:20	unit 2:2 18:23	124:9	25:3 26:4,5,9,10	73:24 74:11,14
<b>type</b> 48:18 50:7	55:5 58:19	<b>varies</b> 119:3	26:12 27:20	74:19,23 75:3,7
82:20 111:12,12	60:14 79:2	various 31:3	28:4,9,9,14 29:1	75:12,16 77:19
111:13 116:18	80:13 89:1	32:16,18 34:15	29:5,7,12 35:1	77:24 78:4
types 48:7 103:12	91:24	34:15 40:7 41:5	35:12 36:5	85:16 87:7,9,11
103:14 108:9	units 32:16 41:12	53:6 73:6	53:21 58:22	87:15,19,22 88:1
typical 34:24	58:22 70:10	vary 117:1 119:12	69:10 76:1,3,11	95:18 107:19
typically 92:4	103:7 123:14	vegetation 25:11	78:22 79:20	113:13 115:14
	unknown 120:16	25:17 26:3,12	88:16,20 100:6	115:16,22 118:2
U	unreasonable	76:13,24 77:3,9	100:11,21 110:6	122:9,11,14,21
U 3:22 70:23,24	71:9 126:6	verified 128:13	110:22 116:15	124:11,14
71:3 74:6,8,15	unusual 39:9	versus 49:9	117:13	126:18
74:17 87:12	upfront 40:20	vicinity 34:14	watering 13:24	website 22:9
94:14 95:24	upgradient 33:7	37:10,11	14:3	100:7 126:21
123:1 124:17	42:19,21 43:2,5	view 15:4	<b>waters</b> 36:20	weeds 26:3 77:12
	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,			
	<u> </u>	I	ı	1

week 8:4 12:1	70:18,19 75:9,14	Y	1	113:9
24:3 39:11,18	75:15,21 76:2,7	yeah 32:14 42:18	1 12:22 15:13,14	<b>1S</b> 6:6,12,14,18
63:23 74:1 80:5	76:18 77:2,11,17	50:19 70:4 81:6	29:17 51:13	11:6 12:24
weeks 39:7,12	77:20,22,23 78:1	82:4 84:7,9	59:5 71:23	13:24 14:9,17,23
56:18 57:13	78:7,8,10 87:21	117:1,10 121:18	83:11,12,24	15:20,24 19:3,7
weeks' 57:11	87:23 88:2,3,5	year 7:17 68:17	93:23	20:7,21 21:1,6
welcome 4:11,12	115:18 116:1,3	123:17	<b>10</b> 2:6 3:7 26:10	21:10,14,20
70:18 77:22	122:13	years 12:6 25:20	100-year 75:23	23:10 24:9
well-informed	witnesses 3:5,13	28:23 60:6	76:7	33:12 37:20
126:15	9:14,21	69:15 88:19	<b>101</b> 5:2	38:1 41:18
wells 18:24 35:1,6	wonderful 66:19	103:11 104:3	<b>101.627</b> 127:4	45:13 50:16
35:7,9,12 36:5,8	word 44:18	112:2 116:22	<b>1021</b> 2:13	60:2 67:20,21
38:5,8,12,17,19	109:17	117:11 118:24	<b>104</b> 5:2	69:10 72:14
38:21,22,23 39:4	<b>words</b> 6:20	119:14 120:11	<b>107</b> 3:17	91:22 100:17
43:2,4,5,13	work 7:9,11 29:10	122:1,1	11 85:23	113:10
45:12 46:1	30:19 31:3,11	yellow 35:9,11	110 73:5	<b>1st</b> 51:14,20,24
47:19 58:22	34:16 40:8,14	36:12	<b>111.628</b> 126:23	52:4,7,8 120:5
59:19,20,21,22	41:20 42:4 47:2	yesterday 33:21	<b>113</b> 3:18	
60:12,13 61:6,7	48:1 55:11		<b>116</b> 3:16	2 22 2 22 11 22 12
61:8,18,21,22	56:18,19 57:11	Z	<b>12</b> 17:2 26:10	<b>2</b> 23:3 83:11 89:18
62:15,20,21,23	57:13 69:2	<b>Zeivel</b> 2:12 3:3,7	<b>12:15</b> 122:23	90:20 93:9,12,16
100:15,20,21,24	88:14 91:21	3:10,12,15,17	<b>12:18</b> 127:14	93:22 94:4
100:24 101:4	workday 11:2	5:7,7,13 9:10,12	<b>1200</b> 61:14	97:18 98:24
107:6	worked 68:19	13:11 23:14	<b>123</b> 3:24	99:3,16
weren't 41:21	88:17,18	24:13,15,17	<b>13</b> 34:20	<b>20</b> 81:4,9 85:24 86:19 87:3
42:5 105:5	working 7:10 12:7	25:12,14,16	<b>15</b> 34:13	<b>2009</b> 34:13
west 36:24 37:11	29:9,11 40:5,6	29:14 60:23	<b>1500</b> 36:10 61:7	<b>2010</b> 6:20 13:4
western 14:24	67:11 72:16	61:2,4 63:14,15	62:18	25:3 45:18 60:3
38:10	73:5 110:5	64:20 65:14	<b>16</b> 43:9	63:8,11,18 69:11
wet 14:7 18:24	works 23:15	68:8 70:12	<b>16th</b> 11:2	79:3
whatnot 36:1 40:9	worry 74:4	74:13 75:1 78:2	<b>19</b> 3:20	<b>2013</b> 6:23 14:17
WHEREOF	worth 48:1 57:11	78:13 79:12	<b>19276</b> 2:14	24:21
128:12	wouldn't 45:21	85:18 86:14,19	<b>198</b> 47:21	<b>2014</b> 69:5,8,13,18
wildlife 77:4	76:5	87:8,24 88:8	<b>1N</b> 6:6,12,14,18	<b>2015</b> 68:18 69:6
winter 77:14	written 4:17 9:15	95:15 107:19,20	11:5 12:24	69:13
Wisconsin 31:2 wish 116:24	9:16,24 112:10 114:24 124:20	107:22 113:12	13:24 14:9,18,23	<b>2016</b> 12:1
withdrawn 8:22	114:24 124:20	113:16 114:10	15:20,24 19:3,6	<b>2020</b> 60:3,4 71:19
witness 3:4 10:4,9	wrong 46:23	115:13,19 116:6 117:24 122:8,16	20:7,21 21:1,6,9	<b>2020</b> 00.3,4 71.17 <b>2021</b> 1:17 21:2
10:10,12 13:12	57:15 84:10	124:16,18	21:14,19 23:10	71:23 80:3
13:14,20 18:4,8	101:15 102:2,14	zero 28:9	24:9 33:11	127:3,15 128:14
23:14 24:14	wrote 100:8	zero 28:9 zone 62:22,24	37:20 38:1	<b>2022</b> 51:13 57:23
30:3,5,9,10,12	114:18,18,22	<b>ZUIIC</b> 02.22,24	41:18 45:13	58:3 83:12,24
40:12 60:22		0	50:16 67:20,21	93:6,23 94:2
66:8,9,12,14	X	<b>084-003601</b> 1:24	69:9 72:14	<b>208</b> 113:20
00.0,7,12,14		131 000 002 1121	91:22 100:16	
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	l	<u> </u>

				rage	
<b>21-108</b> 1:5 4:4	4	<b>74</b> 3:20,21,21,22	<b>86</b> 3:15		
<b>217</b> 2:15		<b>77</b> 3:8	<b>87</b> 3:22		
<b>22</b> 3:21 47:19	<b>4</b> 8:17 51:4 71:10	<b>78</b> 3:15	<b>88</b> 3:17		
	71:12 72:15		00 3.17		
118:9	83:11 98:22	<b>782-5544</b> 2:15	9		
<b>23</b> 94:24,24 95:7	99:8,12,16	<b>79</b> 3:15	93:3 127:3		
<b>230</b> 91:1,13	105:17,18,19	8	<b>9:30</b> 1:17 4:14		
102:13	120:1				
<b>230(a)</b> 102:20,21	<b>4(a)</b> 44:11	8 26:10	<b>92</b> 79:3		
<b>230(b)</b> 103:19,20	<b>4(b)</b> 46:17	80 3:22	<b>95</b> 3:18		
230(d)(2)(I)(iii)	<b>4(c)</b> 70:23	800 61:15	<b>9th</b> 4:7		
102:15	<b>400</b> 54:18 57:6	<b>80s</b> 12:5			
<b>24</b> 3:7	<b>48</b> 86:23	<b>845</b> 4:6 85:21			
<b>25</b> 85:24 86:19,23		89:11 94:6			
87:3	5	106:15 112:10			
<b>2500-foot</b> 35:3	<b>5</b> 3:2 65:18 71:1	125:10			
<b>257</b> 110:9	104:6,7	<b>845-600</b> 106:5			
<b>262-5524</b> 2:8	<b>5(a)</b> 71:5	<b>845</b> ( <b>c</b> ) 106:16			
<b>27</b> 127:15	<b>5(b)</b> 71:6	<b>845.230</b> 6:13			
<b>27th</b> 1:16 4:13	<b>529</b> 12:18	<b>845.230(d)</b> 64:9			
<b>28</b> 100:2	32) 12.10	89:15			
<b>280</b> 113:17	6	845.230(d)(2)(H			
<b>29</b> 121:24 122:1	<b>6</b> 51:4 58:6 71:8	96:3			
2nd 1:15 51:24	98:23	845.230(d)(2)(I)			
<b>28</b> 20:18	<b>6(e)</b> 59:4	108:16			
25 20:18	<b>60</b> 51:21,23 52:8	<b>845.280</b> 107:24			
3	<b>600</b> 41:16 61:15	108:11			
<b>3</b> 17:20 83:11,16	<b>60603</b> 2:7	<b>845.280(c)</b> 108:3			
90:13,21 91:4		113:18			
, '	<b>61</b> 3:10				
102:10	<b>610</b> 104:20	<b>845.600</b> 49:10,15			
<b>30</b> 3:9 52:3 55:14	<b>62794</b> 2:14	107:5			
56:12 122:1	<b>64</b> 118:8	<b>845.610</b> 104:20			
<b>302</b> 1:15	<b>640</b> 90:4	112:7,8,9,21			
<b>30th</b> 126:20	<b>640(f)(3)</b> 102:9	845.610(e)(4)(C)			
128:13	<b>65</b> 3:9	106:17			
<b>31</b> 21:2 57:22 58:3	<b>66</b> 3:11	845.610(e)(4)(D)			
71:19	<b>68</b> 3:12	107:3			
<b>312</b> 2:8		<b>845.640</b> 90:3			
<b>31st</b> 21:9 80:3	7	845.640(f)(3)			
98:1 115:9	<b>7</b> 57:18 60:1 100:1	90:10			
119:17,17	<b>7-27-21</b> 74:18	845.650(b)(1)			
<b>34</b> 3:21	87:18	6:10			
<b>35</b> 4:6 5:1 127:4	<b>7(a)</b> 57:19	<b>845.650(b))</b> 90:9			
<b>3600</b> 2:7	<b>700(g)</b> 93:10	<b>845.700</b> 6:15			
3rd 4:18 126:22	<b>700(g)(5)</b> 93:15	<b>845.700(h)</b> 6:17			
<b>3S</b> 20:19 36:13	<b>71</b> 3:22	<b>85</b> 3:15			
	<b>73</b> 86:20,23				
	ĺ	l	l	I	